# $BH\overline{A}SA$

A. D. Pusalker,

Foreword by K. M. Munshi



Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan Bombay

# CONTENTS

- 110	u	•	••	• •			
face		,					
revi	ations						YI-XII
pter	I	Historic	and Cul	tural	Retros	pect	
pter	II	Bhāsa ar	His Wo	orks			12 25
pter	III	Plots of	t/Plays				26 66
pter	IV	Bhāsa's	Wks · A	Critic	al Apr	re-	
,					cratic		67 143
		Bhāsa's l				••	144-192
		The Bhas		n			193-201
pter	VII	Date of E	Bhā			٠.	202-208
es	••		• ••				209-222
ct B	ibliogi	raphy	1 .				223 227
ex		;	· L.			٠,	278-244

### FOREWORD

A freat drama is the fairest flower of a great age of a eat country for it is the thing of beauty that bridges is creative genius of man and God. It is the apex of iterature. From the point of view of literary art it is he most difficult form to achieve for the ocial is the nost potent instrument of national life.

The creative artists who create such dramas to use

In chorus or sambic teachers best

Of moral prudence with delight received

In brief sententious precepts while they treat

Of fate and chance and change in human life High actions and high passions best describing

Æschylus the father of tragic poetry in Greece born in c 525 B C carried the drama to its utmost bounds Creative faculty has never expressed on a more majestic scale than in him

But long before the Greek Aryans developed dramacven in Rg. Vedic times—the Aryans in India had evolved form of drama characteristically Indian Bhasa is the oldest known master of drama whose works have come down to us He flourished according to our author,

down to us. He flourished according to our author, between the 5th and the 4th century before Christ a conclusion with which I agree. He represents this form of creative art at its best in the age when the power and cilture of India were growing under the first empire lof I italiputra.

There are no doubt fundamental differences as the author points out between the drama of India and of ancient Greece. The Greec dramatist thrilled men with awe and wonder his Indian contemporary moved them to emotions the subdued tones of which were inspired by a world in harmony with Dharma. But the dramatist in both countries was a great educator and refiner of national life.

Bhasa lacked the perfection of kalidasa but his rance was wider. He could crack a joke or shed a tear could be furnous or letore with equal ea e. The characters which he delineated ranged from kings to burglars from goddeses to monkets. His characters have not the etherealised quality of kalidasa. They are straight forward human beings. Vasavadatta is human. Sakuntala ideal. And if dialogue is the soul of drama. Bhasa his a superior technique of the two.

With this volume begins a new serie of Bharatija Vidja Stud es published by the Bhavan in order to present different aspects of Indian culture in a form which appeals to the ordinery reader. The author is a great student of Bhasa. His larger work on Bhasa is a scholarly study of the poet and his works but in this volume he has within a short compass presented the subject with great skill. And I have no doubt it will help to throw light on one of the most interesting facets of of Indian culture.

#### PREFACE

The present publication owes its origin to the suggestion of Shri K. M. Munsin, President, Bharathya Violy a
Bhayan that I should write a book on Bhāsa for the
general reader and the University student. With that
aim in view, I have presented a critical study, from
various aspects, of the works of Bhāsa and the Bhāsa
Problem

The book is divided into seven chapters followed by

Select Bibliography and Index Beginning with Rgvedic Age, the first chapter supplies a 'historical and cultural retrospect' bringing the story down to the period of Candragupta Maurya in which Bhasa flourished "Bhasa and his works' forms the next chapter, in which particulars have been supplied about Bhasa's works as gathered from literary material and about his life as inferred from his works and after giving an introductory sketch about the origin of Sanskrit Drama and its ten types common authorship of the thirteen plays has been proved. The third chapter gives the plots of the plays and the next chapter, the largest in the book, makes a critical study of these plays from various aspects. Sources of the plays, sentiments characterization, descriptions and narrations, alamkāras and subhāsstas, metrics, style and dialogues, Nātvašāstra and Playhouse Nānds and Bharatavākya, That and tragedy, anthology verses Bhasa and Kalidasa, 's influence, Carudatta and Mycchakatika, Kerala Ince and Cakyars, and Yajñaphalam and Bhasa are ilfferent topics considered illustrated with quotations

making for a dull and pedantic presentation to the general reader no important topic has been omitted from the footnotes. No wild statements or generalizations that cannot be documented have been made in the book. Precision and accuracy lave been the watchwords through out. For the sake of convenience footnotes have been collected together and given at the end of the book. For further and detailed study readers are referred to my earlier book. Bhissa Al Study, where all topics have been dealt with exhaustively and with a complete and thorough irray of footnotes. The pre ent work however is not a mere summary or resume of my earlier work, though the material is the same the presentation and method are

quite different in both books. It is with feelings of deep sorrow that I record here the help that I received for this book from my revered Guru the late Dr. V. S. SUKTHANAR. Not only did he read and approve of my typed copy, but also made a few suggestions. He was pleased with the printing of the book of which he saw 112 pages, and he liked the idea of placing the footnotes at the end. It is indeed a matter of freat misfortune for me to find that he did not hive to sea the completion of my book. I have also to think my revered frend Prof. II. D. VELANAR of the Wil on College who carefully went through the whole type script, and made a number of valuable suggestions relating both to he text and notes in the present book. I am greatly indebted to him for troubles he took for my sake.

Shri Munshi evinced keen interest in the progress of ni work during all states ever since I undertook the publication, and but for his suggestion and encouragement the book would not have seen the light of the day so soon. The press deserves to be congratulated on the fine printing and get up of the book, with scarcely a misprint,

which are rarties in Indian publications

I shall deem my efforts amply rewarded if the present work creates interest among Linnersity students and the educated public for our valuable heritage—Sanskrit Literaturs, and if the present study is followed by similar works on other celebrated dramatists like Kalidasa, Bhavabhūti and Hares

A D PLSALKER

### ABBREVIATIONS

Abh Abhiseka Lahore 1930 ABORI Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Researcher Institute Poona

AMV Asutosh Memorial Volume Patna 1976 28 Arthasastra Kautiliyam Arthasastram Mysore 1919 Aścarva Aścarvacudamani Madras 1026 Avi Avimaraka TSS No 20 Trivandrum 1912

Bal Balacarita TSS No 21 Trivandrum 1912 BRRI Bulletin of the Rama Varma Research Institute

Trichar BSOS Bulletin of the School of Oriental Studies London

BV Bharatiya Vidya Bombay Car Carudatta Trivandrum 1022 Carm Lect Carmichael Lectures

Comm Vol Commemoration Volume

Dgh Dutaghatotkaca TSS No 22 Trivandrum 1012 Dy Dutavakya Trivandrum 1925

Testgabe Garbe Aus Indiens Kultur Testabe Richard

von Garbe Erlangen 1027 Testgable gacobi Bertrage Zur Literaturwissenschaft and Geistegeschichte Indiens Festgabe

Hermann Jacobi Zum 70 Bonn 1926 Testschrift Kane A Volume of Studies in Indology pre

sented to Prol P V Kane Poona 1941 GOS Gaekwad's Oriental Series

HIL History of Indian Literature HR Hindusthan Review Patna 1A Indian Antiquary Bombay

Svapna Svapnaväsavadatta Trivandrum 1924 TSS Trivandrum Sanskrit Series Uru Urubhanga TSS No 22 Trivandrum 1912 ZII Zeitschrift für Indologie and Iranistik, Leipzig

# BHĀSA

#### CHAPTER I

## HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL RETROSPECT

Up to the first decade of this century. Bhasa was a mere name to us known only by references in some works and through some verses ascribed to him in the anthologies when the world of orientalists was startled by the epoch making discovery of the Svapnavasavadatla and other works unearthed by the late Vlahamahopadhyaya Dr T Ganapati Sasrat Unfortunately the works have come down to us without the name of the author being mentioned in the prologue or at the end, and a huge controversy has raged over the problem of the authority, authorship and date of the plays

Before dealing with the controversial question about the authenticity of the works and before coming to the particulars about Bhāsa it is proposed to give a historical and cultural retrospect in order to furnish a clear back ground to the period in which Bhasa lived. This introduct ory sketch will facilitate appreciation of the sociological conditions portrayed by Bhāsa, and will also lead to a clear understanding of the atmosphere in which he lived and worked.

In the dim past of which the only record hitherto available is the Revela-the oldest literary relic of mankind -- there haed in India people who came to be known later as the Arras as also the black and snub nosed Dasas and Dasyus who were ethnically different from the Aryas The Aryas of the Rgieda were partly pastoral partly agricultural people living in groups with their cattle and farm and had not set emanated from nomadic life Agriculture played an important part in their life and they did not know much of city life though references are found in the Rgieda to stone forts walled cities stone houses and brick edifices. They were a simple people worshippers of fire sun thunder ocean mother earth -- elements of nature -- and later Indra was included in their pantheon. Their philosophical speculations also did full justice to the simple folk they were dealing with the origin of the world etc in the simplest fashion

There were no rigid castes no orders of life no strict marriage rules and other restrictions which characterize later aspects of Hinduism References are no doubt found to Brahma Rajanja and I is but they relate to what may be called classes not to castes The only distinction viz the Arja and Dasa was based on colour. There was no hereafty of profession everyone was free to take up any profession be liked and he could change it for another at his sweet will. The only people outside their fold were the black stimed noseless Dasas.

The family was patriarchal and joint family system was in vogue Women were given their due place of honour Vedic women occasionally composed hymns took part in sacrifices freely spoke and mixed with people and attended feasts and sacrifices gaily decorated and decked with ornaments. They inherited and possessed property. As a rule monogamic marriages were followed polygamy however was not uncommon. There were no hard and fast rules regarding forms of marriage etc. Intermarriages were allowed. On the whole, there was a high standard of morality.

Both vegetable and animal food was taken in Vedic times. The dress of the Vedic Indians consisted of two oblong pieces of cloth. There was no purdah system in ancient India. The peaceful life and the chanting and composition of the hymns practised by the Vedic people were occasionally disturbed by wars the famous Dasarajina among which has been immortalized in the hymns Military organisation in Revedic times consisted of armoured elephants chariots drawn by horses bow and arrow axis javelins and swords. Their weapons of defence were the helmits leather armours and shields coats of mail etc. There were no complications of political life no judicial machinery.

Horses bullocks camels and chartots were the means of conveyance in the period of the Rgveda Industry trade and commerce were practised there being references to smiths carpenters and weavers to loans usury debts and contracts as also to the fixity and finality of sale Sea voyages were undertaken by the Panis Horse racing and chartot driving were the popular pastimes The Vedic Indian was an inveterate gambler Cremation and burnal were the modes of the disposal of the dead The

deemed sufficient to confer on the individual a membership of that caste Lndogamy was strictly adhered to in marriages, and rigidity of occupations was enforced. This period and the subsequent one of the *Upanisads* are characterized by philosophical speculations of the highest order. We get first glimpses of the educational system, as also of the various Aframas in the age of the *Upanisads*.

The same state with regard to the rigidity of the castesystem and its formation into four watertight compariments is in evidence later on in the period of the Epics
the Jātakas and the Arthasastra Women also came to be
evoluded from religious functions and restrictions were
placed on them There are reasons to believe that at least
during the early stage of the advent of Buddhsm, which
was started mainly with the idea of dealing a death blow
to class distinctions and superiorities Brāhmana superiority was not seen its place being taken by the Ksatnya
caste Equality in status proved only a dream The
different Āsramas were in vogue more or less in this age
also, and the Buddhst Jātaka also refer to the orders of
student hermit, etc

With the caste restrictions gaining a foothold, came various rules about marriage, caste endogamy and gotra and sapinda evogamy being the principal ones. The epic as also the Dharmasitras and the Arthasaitra speak of eight (or six) different forms of marriage, some of them being described as censurable. Inter caste marriages and hypergamy though not condemned downright, were not approved. Pratiforna marriages were always prohibited, the only historical instance being the marriage of Devayāni

6

with Vayati

The epics contain descriptions of cities and city life
but mostly they are exaggerated though we may take
them to indicate that the people enjoyed an advanced
state of city life. There were many palatial buildings in
the cities assembly halls guest houses gardens alms
houses etc. There were also public parks lakes summer
houses etc. The Buddhust Interature speaks of three kinds
of cities. Many of the caties were fortified and many
buildings had superstructures over them. No open spaces
or enclosures were left around houses for private gardens.
The Buddhust scriptures contain some discourses about
domestic architecture stating houses to be of five kinds and

With regard to food we find that there was a gradual bias against meat eating especially in the case of the Brahmanas in the epic period. Though the Jatakes speak of flesh eating as being current among the Brahmanas we do not think that in the post epic period and the period of the Jatakes and the one following it. Brahmanas enjoyed meat eating.

said to contain a number of articles rooms etc

Military organization and the machinery of government had however made a considerable advance in the epic period. The army was divided into four parts infantry cavalry chariots and elephants. These were under the command of suitable officers. Rules of war were humane.

In the Wahabharata we get glimpses with regard to some peculiar social customs of which brief reference 1 necessary In connection with the Purdah system it seems that the system was not in custence in the epic period. There are descriptions of women moving about unveiled The system appears to have been borrowed by the Indians from the foreigners in the early centuries before Christ and prevailed in Northern India among the Asatriyas As regards the custom of Sali it may be observed that there is no reference to the burning of widows in the Rgieda. The Mahabharata speaks of the self immola tion of queen Madra on her husband s funeral pyre There is some doubt about the genuineness of the reference to the burning of the widows of Krsna It is significant that there is no mention of the burning of the widows of Duryodhana and others Smrts writers of a late period state the custom and specify the exceptions. It seems the sair as a rule was not observed in the Mahabharata age With regard to the disposal of the dead on the battlefeld the Mahabharata enjoins that no funeral obsequies are to be performed for the dead nor are they to be mourned nor are the corpses to be cremated or buried \$ The corpses were left on the battlefield to be devoured by wild beasts vultures etc

On account of the close similarity which they bear to the works of Bhasa the social conditions of the Mauryan period as reflected in the Arthasastra are separately given. The influence of the prevailing social conditions on any particular author or work may best be illustrated by quoting the instance of Kautilyas Arthasastra The Arthasastra though professedly a book on politics and though proclaim ing equal and impartial treatment to all alike could not but promulcate special rules in the case of the Brahmanas on account of the social privileges and the high status they R

enjoyed at the period They were, for instance immune from capital punishment The influence of espionage, magic etc on the political life may also be ascribed to the prevailing beliefs and customs of the period

Now, the Arthasastra, no doubt, testifies to the existence of the Varnāsramadharma, but in not so strict a form as during the earlier epochs, and in most cases its rules were subservient to expediency The Arthasasira speaks of eight forms of marriage and various kinds of sons Inter communal marriages were not rare in those days, as also political marriages among the principal ruling families of the period. We get an advanced picture of towns and cities, with description of the laying out of a city in various directions with different quarters for different people There were ditches and fortifications round cities Lvery group of ten houses had a well and underground drains carried off rain water Trumpets were sounded to mark the beginning and end of night Pedestrians had to carry lamps with them during night Reference is found to slaves and rules have been given regarding their emancipation

The Arthassistra naturally speaks in detail about palaces and the various buildings to be housed therein, about the king, princes and ministers, war council secret service, etc. The king had a daily round of heavy duties from 3 n M to 9 r M. He also served as the Commander in Chief of the forces. Ambassadors used to be sent at various foreign courts, and it was a universal rule strictly followed that an ambassador was never to be killed. The secret service department played an important role in the political life department played an important role in the political life.

of the period. Various kinds of weapons of war have been enumerated in the Arthasastra which find their parallels in those mentioned by Bhasa. The Army Department was under a Senadhyaksa with various Departmental Chiefs such as Hastyadhyakşa Asvadhyaksa Ayudha garadhyaksa etc under him. There was a custom of waving lights before horses and elephants on particular days All the departments of war had to undergo daily training and exercise with the exception of a few days and the king attended the drill and parade. Death on battlefield has been highly extolled. Deeds of valour of the brave were recorded in the Annals of the State

✓ It was during this period that Bhasa wrote his plays The picture that we get of Bhasa's India has been elaborately treated in a subsequent chapter and it stands a fair comparison with the conditions portrayed in the Arthagastra 1

At this stage it may not be irrelevant to refer in brief to the political history. The next important events after the battle of Sudas with the ten kings described in the Reveda were the battles of Sahasrarjuna and Rama Jamadagneya as also the great battles and wars related in the Ramayana and the Wahabharata Janamejaya came to the throne after the great internecine Bharata war and his descendant was Nicaksu who transferred the capital of the Bharatas from Hastinapura which was washed away by the Ganges to Kausambi Udayana Vatsaram was a scion of the Bharata family and was well known for his mastery in lute and in taming wild clephants. He belonged to the Buddhist period and

TΩ

Pradvota Mahasena of Avanti and Dursaka of Magadha were his contemporaries. Avanti Vatsa Kosala and Magadha were the four big kingdoms when Buddha lived and preached King Canda Pradyota-Mahasena-of Avanti Bimbisara and his son Ajatasatru of Magadha Prasenant of Kosala and Udayana of the Vatsas were the contemporaries of Buddha Canda Pradvota caffed Pradyota Mahasena by Bhasa was the father of Gonalaka Palaka and Vasavadatta and the father in law of Udayana Vatsaraja of Kansambi Pradvota's intended invasion was the cause of the fortification of Rajagrha by Anatasatru Pradvota captured Udavana by the ruse of a mechanical elephant but the latter escaped along with Pradyota's daughter Vasavadatta and married her later on he contracted political marriage with Daréaka's sister Padmavati after it was given out that Vasavadatta was burnt in a pavision at Lavanaka. After the death of Pradyota his elder son Gopalaka abdicated in favour of his brother Palaka who being a tyrant was ousted by his nephew Aryaka The Pradyota dynasty was humbled by Sisunaga In Magadba Bimbisara's son Ajatasatru imprisoned him and usurped the throne. He transferred the capital to the newly fortified Rajagrha. His son was Darśaka whose existence is further corroborated by Bhasa Daréaka's con Udayaéva removed the capital from Ratagrha to Pataliputra of which fortifications were begun by Atatasatru

The social conditions related with regard to the Mauryan Age refer to the period of Candragupta Maurya and his predecessors. One of his immediate

predecessors. Ugrasena Mahāpadma, deserves our special

HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL RETROSPECT

attention He was a great conqueror and the territorial limits of the country over which he exercised control included the region between the Vindhya and the Himalayas and the seas . There was also the danger of foreign invasion in his reign in the form of the great Hellenic invader, Alexander the Great Bhasa appears to have been the court poet of Ugrasena Mahapadma or his immediate successor. He may have been the senior contemporary of the great Canakya

#### CHAPTER II

#### BHASA AND HIS WORKS

In consonance with the ancient Hindu tradition Bhasa has kept such a merciless reticence about himself as not to mention even his name in his works! \o truly biographical data are available about Bhasa. There are no doubt certain legends which have no historical value. After mentioning the legends about Bhasa in the following paragraph we shall at the end give particulars regarding the personal history of Bhasa based on inferences drawn from a study of his works.

It is stated that on a dispute between Bhasa and Vyasa for the place of honour the works of both were subjected to fire ordeal in the absence of a competent referee. Bhasa emerged triumphant in the test as the fire released his works intact without burning. In another legend it is stated that when Bhasa sworks were consigned to fire by the critics the Staphanisaiadata alone survived the fire ordeal. There is vet another tradition which records that Bhasa was a washerman (dha aka) by caste was a member of Sri Harsa's court and was the unnamed author of a number of works including the Prijadarsika and Ratinaial. Many scholars have proclaimed the spuriousness of the passages containing the latter tradition. Perhaps Dhavala, was a court poet of

Harsa, and he is compared or even identified with Bhāsa as his Priyadarsikā, Ratnāzali, etc resemble Bhāsa's works The very fact that this Dhāvaka Bhāsa is subsequent to kāhdāsa runs counter to his being a pre Kāhdasan Bhāsa. There is yet another tradition, also based on untrustworthy authority and linked with the previous one, which also states that Bhāsa was a dhāvaka (washerman) by caste, was distressed by poverty, and was micknamed Ghatakarpara on account of his vow recorded in Ghatakarpara kāvya ascribed to him in this tradition \*

Bhāsa appears to have been an orthodox Brahmana, a firm behever in the caste system and in the efficacy of sacrifices and prayers Probably, Bhasa was his gotta name, just as are Kautalva and Yaugandharayana Bhāsa does not seem to have been a king as is inferred by some His acquaintance with palaces and the royal household suggests that he may have been connected with some king, very likely as his court poet

His religious inclination proclaums Bhasa to be a

His religious inclination proclaims Bhasa to be a Varinava of the Bhakti school, and holding views of the Paficaratra system of philosophy. He was a champion of militant Brāhmanism, and Brahmana superiority was a rule with him. He also held cows in veneration. God fearing by nature, Bhasa was modest, withy, humorous, an engaging come resationalist, and a keen observer of humanity and the beauties of nature. He appears to have been a dutiful son, a faithful husband and a loving father. Always paying due respects to the elders, he was in favour of the joint family system. Bhāsa's works bear ample testimony to his optimism, profound psychological insight,

LI

He seems to have been well read in various Sastras and conversant with the intricacies of court life. Bhasa was no doubt an inhabitant of the North

Besides the thritten works that have come down to us, and the Yajhāphalam, Bhasa is reputed to have written a Nāiyasātira Tradition credits him with twenty three or even thrity works Some scholars have attributed Ghalakarpara, Traitikrama, Dāmaka, Vishidharma, etc to Bhāsa', but none of these can be said to have come from Bhāsa

Chatakarparakā-ya cannot be ascribed to Bhāsa as his udentity with Ghatakarpara is yet to be established and dentity with Ghatakarpara is yet to be established and again, the matter and manner of the Ghatakarparaka:ya are quite dusamilar to Bhāsa's works. The Kāvya is full of Sabdālankāras and Yamakas, and mainly concerns Srngara of the type quite different from what we get in Bhāsa

Transkrama has no plot, no construction no characterization, no prologue It is only a dialogue between the Stage manager and his mistress about the Vamanà stara It speaks of the 12th century as its date, and hence cannot be ascribed to any author prior to that date It may be the work of some Cakar prossibly Nislasinfies.

Dāmaka Prahasanam serves as the best illustration of a compilation, it is not an original work, but is made up of passages bodily taken from the Karnabhāra, Stapna idsanadatta, Avimāraka and Mattavilāsa. It belongs to the Cākyār repertoire, and dates after the 7th century

The stanza embodying the tradition about hie ordeal

has been taken to refer to Visnudharma as a poetical work of Bhāsa <sup>a</sup> But we have two works bearing the title Visnudharma or Visnudharmattara,—both Purānas,—which cannot be ascribed to Bhasa The stanza can be made to yield a better sense by emending Visnudharmān to Visnudharman (fire)

Besides the statement of Arthadyotanika a commentary on the Sakuniala, there is no other evidence for inferring the existence of a work on the Nātyaśastra by Bliasa

The Vināvasapadatta which has come down to us anonymously and which bears similarities to the Bhasa dramas appears to be the Vatsarājacarīta ascribed to Sūdraka

On account of the structural similarities and other parallelisms it displays with Bhasa's works I am inclined to ascribe the newly discovered anonymous drama Yajitaphalam to Bhasa It deals with the early life of Rāma prior to his marriage

Various anthological works quote some stanzas as having been extracted from the works of Bhāsa, but none of these is found in his works discovered thus far. Some of the stanzas however, may find a place in the printed works as indicated later on, the others may be from his still undiscovered dramas or kavyas or may be independent subhāsitas composed by him, while some do not belong to him at all, their ascription to Bhasa being apparently due to the mistake of the anthologists. At present we can only surmise that Bhāsa may have written some more works dramas and Kavyas, which have stilf defied the scholars in search of MSS.

BHASA

16

Before dealing with the various aspects of these plays it would help the clear and better understanding of them if a short sketch is given here about the origin of Sanskrit drama and its ten types

According to the Indian tradition as contained in Bharata is hatyassatha the origin of Sanskrit drama is to be found in the request of India and other gods to Brahma to create a fifth Veda for the Sudras who were debarred from studying the four Vedas already evisting. The Astyateda being thus fashioned for all the castes contains some features of the four Vedas iz recitation from the Rgseda gestures from the Yapureda music from the Ramavada and sentiments from the Afharasavda. The Gandharvas and Apsarases took purt in the play. The first play was staged at a religious festival in honour of India's a fig.

In the opinion of modern European scholars religious origin is sought for the Indian drama and its beginnings are traced in the Samvada hymns of the  $Re^*$  eda  $^3$  Various theories hold the field with regard to the Samvada hymns the abhyanas and the Suparnadhyaya references being made to cult dramas and mystery plays in old Vedic times. The dialogue hymns in the Rgweda may be said to contain the first germs of Indian drama. In the ritual of the purchase of Soma and in the Mahavrata festival are to be found the dramatic elements in the period of the Parahmanas Paunin refers to the Pataswitzs of Silalin and Irtsals and Patanipal to actual Iull Redged drams The use of Prakirt which is quite a feature of the Sans kirt drama is inexplicable if we accept a purely religious

setting for the Indian drama. It appears that the Sanskirt drama is a harmonious blending of both secular and religious elements—a fusion of two currents. It may be observed in passing that the earliest Sunskirt drama hailing from the South is the Assanyacudamani of Saktibhadra, which has been placed in the 8th century A D.

The theory of Hellemic origin for Indian drama is

absolutely untenable. In the first place, we come across dramas which can be placed prior to the Greek contact with India Nor can we find any influence from Greece in the development of Indian drama. Unities of time and place which are the sine qua non of Greek dramas are entirely disregarded in Sanskrit drama. In the latter are introduced the romantic and fabulous clements, verse mixed with prose, metrical benedictions and prologue There is a fundamental difference in the aim placed before themselves by the Sanskrit and Greek dramatists the Indian, the aim was not to mirror life by a direct portraval of action or character but to convey a moral mainly by evoking a particular sentiment it, i ie mind of the audience and leading them to unmixed joy. This being the sole object, plot and characterization occupied but a secondary place and we find no fertility in inventing plots or portraying characters There is thus in Sanskrit drama an atmosphere of sentiment and poetry which was conducive to idealistic creation at the expense of action and characterization. These fundamental differences in the concepts of the two schools will enable us to appreciate better the work of the Sanskrit dramatists by applying Sanskrit standards for their valuation B 2

18 BHĀSA

With these prefatory remarks about the origin of the Indian drama, let us consider the ten types of Sanskri drama 9 Bhana, the one man drama was the earliest type based only on monologues and frequent speeches in the air, and dealing with only the crotic sentiment Vithi, with an increased number of sentiments and characters came next, followed by Prahasana, which is a farce or a comit sature on the vices of ascetics and Brahmanas, contain ing humorous speeches, with Hasva as the principal sen timent Next appeared Vyayoga with an increased numbe of heroes which was raised to ten, but it excluded female as it was mostly of a military character, and dealt with al haughty sentiments Anka shows a developed stage that the Vyāyoga as it strikes a tragic note, introduces femal characters and deals with wailings of women. All thes five types were one act plays with their actions spread only over a day, and contained only two of the five Anga of Sanskrit drama, viz. Mukha and Nervahana, th Vyāyoga had Pratimukha as well Ihāmiga which cam next contained four acts three Angas and the duration o action extended to four days Dima contained four acts four Angas and all sentments with the exception c Śrngāra and Hāsya Samavakāra was similar to Dima an Vvavoga . it dealt with Singara in addition It had thre acts each succeeding one being shorter Difficult metre were employed and there were four Angas excluding th Vimarsana Nātikā with four acts and four Angas (ex cepting Vinarsa) is a love romance its plot being either drawn from tradition or invented Srngara was the predom mant element. Then came the Nataka containing a Angas and all Rasas with no restriction as to the number of characters. The plot was either drawn from tradition or dealt with the life incidents of a king. The only points of difference between a Nātaka and a Prakarana are that in the Prakarana the plot is imaginary and deals with the life story of a merchant or a minister or a Brāhmana, the manners of people of the common strata are portrayed and there is nothing of the atmosphere of grandeur.

Bhasa's plays supply us with instances of the Vyāyoga, Samaiakāra, Anka, Ihāmrga, Nātika Nātaka, and Prakarana 10

The plays of Bhāsa are of unequal length and workmanship and belong to different types of Sanskrit drams Many schlodrs have proclaimed multiple authorship for these plays Diversity in the merits of these plays can be satisfactorily explained by chronology in the plays, i.e., by assigning the plays to the different periods in the poet s career A thorough critical study of the works has convinced me of the common authorship of the plays 11 Only the major arguments for holding common authorship have been indicated here

lave been indicated here

[♣ The plays are united on account of what may be termed structural similarities All plays begin with the stage direction नामन्त्र तन अभिग्री सुभाग after which Sütradhāra recites one Mangala Stoka The Stapna, Pratiyñā, Pañcarātra and Pratimā employ Mudrālankara in the Mangala Stoka The prologues are very brief, salent as to the name of the author or the work, and are termed Sthapanā Most of the plays use the form प्रयागिर्विमामा गिमाप्यामि । स्वे किन्तु मानु मानु मानु स्वाचिमामा गिमाप्यामि । स्वे किन्तु मानु मानु मानु स्वचार स्व अभ्यान ।

BHASA

20

and the Prayog thisaya type of introduction for opening the main scene. The epilogues use the following verse with slight variation or contain its main ideas.

इमा सागरपर्यन्ता हिमबद्धिन्यकुण्डलम् । महीमेबातपत्राद्धाः सन्तर्सिहः प्रसास्तु न ॥

In some there is also the prayer परचक्र प्रशास्यत् ।

Then several of the plays agree in disregarding the rules of Bharata prohibiting the representation of deaths duels battles sport and sleep on the stage v Many play agree with regard to technique, rapid progress in action is secured by making some characters go and return (miskramya pravisja) immediately with the news of th intervening events. Frequent recourse again is had t Ik isabh isita a kind of monclogue in which a person of the stage carries on conversation with persons not on th stage Captures battles and duels are narrated a graphically as to conjure a picture before the audience indeed to create an illusion that persons not on the stage are there all the while. Battles are described by fairle soldiers Brahmanns etc Patakisthanahas where replie to most questions are given by unintentional an unexpected answers have been similarly employed in the Prattie i and the Abhiseka Another common feature i the bringing of actual water on the stare for al lutions of worship or for sanctifying vows or for washing a ten stained face the requirtion being made in the formul afrists at the attendant entering with this loah

Community of ideas is found in many play of the group. The poet is very fond of the ideas this

natural arm is the most appropriate weapon for the brave that kings though dead in body live through their deeds that prosperity revels in adventure and is never satisfied that valour does not depend upon age etc Numerous s miles and images, such as the companion of a pot erful adversary with a hon or tiger and of his weaker rival with an elephant or deer or of a person to the moon in the midst of stars are peculiarly used in these plays There is allo agreement of vocabulary and expression and recurrence of stanzas half verses and of short and long passaces. These dramas present similar grammatical silecisms and Prakrit archaisms. Recarding metrics we ful that there is a preponderence of the Sloka and use of split up verses some plays show a predilection for certain de criptions more or less in the same style such is darkness sunset miglifall city at night battles lattle felds etc. In some plays the poet shows his sympathy with kings and warriors on the brink of ruin such as Kirna Duryodhana and Vilin Names of the min r characters in some of the plays are the same There are similar dramatic situations in these plays

There are similar dramatic satuations in these plays. Site and V isintae-margeet the villains overtures with a cure. He young I cross in the Pancardra and B diacarda similarly returt by askins, whose kins,? when told to salute the kins. In the Pancardra and Pratima one order tresented at first is subsequently corrected in identical words. Dasardth: Valin and Duryodhana have similar visions at their death. When the vericity of a messenger is doubted list usual retort is that le has never told a lie. Lather and so note shown as having a fight, the latter not

22 BHĀSA

knowing that he is fighting with his father the some dramatic scenes which are similar. The talks that pass between the king and the queen regarding the selection of a sintable bridgeroom for their daughter are similar in the Pratigna and the Aumaraka. The pastoral scenes in the Balacarita and the Panearita are similar as also those describing the city at might in the Atimiraka and Crividalla.

The predominant sentiments are the same in these plays such as respect for elders and the Brahmanas glo ification of sacrifices and gifts to Brahmanas the duty of kings to uphold justice and preserve the tarnastama dharma the desire for independence and the vanquishing of the foreign invaders

These common characteristics prove these plays to be the productions of one and the same author Now from the statement of Rajišekhara we know that Bhasa wrote a number of plays and the Stapnar Isarad atta which survived the fire ordeal was one of them Ramacandra and Gunacandra also testify to the authorship of Bluss of the 5 spnat Isaudatts With regard to the relationship of tle Stabnat isatadat a as known to tle ancient rl etoricians and that as come down to us we find as shown in a later chapter that the prologue and scenes referred to by All inavagupta etc are found in the printed text with many minor changes which are attributal le to different recensions of the text in The te timony of these authors proves that our text is the Stapna isatala a as known to tlem and that Bhisa is the author As all these plays in the series are by one and the same southor, and as one

play of the group, viz the Svapnanāsavadatia, is by Bhāsa, naturally Bhasa is the author of the whole cycle. The conclusion is further strengthened by references to Bhasa by Bāna, Jayadeva Dandin and others which will be dealt with in a leter chapter on the Bhasa Problem? A Haying thus established Bhasa s authorship of the plays.

let us revert to the topic of the different periods in the author's career or the chronological order of the plays, which explains some of the apparent inconsistencies and want of uniform merit in these works. There have been some attempts at fixing the chronological order of the plays on the basis of the Bharatatākyas used in these plays or of the proportion of metres or of the evolution of thought and psychology They, however, fail to take into account the joint evidence of matter and manner, and hence I have considered the problem from the point of matter and manner of the plays the extent of their maturity and evolution and the proportion of dialogues verses poetic licences and weak endings 13 There are three periods in the career of the poet, the first being mainly devoted to one act plays with themes taken from the coics such as the Dutavakva Karnabhara, Dutaghatotkaca. Urubhanga and Madhyamavyayoga, with the Pañcarāira as marking the transition The anustubh metre predom mates the proportion of weak endings and poetic licences is high and dialogues are comparatively few. In the second period the poet shows some skill in inventing and weaving the plot and has increased the number of acts . and characters Dialogue is on the increase and there is the employment of song and dance The Pañcaratra,

24

 Abhiseka, Bălacarita and Azimāraka belong to this period In the final period, the dialogues are on the increase, and the eme in the rather slows down The Pratima, Pratinñāvaugandharāyana Svapnavāsgvadatta and Carudatta pertain to this period. The first though based on the Rămavana, and the next two on folklore, show many innovations brought on by the poet. They are the fin ished products of the first period showing minute and psychological observation. The dialogue is so much on the increase that in the last two works there is an act each with no verse at all. The Canadatte arrears to be the last work of the poet, which has remained incomplete, possibly on account of his death

These plays can be classified according to the types of . drama represented by each as under

Natakos Abheseke Bālacarila, izemiraka Stabnavāsa, adait, v ' , s.mī

- 2 Prakarana Circada
  - 3 Samayakara Pañcarátra
  - 4 Ihimren Pratunayaugandharayana
- 5 Anha Karnabhīra, Dūtaghatotkaca and firm bhanga
  - 6 Vyayoga Madhyama
  - Vith Data i'ya

In dealing with the ' Plots of the Plays however, it would be better to group the plays on the basis of the subject matter, and the grouping will be

1. The Mahabhirata plays Madhyama, Dūjavākya. Dataghatotkaca, Karnabhira, Crubhanga and Panca. 9.31ea

25

4 The Udayana plays Stapnatāsatadaita and

BHASA AND HIS WORKS

Pratuñã 5 Romances Aumāraka and Cārudatta,

the above grouping

The next chapter will give the plot of each play under

#### CHAPTER III

#### PLOTS OF THE PLAYS

For the purpose of giving the plots of the plays of Bhasa we divide the plays into two main groups viz the epic and Puranic, and folklore and romances Under the first group will be included the Mah ibh Trata and R imuyana plays and the Balacarita and the second group will comprise the Atimaraka Pratign? Stapna and Carudatta The order of the plays in the Mahlbharata and the Ramayana group has been arranged in accordance with their occurrence in the epics in order to ensure clear understanding of the plots of the plays to the general reader who is normally conversant with the epies. A reader or an audience without a knowledge of the stories and of the main characters of the epics will not be able to thoroughly appreciate the plays. A short prefatory note has been appended to the plot wherever necessary supply ing particulars from the epics. In a later chapter we have discussed the sources of the thus a e- the material on which Bhasa has worked and his own invention or innovation in the plots of his tlass

I cllowing the order of the incidents as given in the Mahliblirits the Madhi ima receives our attention first, as it relates to the period of the forest life of the Pandavas, and the remaining Mahābharata plays concern subsequent events

MADHYAMA There is no basis in the Mahābhārata

for the struation told in the Madhyama, of Bhima fighting agamst Ghatotkaca and later meeting Hidimbā, which has been invented by the poet and tagged on to the epic characters. The incidents refer to the evile of the Pāndavas after the killing of Hidimba by Bhīma, his marriage with Hidimbā and the birth of Ghatotkaca. The Pāndavas are staying in a hermitage.

The play receives its name from its being a <u>vyayoga</u> dealing with Madhyama the middle one, which is the appellation employed by the poet with relation to both Bhima and the middle son of the Brahmana

After reciting the benedictory stanza in praise of Visnu the stage manager hears some noise behind the curtain. which is made by the Bralimana family pursued by the demon Ghatotkaca The stage manager then leaves the stage and the old Brahmana, his wife, three sons and the demon Ghatotkaca make their entry The demon informs them that he has been ordered by his mother to bring a human being for her breakfast and decides to obey his mother despite his respect for the Brahmanas The Brahmana family come to know that the Pandavas' hermitage was nearly but all except Bhima were absent, and Bhima was roaming out for physical exercise. They ask the demon if there was any way out of the difficulty for them and the latter agrees to take only one of them and let off Each member offers himself for the sake of the family, finally the choice falls on the middle one, who is

BHASA

allowed by the demon to quench his thirst from the laknearby. The demon gets imputent as the boy did not return soon and calls out loudly more than once to

28

nearby fire demongers impriced as the boy one lowreturn soon and calls out loudly more than once to the Brahmana boy after knowing that his name wa-Madhyama In answer to the cull Bhimi (who wa'also a Widhyama) presents himself before the demon

and at the old Brahmana's request to protect his son promises his lelp and orders Giatothaca to relevathe Brahman. On the demon's released Bhima himself offers to accompany the demon'to be taken by force if the latter had the power. Then there ensues a fight between the falter and the son unknown to one another in which

the son has to own defert both in wrestling and in mige All then go to Hutmba s residence. She it once recognices Bhirm and asks Ghitothea to solute his fither. She explains that her motive in asking Ghitothea to bring, a linuman being for Ler dinner was to bring, back Bhirms himself. Ghitothea then solutes the Bribminis and the finally is allowed to go in peace. The normal Bhirath ikya brings the play to its closs.

PASCARĀTRA. After the incidents related in the Madhama the Pandayas went to the Viritania, are the stupillation at the guidbling. The cuttle raid curried on In Duryodhann occurred during the stay of the Pan have with the Virita king. All which kinds a Brigarian Artiona.

as Bihannala Bi inia as the chief cook etc. Bhasallavemployed the cattle raid but he has given it quite a different motive. There is also a reference to the mark

tage between Abhamanan and I stars

The play derives its title from pañcarâlra (five nights) during which Drona offered to bring news of the Pāndavas on which Duryodhana agreed to part with half his kingdom

The benedictory stanza introduces the names of the principal dramaiis bersonge, after which the stage manager hears the praise of the grand sacrifice performed by Duryodhana Three Brahmana youths in the interlude describe the sacrifice at some length Bhisma and Drona followed by Sakuni, Karna and Duryodhana open the main scene All congratulate Durvodhana on the success ful performance of the sacrifice He pays his respects to the elders and pronounces blessings on youngsters Durvodhana is mightily pleased and offers to give anything in his power as a dalsina to Drona which he solemnly swears over water Drona asks for a share in the kingdom to the Pandavas Salvin objects to this. and hot words pass between Sakuni and Drona Bhisma intervenes and pacifies both. At the suggestion of Sakuni. who finds that Duryodliana does not wish to back out of the agreement but is willing to get out of it by quibbling. Durvodhana states on solemn oath that he will part with half his kingdom if news of the Pandavas is brought within five nights. News is brought from Virata of the slaughter of Kicakas by an unknown person in which Bhisma sees the handswork of Bhima Drona accepts the condition of five nights on Bhisma's advice Bhisma professes a private feud with Virata and proposes a cattle raid All agree and start against the capital of Virata (Act I)

The interlude to the next act takes us to the outskirts

30

of the Virāta capital among the herdsmen and informs us that the Kurus have begun the cattle raid there On getting the news, king Virata sends for his charioteer and Bhagavan (Yudhisthira in disguise) He is told that practically all the Kurus with Drona, Krpa Bhisma, Abhimanyu, etc had come for the raid, and that Uttara had already taken the royal charnot with Brhannala (Aruna in disguise) as the character Bhagavan assures the king of Brhannala s skill News of Uttara s success is brought by stages from the battlefield. Uttara being engaged in rewarding the heroes after the war, Brhannala is asked to give details of the war. Message is then brought that Abhimanyu has been captured by the cook in the royal kitchen (Bhima in disguise) Brhannala is sent by Virata to escort Abhimanyu. The scene in which Abhimanyu has talks with the cook and Brhannala, without knowing them to be his uncle and father, is humorous Virāta is pleased with Abhimanyu s proud and truly royal behaviour Uttara then makes his entry and reveals the identity of the Pandavas Virata then offers his daughter Uttara in marriage to Ariuna but the latter accepts her as a bride for his son Virata agrees to the marriage which is decided to be celebrated the same day, and Uttara is sent to Bhisma and the Knrus with an invitation to attend the marriage ceremony (Act II)

The next act opens in the Kuru camp where on learning of Abhinanyus capture all make ready to fight for his release. From the particulars supplied by Abhimanyus charioteer, Bhisma and Drona guess that Bhima must have been the captor of Abhimanyu. Bhisma also states

thus discussing Bhisma s charioteer brings the arrow that struck the banner of Bhisma s chariot and the arrow bears the name of Arjuna Then enters Uttara as a messenger of Yudhisthira and he invites all the Kurus for the marriage of Abhimanyu and Uttara which they decide to celebrate at Viratanagara Drona claims the fulfilment of his part of the promise as the news of the Pandavas was brought within the stipulated period of five nights Duryodhana agrees to part with half the kingdom for the Pandavas and all feel happy at that there is an epilo<sub>b</sub>ue by Drona at the end (Act III)

that the master archer must be Arjuna While they were

DÜTAVAKYA After the marriage of Uttara and Abhimanyu told in the Pancaratra the Pandavas returned to Hastinapura from their exile and sent ambassadors to the Kurus asking for their share in the patrimony but they were turned down by the Kurus. As a final resort Kirsina prepares himself to go as an emissary of peace to Duryodhana. The epic devotes a number of chapters (V 94 95 124 131) to the description of the embassy of Kirsina giving details about the incidents at the Kurus court etc. All these particulars have been compressed in a single act in the Dulatakya.

The play is named *Dutanakya* as it deals with the advice (vikya) of Krsna as an emissary (duta) of peace to Duryodhana

After the benedictors stanza in praise of Upendra the stage manager is disturbed by some noise behind the curtain made by the chamberlain in announcing that Dursodhana wanted to consult the princes in the council

32 BH 1SA

chamber with regard to the appointment of the command er in chief for the Luru forces in the ensuing war for which preparations were already made. After all are properfy seated Duryodhana asks the assembly as to who should lead the Lurus and on Sakunis suggestion Bhisma is selected as the commander in chief. Just then the chamberlam announces the entry of Narayana as an envoy from the Pandavas at which Duryodhana threatens to fine the assembly if they rose to honour Arsna In order to insult the envoy Duryodhana engages himself in Ioo mg at a printed s roll depicting the denuding of Draupadi At the entry of Krana however the assembly rises to honour him and in confusion Duryodhana falls down The painted scroll is then removed at Arsna's suggestion. When Krsna asks for a share for the Panda vas Durvodhana severely criticizes them and both use hot words Duryodhana orders his brothers Salum and the assembled kings to put Krsna under arrest but finding none dare obey him he himself tries to bind Arsna but walks away being foiled in his effort by Krsna's assuming cosmic forms. Krsna is much enraged and calls Sudarsana to extirpate the Kauravas. The weapon appears in human form and reminds its master of the great divine cause of slaying a host of tyrants etc Krsna is pacified and asks the missile to go Meanwhile all the other missiles of the Lord including Garuda appear and go back on being told of the pacification of Krana After Sudarsana has gone Arena afso sets out to go but is detained by the old king Dhrtarastra who honours the Lord by faffing at His feet There is an epilogue at the end

There is no female character in this play,

DUTAGHATOTKACA After the failure of Krsna's mission as told in the Dūtanūkya, hostilities began under the command of Bhīsma for the Kuris After the fall ol Bhīsma on the battlefield, Drona was crowned Commander in Chief, and in the course of battle, Abhimanyu, the youthful husband of Uttarā, the daughter of Virata, was encircled alone in the Cakravytha and was slain-by a number of his enemies. It is after this ruthless slaughter of Abhimanyu that the plot of the Dūtaghatothara begins. There is no reference in the epic to the embassy of Ghatotkaca, which is the invention of the poet.

The play is so called because it tells of Ghatotkaca as an envoy (dila) carrying the message of Kṛṣṇa to the Kurus

After reciting the benedictory stanza, the stage manager is disturbed by a soldier informing Dhrtarastra of the ruthless murder of Ablamanyu by the Kurus The old king protests against the wickedness of the Kurus, and foresees the door of Javadratha, the chief culprit, and the Kurus at the hands of the furious Pandavas Duryodhana, Dulyasana and Sakuni make their entry delighted at Abhimanyu's death and their victory There ensues some discussion between Duryodhana and Dhṛtarastra, in which Duryodhan's tries to argue with his father On the news of Ariuna's vow to kill Jayadratha, Duryodhana says that he would protect the latter to which Dhrtarastra retorts that Kṛṣṇa's arrows will follow Jayadratha wherever he will go Then enters Ghatotkaca as an envoy from Krsna, and unmindful of the insult from B 3

34

Dury odhana he approaches Dhrtarastra who receives him courteously. The message of Kerna to Dhrtarastra is to prepare his mind for the worst and bear calmly the grief for the impending deaths of his sons. Dury odhana taunts Ghatotkaca who suntably replies to him. References are made to the cruelty and hard heartedness of the Rak-sass and the misdeeds of the Kurus. Ghatotkaca becomes enraged and prepargis to fight single handed in spite of his minimusty is an envoy. The old king however fearing another child murder pacifies Ghatotkaca who asks Dhrtarastra for the reply to be carried back to Krisna Dury odhana says that his arrows would serve as the reply. Then Ghatotkaca salutes Dhrtarastra and after delivering the final message of Kirina about the threat of vengeance by Arjuna he miles his exit. There is no epilogue.

KARNABHARA Karna as is well known to every reader of the epic was horn to kuntu when still a virgin through the Sun god and so he was a half brother of the elder Pandavas. He was born with armour and golden ear rings which made him in incible in war. The I and par an of the Moli bihardar refers to Karna s being warned by the Sun god in a dream against giving the armour to Indra who would come for them in the guise of a Brahmana. Karna prefers death to losing reputation. The Sun god then surgests harna to take magic lance in return from Indra to which Karna agrees. Indra then appears demands and gets both the armour and ear ring giving karna the masse lance.

In the great Bharata battle Karna was selected to be the general after Drona's death and was sent out specially to fight with Arjuna He has, however, the premonition that his weapons would forsake him at the critical time Salya agrees to be Karna's driver, but Karna chooses to insult him

Bhāsa has transferred the incident of Indra's taking the armour to the battle field, and has made Salya sympathetic to Karna

The play is named Karnabhara as it relates to the responsibility or burden (bhāra) of Karna as a general After benedictory stanza the stage manager hears some noise caused by the warrior messenger of Duri odhana telling Karna of the impending dreadful fight. Larna is ready in his war dress with Salya and sets out for the fight with Arjuna Karna is in depressed moods. He asks Salya to drive his chariot where Arjuna is, but he is held back by his mother's word and the memory of the Pandavas being his tounger brothers Then he relates to Salva the story of his curse, how he went to Parasurama under the guise of a Brahmana, and how his preceptor cursed him that his astras would fail him in time of need after he knew of Karna's true identity Karna tests his knowledge but finds it fruitless Resigned, he prepares to fight, as "slain in war one goes to heaven" He asks Salva to drive the chariot, but is stopped by Indra disguised as a Brāhmana mendicant asking a big boon Turning down the offers of cows, horses, elephants, etc. even of his own person made by Karna, the Brahmana demands the armour of Karna In spite of protests from Salva. Karna gives the magic armour to the Brahmana who then makes his exit Indra's servant comes with

36

the gift of Vimalā, a Śakti, but Karna accepts it only because it proceeds from a Brāhmana. Karna then ascends his chariot and for the third time asks Śalya to drive it to the battle field. The usual epilogue occurs at the end.

ORUBHANGA After Karna met with death at the hands of Arjuna in the great Bharata battle, Salya was appointed the general and after his death was fought the duel with clubs between Bhuna and Duryodhana. The incident is described in the Gaddynddhaparvan. The poet has given the story in quite a different light from that represented by the epic.

The play deals with the breaking up (bhanga) of the thighs (aru) of Duryodhana

After the benedictory stanza by the stage manager, three warriors enter the stage, and in turn, give a detailed description of the battlefield and of the innumerable corpses. They then repair to the place where the terrible mace-fight is going on between Bhima and Duryodhana. and they give a very realistic account of the due! Bhima is struck on the head and falls down, and Duryodhana taunts him. Then Krsna makes a secret sign to Bhima by striking his thigh Thereupon Bhima fights with a new vigour and with supreme effort hurls his mace with both hands smashing the thighs of Duryodhana who bleeds profusely and falls down Bhima is thereafter led away by the Pandavas helped by Krsna Balarama is angry at the injustice, and he opens the next scene shouting that he will kill Bhima Duryodhana crawls in with great effort and tries to pacify Balarama He shows saintly resigna-

tion saying it was no use fighting under the circumstances. and that it was really the great Lord Krsna that had brought his doom Then the old parents of Duryodhana make their entry along with his two queens and the little son-all bewailing his sad lot. The whole sight pains Duryodhana, he rises to salute his father, but falls down The scene between Duryodhana and Durjaya his son, is the most pathetic, most touching, in the whole range of Sanskrit literature and deserves to be read in the original The tragedy reaches its climax when the father is unable to offer his lap as a resting place for his son on account of his broken thighs Duryodhana salutes his parents, asks his queens not to lament for him as he has met with a hero's death, and preaches reconciliation to his son Finally Asvatthaman enters the stage wrathful at the sad condition of the king In spite of dissuasion from Duryodhana, Asvatthāman declares his vow, with Balarāma as witness to kill the Pandavas and crown Durjaya an emperor Duryodhana feels satisfied and gets visions of his ancestors at his death His body is covered with cloth Dhrtarastra goes to forest for penance, and Asvatthaman to the Pandavas' camp in a night raid with upraised weapon The general prayer by all for the protection of the earth by 'our king after destroying his enemies appears at the end

Next, we come to the Rāmayana plays 1

PRATIMĀ The Pratimā receives its title from the statues (pratimās) which play an important part in the third act of the play

The benedictory stanza introduces the names of the

principal dramatis personae, after which the Nati makes her appearance in answer to the stage manager's call, and sings a song The palace portress from behind the scenes asks the chamberlain to hurry up with preparations for the coronation of Rama, to which the latter replies that everything was ready. Then enters Avadatika, Sita s maid, carrying a bark garment which she has removed in lest from the mistress of the royal concert Sita, who appears with her maids, on learning of the mischief asks Avadatika to return the garments Then Sita puts on the garments for mere fun and sends for a murror A maid brings the news of Rama's coronation. The sound of drum is heard, but it ceases suddenly. Rama then enters wondering why people were astonished at his calmness in leaving the throne at his father's word. He tells Sita how the coronation was set aside On knowing that Sitä had put on bark garments out of mere currosity, Rama desires to have one for himself but Sita prevents him saying it was ominous. A chamberlain brings news of the fainting of the king, and blames Kaikeyi for it all, but Rama stops him justifying Kaikeyl's conduct as not being due to any ulterior motive Then enters Laksmana much angry and agreated, with bow in hand, intent on ridding the world of womankind He is soothed and tells that forest exile for fourteen years has been enjoined on Rama and this has unnerved him and put the king in a swoon Rama asks for bark garments and decides to go to forest spite of dissuasions Sita maists on accompanying him Lakemana also pleads to he allowed to share forest life with Rama, and Sita supports him Finally all the three put on bark garments and set out for the forest, avoiding the chamberlain who enters with the news of the coming of the brokenhearted king, to prevent them from entering forest Sitä removes her veil at Rāma's behest (Act I).

The interlude informs us of the sorry plight of Dasaratha and of the citizens of Ayodhya after the departure of Rama, Laksmana and Sita The main scene opens with the king in a delirious state, frail in body and mind, continuously wailing and grzing at the direction by which the trio had left Kausaly a and Sumitra attend on him and try to console him, but he talks incoherently and is unable to control his grief. He is constantly thinking of his children whose mere names are a solace to him Sumantra brings the news of the departure of the three to the forest alter paying homoge to Dasaratha This proves a veritable shock to Dasaratha and he falls in a deep swoon, recovering but partly from it He leaves a message full of trony and sarcasm for Kail eg1, and finally expires, with the names of his children on his lips after getting a vision of his ancestors. His body is covered, all mourning the death (Act II)

The interlude provides some humour informing us of the statue—house and the special preparations made there for the visit of the queen mothers. Bharata, who had staged long with his uncle, opens the main scene, he returns to Ayodhya knowing only of his father's serious illness. To his queries regarding the state of the king's health, the charioteer replies exasively. On reaching the outskirts of Ayodhyā. Bbarata pictures to himself what he would find there, and his charioteer feels great sympathy

BHĀSA

40

for his master Then Bharata agrees to wait outside the city till the auspicious moment on instructions from Vasistha He decides to pass the time in what looked like a temple On entering he finds four statues beau tifully executed Taking them to be idols he proceeds to bow down his head but is told by the priest in attendance that they were the statues of the kings of the Iksvaku family Then Bharata asks the priest about each of the statues and comes to know that they represent Dilipa Raghu and Aja and feels agonised at recognising the last statue as that of his father He enquires whether statues of living kings were erected but is replied in the negative Bharata guesses the truth and avoiding any further questions he bids adieu to the priest. The priest however asks him point blank why he does not enquire about Dasaratha who parted with his life and kingdom for the sake of the dowry contract Bharata faints but recovers again and gets the news of the king s death and the exile of Rama Laksmana and Sita from the priest The details prove painful and Bharata again faints Just at that moment enter the queens led by Sumantra After recovering Bharata recognises his mothers and pays respects to Kausalya and Sumitra. He upbraids his mother for the misery wrought by her and disowns her Kaikeyi tries to justify herself on the ground of the fulfil ment of the dowry contract but not satisfied at that Bharata passes caustic remarks She however says that she will explain at the proper time and place But Bha rata accuses his mother of having done havoc for personal gain Bharata is told that Vasistha Vamadeva and others have come for his coronation Bharata determines at once to go to forest and find out Rāma and Laksmana (Act III)

The interlude informs us of the departure of Bharata for the tapovana of Rama The main scene opens with Bharata and Sumantra in a chariot On reaching the hermitage. Bharata announces himself as an ordinary, un kind, ungrateful-but devoted-person come to see Rama The exiled trio is unable to ascertain the identity of the speaker from his voice, and Rama sends Laksmana to receive the stranger Laksmana then comes in and announces Bharata to Rama, who sends Sita to receive him After mutual salutations and greetings, in the course of conversation. Rama remarks that Laksmana Should serve him in the forest and Bharata in the city (by ruling the kingdom) Bharata says that he can carry on Rama's task even by staying in the forest as the kingdom can be protected by Rama's name Rama, however, draws Bharata's attention to his father s order and the fair name of the Raghu family To Sumantra Rāma replies that Bharata should be crowned king Bharata's requests prove of no avail, and he agrees to go back on condition that Rama took the charge after return from exile, which Rama accepts Then Bharata asks for the gift of Rama's sandals in whose name he was accept ing the kingdom as a trust Rama agrees to this also and Bharata desires to crown the sandals by sprinkling coronation waters over them As the kingdom is not to be neglected even for a moment. Rama asks Bharata to leave without delay, and the latter starts for Ayodhya

42

forthwith in his chariot along with Sumantra, the exiled trio escorting him as far as the door of the hermitage. (Act IV)

During the year that passed at the hermitage, Sitā has become quite familiar with her new life and duties Rama is worned as to how to celebrate the śrāddha of his father suitably, and Sītā suggests that the rite may be performed with fruits and water available in the forest. Rama replies that by these his father would be reminded of his forest life and would grieve in heaven Ravana, in the guise of an ascetic, comes on the stage declaring his intention to carry off Sita He announces himself 'as "guest", and is offered seat and water. Then he intro duces himself as of Kāsyapa Gotra and well versed in sacred scriptures Rama evinces special interest for śrāddha ritual, and Rāsana enumerates special offerings among various objects, stating that the golden flanked antelope in the Himalayas would be specially relished by the manes Rayana produces such an antelone through lus maya, and Rama lumself runs off after it as Laksman was sent out to receive Kulapati. After Rama's depart ure. Sità starts to enter the hut, but Ravana resumes hi original form, tells her of his intentions and boasts of his prowess and feats Then he abducts her, proclaiming & challenge to Rama Jatavu, the vulture friend of Dasaratha hearing of the challenge rushes against Rayana, who pro ceeds against the vulture with a drawn sword (Act V)

The interlude by two ascetics describes the terrible aerial fight between Rayana and Jatayu in which Jatayu is slain. The main scene opens at Ayodhya with the

return of Sumantra who had been sent to the exples to Janasthana Bharata, wearing bark garments and matted hair, receives Sumantra, who at first tries to keep back the news of Sītā's abduction, but while telling of Rāma's friendship with Sugriva blurts out the truth inadvertantly Bharata is much pained, and he approaches his mother along with Sumantra and reproaches her for the misery. Then Bharata is told of the curse that Dasaratha had, that he would die through grief for his son, and it was in order to fructify the curse and not for any lust for power that Kaikeyl had to effect the separation Regarding the period, Kaikeyi explains that through confusion she spoke 'fourteen years' instead of 'fourteen days' Bharata is satisfied and makes peace with his mother Bharata then declares his intention of rousing the whole circle of kings to help Rama and vanquish Ravana Kausalya faints at the news of Sita's abduction and Bharata and Kaikeyi go to comfort her (Act VI)

The interlude opens in the hermitage after the war, in which Rāvaṇa was slain, and informs us that Rāma was returning with Sitā and party Rāma opens the main scene happy at the fulfilment of his vow. Then enters Sitā and they recollect some familiar incidents and visit the familiar places Bharata comes with the queen mothers, Satrughna, priests, and a large army After mutual salutations and greetings, Karkeyī asks Rāma to go in for coronation Many triumphal proclamations are heard from behind the scenes, and Rāma enters with his followers after consecration Rama asks his father to rejoice in heaven as his wishes were fulfilled. Then Rāma

44

is offered congratulations by his brothers, Bibhisana Sugrīva, etc Rāma and the whole party then proceed to Ayodhyā in Rāvana's aerial car, Puspaka, for another coronation there. The epilogue prays for the glory and rule of the king.

ABHISEKA Next we come to the second Rāma drama, which is so called because it deals with the consecration (abhiseka), not only of Rāma, but of Sugrīva and Ribhisana as well

The stage manager recites the benedictory stanza in praise of Rama and informs the audience of the compact between Rama and Sugriva for mutual help. The main scene opens at Kiskindha where, accompanied by Rama Laksmana and Hanuman. Sugriva challenges his elder brother Välin for duel Välin rushes to the spot despite his wife's prayers, and knocks down Sugriva in the dud Hanûman reminds Râma of his promise, and Rāma shoots Vähn with an arrow causing him drop down unconscious Valin, after knowing of Rama's name from the arrow charges the latter with unrighteousness in striking from behind an ambush Rama offers a feeble explanation that Valur was a mere animal, and was a greater wrong-doer than Sugriva Valin is apparently satisfied, as he asks for forgiveness and consoles himself at the thought of meeting death at the hands of Rama Valin pacifies the grieving Sugriva He sips water and gets visions of sacred rivers absarases, etc, and finally expires Rama then directs Laksmana to arrange for Sugrisa's consecration (Act I)

The interlude tells of the different batches of Vănaras sent in all directions in search of Sītā, and of Hanuman's flight to Lanka The main scene opens in a garden in Lanka where Sita is guarded by Raksasis Hanuman searches everywhere in Lanka for Sita, and finally comes to the spot where she is kept. He hides himself at the approach of Ravana, and watches the scene between Ravana and Sita He gets infuriated at the proud words of Ravana, but controls his anger Sita is, however, adamant and at Ravana's vile request she curses him Then Rayana makes his exit as it was time for his bath. and Hanuman introduces himself as Rama's servant to Sita From him she learns of the sorfowful and love lorn condition of Rama, of Valin's death and of Rama's proposed invasion of Lanka He is told to inform Rama of Sha's condition in such a way that he may not grieve Then Hanuman leaves with the intention of ravaging the park of Rayana (Act II)

Park of Ravana (Act II)
Ravapa is informed of the complete destruction of the Aska garden by a monkey. Ravana orders the capture of the monkey, and is gradually told of the havoe wrought by Hantiman culminating in the death of Akya, Ravana's son and five more commanders along with their army Ravapa himself prepares to 60, but news is brought that Indrayit has captured and bound the monkey. Hantiman, when brought before Ravapa, defies him and delivers Rama's message. Ravapa feels indignant and furnous, Butt Bibliapa restrains him from kalling Hantiman saying that messengers were immune from death and advises him to return-Sta. Ravapa abuses Bibliapapa and orders Hantiman to be sent away after setting fire to his tail. Bibliapan repetats his advice, but Ravana accuses

him of siding with the enemy and orders his removal Bibhisana tells Ravana to suppress his anger and pass on and sets out to go to Rama (Act III)

Immediately on the return of Hanuman Sugras prepares to start for Lanka and Rama Lakemann Sugras and Hanuman reach the shores along with the army. Then enters Bibhisana who is introduced to Rama by Hanuman and at his counsel. Rama hurts a missile at the ocean Varina appears in human form and afford passage to the army by dividing into two. The arms crosses the ocean and encamps at Suvela. Two spies are caught by Nia Commander in Chief of the Vanara forces who are recognised by Bibhisana as Rasanas minister. They are sent back with a message to Ravana after which Rama reviews the army. (Act. IV)

The interlude informs us of the serious reverses of the Raksas forces the deaths of Prahasta kumbhakarna etc and of Indrapts entry on the battlefield Raksana still passionate and prepares artificial heads of Rama and Laksinana. The main scene opens with Raksana approaching Sita with the replicas of the heads. She faints and asks to be killed with the same sword. Message is brought that Indrapit has been killed and that the Raksasa army is in flight. Enraged Rayana rises quickly to go against Rama with a sword but is prevented by his servant he then sets out to kill Sita as the cause of the whole misery but is dissuaded. Then he starts for the battlefield in his charaot and Sita offers prayers for the victory of Rama. (Act V.)

The interlude describes in detail the terrible fight

between Rāma and Rāvana as observed by three faires, in which Rāvana is killed. The main scene opens with Rāma entering Lankā, and Laksmana and Bibhisana inform him of Sitā's approach. Rāma refuses to see her as her long stay with the Rāksasas was a stain. He, however, sanctions her request to enter fire. Agni guarantees the purity of Sitā and leads her to Rāma. Divine Gan dharvas and Vidyādharas sing behind the curtain and Rāma and Sita go in for their coronation. Then the corsecrated Rāma re enters with Sitā, and informs of his consecration at the hands of his father. Bharata, Satru ghina, and the subjects as well as Mahendra and other gods come to greet Rāma. The usual epilogue comes at the end. (Act VI.)

Next, we come to a Purānic play, viz Bālacarita dealing with the story of Krsna It is so named because it tells us of the various exploits (carita) of Krsna when a child (bala)

The stage manager recites the benedictory stanza in praise of Naráyana, and begins to address the audience when Narida, the lover of music and of feuds, descends the stage. He introduces us to Devaki carrying her newborn babe to Vasudeva, and makes his evit after circum ambulating the Lord Naráyana. Then begins the main scene with Devaki and Vasudeva in which we are told that Kamsa has already killed six sons of Devaki. She critiusts the child to Vasudeva to be carried out of Mathura It was midnight, pitch darkness, and Vasudeva finds his way through the light east by the child. Yamunā is also in floods, but the waters divide affording him passage.

While standing under the banyan tree in Gokula, Vasudeva finds his friend Nandagopa lamenting over the dead body of his baby daughter. After some persussion, Nandagopa agrees to substitute Vasudeva's son for his dead child, but finds the former too heavy. The divine child then assumers its normal weight, and is greeted by Garuda and the five divine weapons on the stage who declare their intention of going to Gokula as herdsmen. After their disappearance, Nandagopa goes off to Gokula, and Vasudeva sets out to return to Mathura, when he finds the little guil to be alive. So he decides to take her back to Devahi and comes back after crossing the river, the city gates and the prison walls (Act I)

Kamsa has strange visions, illusions and ill omens Cândâla women harass him, and Sapa declares his intention to enter Kamsa's body, along with his associates Raméri protests but retires on being told of Visnu's command leaving Lamsa at the mercy of Sapa and others Lamsa suddenly wakes calls his portress and finds that he was having bad dreams. He sends his chamberlain to the astrologers for interpreting the ill omens, and is told that they signify the birth of a divine being Kamsa learns of the birth of a child to Devaki and sends for Vasudeva who tells a he that Devaki gave birth to a female child In spite of Devaki's protests, kamsa decides to kill the child and dashes it against hamsasıla The child, however, bursts into two, one half falls to the ground and the other rises into the sky transformed into goddess Kārtyayanī. As Kārtyāyanī she enters the stage with her divine weapons, all of whom declare it as their mission to kill Kamsa and decide to reproceed to Gokula as herdsmen. After their exit, the king goes out to offer Sinti for warding off bad omens (Act II).

In the interlude an old herdsman informs us of some of the feats of Kyran in Gokult which signalised happiness for the herdsmen. Killing of Putana Sakata Amala and Arjuna Dhenuka and Kesawere the exploits of the clild who was named Damodara. Then Damodara comes out with his elder brother, Sunkarsana for Hallwaka dance with the Gopis. The dance however, is interrupted after a time by Aristarsalha coming as a wild bull Sankarsana and others retire to a place nearby and wattle 6 fight between Damodara and Anjarsalha in which Damodara slays the demon. News is then brought that Sankarsana has gone to the Yamuna on hearing that killya has come up there. Damodara decides to go I medi argainst the lord of scripents. (Act III.)

The next act describes the struggle of Damodara with kaliya in the Namun, which the cowherds and maidens watch from a distance. It shally subdued in the fight and crives for mere; from the Lord. He is ordered to leave the witers of the Namuna and accordingly he retires with his retinue. A messenger from Kamay brings the news of the celebration of the Dhanurmalia festival at Mathura and invites all for the ceremony. Dimodara and Sankarsyna decide to start for Mathura in order to fulfil the divine mission of killing hamsa. (Act IV)

Tales of Damodara's feats have reached the ears of

50

Kamso and he is determined to have him and his brother Sankarsana smashed by his wrestlers News is brought to the king of the entry of the two heroes into Mathura, and of their taking the clothes from washermen, killing the elephart sent against them curing the hunch-back maiden after taking perfumes, etc from her, taking flowers from the florists, killing the guardian of the armoury, and breaking the bow kept there and going to the assembly hall. The king reminds the prize fighters of their duty. and they boast of their strength. Then begin duels of Canura and Mustika respectively with Damodara and Sankarsana in which the former meet their deaths Dimodara ascends the balcony, catches Kamsa by the hair and smashes him down to pieces. To the citizens who rise in arms, Vasudeva tells that both the youths are his sons Ugrasena is released from the prison and is reinstated on the throne \arada comes in to worship Visnu in the form of Damodara, and the latter offers him archia and padja After paying his respects to the Lord, Nărada goes away There is the usual epilogue at the end (Act V)

Next, we come to folklore and romances comprising four plays

AVIVARAKA In order to understand properly the plot of the Atim Traka, the following relationships should be noted King Kuntiblioja had two sisters named Sucetam and Sudarkana, and two daughters, Kurangf and Sumitra Sudarkana married Rāširāja and Inul a son namet Jayavarman, she had also a son born through Agni, who was adopted and brought up as Visussena by her siste

Sucetana Sectana married Sauviraraja bocher of huntibboja's queen and had a son Vernusena as all eadwitated, who was renamed Avimaraka.

The play receives its title from the name of the hero

who is so named on account of his being the killer (maraka) of a demon in the form of sheep [ari]

The stage manager recites the benedictor stanza in

praise of Narayana and calls his mistress. The prelude informs us that princess Kuranen had entered the galden and that the elephant was in rut. The main scene opens with king Kuntibhoia in search of a suitable match for hurangi and his queen and they have some discussion regarding Kurangi s marriage Vinister Kaunjayana enters dilating on the wornes and dangers of his high office and brings news of the attack of the mad elephant on the Princess and her rescue by an unknown handsome youth who professed to be low born Another minister Bhutika next enters confirming Launia ana s account and states that he made enquiries about the youth and felt certain that he s as not low born and was concealing his identity he also learnt that the youth was unmarried and his father vas noble and royal in appearance. The Ling orders further inve tigations to be made regarding the young man and resumes the subject of Lurangis marriage this time the king seeks the advice of his ministers. In the course of conversation it transpires that out of the several suitors. Kasiraja and Sauviraraia were related to the royal family the latter being in addi tion the queen's brother Sauviraraja had sent an envoy but later on both the king and the prince were rej

to have disappeared In the meantime, kāsirāja had sent an envoy for his son Jayavarman The king orders further investigations regarding Sauvirarāja and postpones his decision regarding the choice of a bindegroom Beating of the drum announces it time for the royal bath, and the king leaves referring to the heavy duties of the king.

52

(Act I) The interlude introduces us to Santusta, the jester companion of the hero, who tells us of the love lorn condition of Aximaraka Santusta is fooled by a maid who disappears with his ring the scene being full of boisterous humour The main scene opens with Avimaraka seated at home brooding over the beauty of the princess he rescued. The princess is also in a similar plight, and her nurse and maid decide to visit the young man's abode Reassured of the youth's noble buth by a divine youce. they both find Asimaraka meditating on the princess, and tell him of the unhappy condition of the princess. They invite him to the Kanyapura in disguise at midnight, and tell him of the location of the pulace. He asks them to await him at midnight and they depart. Asimaraka then tells Santusta of the whole affair and the latter desires to accompany him Asimāraka however decides to go alone after meals Night is fast approaching covering the world with darkness (Act II)

In the next act is introduced Kurangi with her maidens. The princess fearns that the enior from Kāširāja has been sent back. Nahināā, her maid, informs her that the youth will come at night. The princess then enters the inner apartiment. Then Aumāraka enters in the guise of

a thef with a sword and rope. He is supposed to pass through the streets of the city, and in a long monlogue he tells us of the city guards, music, market place, gaming house, etc. After reaching the palace walls, he climbs the wall with the help of his rope, and passing through Mandakint, Dāruparvata and Upasthānagha reaches the Kanyāpuraprāsāda, opening the mechanical door with the magic key. He dons his usual dress and appears before Nalinikā and the princess. Kurangi in her semi-drowsy state asks. Nalinikā to embrace her, but the latter tells Avumāraka to embrace Kurangi. The princess feels abashed, but Avumāraka pacifies her. They both then are led to the sleeping chamber. (Act III.)

The interlude informs us that Avimaraka's secret stay lasted but for a short time and everything was discovered Avimaraka made good his escape which rendered the princess broken-hearted. Her maids however, share in her sorrow. The main scene opens with Avimaraka troubled mentally at his sad lot and physically by excessive heat. Thoughts of suicide enter his mind, and he throws himself in front of the forest fire. but the fire does not burn him. Then after bath and meditation he decides to throw himself from the precipice, but is diverted at the last moment by a Vidyadhara couple who has come there for rest from Himalayas en route to the Malaya Mts The Vidyadhara comes to know everything about Avimaraka through his magical science. They become friends and the Vidyadhara gives him a magic ring in order that he may enter the impenetrable Kanyapura by becoming invisible. The magic ring was capable of

rendering invisible its wearer and the person who touched him. The couple then leaves for Agastya worship and Aximaraka starts for Vairantya. On the way he finds his friend Santinsta searching for him. Aximaraka tells him of his acquisition and becoming invisible by the magic ring they forthwith enter the pylace. (Act IV)

Kurangi is feeling graved and despondent at the separation and goes to the terrace with Nalinika to get some relief. A simaraka enters with Sanitista and finds her much emaciated. Kurangi sends her maid away under some pretext and tries to hang herself but it finghtened by terrible thunder and hightning and cried out for help. A simaraka at once puts on the ring and consoles and embraces her. There is an amusing little scene between Sanitusta and Nalinika to relieve the tensi atmosphere and both are tortfully sent away by Auman araka leaving the lovers to themselves. A simarak extols the beauty of the rain clouds and when it actually begins to shower in plenty, the lovers reture inside formorous sports. (Act V)

The interlude tells us that Kuntibhoja intended tigne Kurangi in mairringe to visinuena son of Saustrardja but as both were not heard of for one year and a Kisiraja had sent an envoy arrangements were mide fo Kurangi's mairringe with Kasiraja's son and the mairrarguarts thad arraned. That very day Kuntibhoja learn from its spies that Saustraraja was staying in his capita for one year. The main scene opens with Kuntibhoja Saustraraja and minuster Bhutki. Saustraraja is grieving over the loss of his son and tells the story of la

curse One day while hunting he came across Candabhargava whose pupil was mauled by a tiger Despite explanations of the king, the sage blamed him. The king lost his temper and called the sage a Svapāka2 This infuriated the sage all the more and he cursed him to be an outcast for one year Then Sauviraraja tells them how prince Visnusena came to be called Avimaraka, on account of his slaying, when quite a boy, a demon in the form of sheep. Kuntibhoja says that his secret service has not been able to trace Ayımaraka. Then the divine sage Nărada arrives on the scene He calls in Sudarsană. and tells those present of the Gandharva marriage of Avımāraka with Kurangl, who was in the same house Narada is told of the proposed marriage of Kurangi with Sudarsana's son Jayavarman Then Narada explains to Sudarśana the divine birth of Avimāraka born of Agni to Sudarsan, herself, but adopted and brought up as Sauvira prince Visnusena by her sister Sucetana queen of Sauvirarata He also tells her of the curse, the elephant episode and other incidents already related. Nārada finds an agreeable solution for the difficulty arising out of the marriage of Avimaraka with Kurangi he suggests that Kurangı's vounger sister Sumitrā should be offered to Jayavarman This satisfies all The usual prayers for the protection of cows, etc occur at the end (Act VI)

Then we come to the Prattyñāyaugandharayana and Suapnawasavadatta which deal with king Udayana Vatsarāja, the Prince Arthur of Indrun Literature Udayana Vatsarāja was the descendant of the Pāndavas and was ruling at Kauśāmbī Expert in music and fond

Commander-in Chief of Pradyota, with the news, and that Vatsarāja has also asked him to see Yaugandharāyana The news of Vatsarāja's capture sends the queen mother and the harem to grief Yaugandharāyana solemnly vows to restore his master to Kausambī A servant hrings some clothes said to belong to a Brahmana at the Sānli festival, who vamished after leaving the clothes Yaugan dharāyana dons them, finds his outward form changed and decides to work in that guise for releasing his riaster He finally goes to see the queen mother in answer to her call (Act I)

The interlude tells us of the arrival of many messengers from various kings to Pradyota for Vasavadattā's hand, and of Pradvota's indecision in the matter. Then opens a domestic scene in the palace at Ujjayini where the king explains the reasons for his not making up his mind as he wanted the suitor to have high family, soft heart, fine body, valour, etc Then he has a talk with the queen and seeks her advice as to the choice. The queen gives the simple reply "Give her where you won't have any cause to rue afterwards" The king then enumerates the various suitors and asks her, "Which of these would you choose for Vasavadatta?" Abruptly a chamberlain bursts in with the words "Vatsaraia" and gives an account of the capture of Vatsaraja. The king is extremely delighted and orders reception and good treatment to the royal captive. They decide to make the present of the celebrated lute Ghosavati, the emblem of victory, to princess Vasavadatta The king begins to waver in his decision about suitors and goes to comfort and soothe

Vatsaraja (Act II)

The third act is the proof of the whole play, telling of the secret plot of Yaugandharāyana Yaugandharāyans, Rumanvan and Vasantaka stay in disguise in Ujiayin respectively as a madman, a Buddhist monk, and a mendicant. They hold conferences in a secret Fire Shrine Vasantaka appears as a mendicant worrying about sugarballs, then comes a madman, and last a Buddhist monk, all gather in the Fire Shrine. I augandharāyana theitells them that every item of the plot was ready. The plot was to infuriate the feinale clephant so that Vatsaraia's help may be sought, and he was thereafter to mount.

the elephant and ride away to Kausambi Vasantakohowever, tells them of Udayana's love at first sight for Vasavadatta and of his consequent unwillingness to go without her They have to modify their plans, and Yaugandharayana pronounces his second vow of carrying away Vasavadatta as well The trio then leave the Fire Shrine by different doors the madman going on gibberns

to the boys in the street (Act III)

The interlude presents a humorous scene in which an intoxicated page, really a Vatsa spy, describes how he pawned Bhadrāvatī After hearing of the escape of Vatsarāja with Vāsavadattā the page casts away his disguise and encourages the Vatsa soldiers by wir songs, and describes the valour of Vaugandharāyana who is subsequently taken capture. Then enter two warnors announcing the capture of Vaugandharayana and asking the people to keep away. Then enter the two rial ministers, Bharatarohaka and Vaugandharāyana, ennoying

some war of words The former charges Yaugandharāyana with deceit, but the latter replies that he paid in the same coin. To his further remarks, Yaugandharayana replies that Vatsaraja had contracted a marriage with Vasavadattā. A chamberlain comes with the present of a gold chalice from king Mihāsena to Yaugandharāyana. News is brought that the marriage was acceptable to Mahāsena, and that it was deeded to celebrate the marriage by means of painted portraits of the lovers. Towards the close of the play there is the usual Bharatavākya prajing for the prosperity of cows, vanquishing of the foreign invasion, and ruling of the whole earth by our king (Act IV)

SVAPNAVĀSAVADATTA After eloping with Vāsavadattā as told in the Pratijāā, Vatsaraja neglected his state affairs which enabled Aruni, a forest chieftain, to invade the Vatsa kingdom. The ever watchful Yaugandharāyana found that the only way out of the difficulty was an alliance with the powerful Magadha king. and the best remedy was Udayana's marriage with Padmavati, the Magadh i princess Udayant himself, on account of his love for Vasavadatta and the Magadha king on account of Udayana being a married man, would have objected to such a marriage So Yaugandharayana arranges a plot and takes Vasavadatta in confidence. In accordance with the plot the royal pavilion at Lavanaka is burnt down in the absence of the king on hunting and Vasavadatta and Yaugandharayana are supposed to have been burnt to death They, however, start for Rajagrha

The play receives its title from the vision (Svapna) of

ìΩ

Vasavadatta which king Udayana gets in his semi drowsy state described in the Vth Act of the play

After benedictory stanza containing the names of the chief dramatis personae some noise from behind the curtain is heard made by Padmavatis attendants in asking the people to clear away The main scene opens at the her mitage near Rajagrha where princess Padmayati has come to visit the queen mother Yaugandharayana and Vasava datta disguised as a Brahmana and his sister pass by the hermitage Padmavati proclaims her desire of granting boons to those desiring them \unaandharavana presents himself as a suppliant and desires to keep Vasavadatta under Padmavatis care for a time Despite her cham berlain's protests. Padmavati accepts the guardianship of Vasavadatta A Brahmana student from Lavanaka then enters and tells the story of the disastrous fire at Layanaka and of the king's immense grief at the loss of Vasavadatta and laugandharayana laterraja's excessive love for Vasavadatta appeals to all. The student then leaves as it was evening and Yaugandharayana follows suit. Both Padmavati and Vasavadatta salute the queen mother and receive suitable blessings from her (Act I)

The interlude tells us that V isavadatta is well establish ed at Vagadha and that the princess is playing ball with her maids and Vasavadatta. The main scene opens after their game with Padmavati and her maids in conversation Padmavati shows her disinclination towards Valaisena, son and love for Udayana, owing to the latter's genuins love for Vasavadatta. Then the news of Padmavatity betrothal to Udayana is announced which is said to have

been accepted by Udayana on account of pressure from Daráska It is told that the Kautukamangala (tying the nuptial thread) is to be celebrated that very day Vāsavadattā is discomfitted, but has to accompany Padmāvatī The more they hasten, says she, the more is my heart covered, as it were with darkness (Act II)

The next scene opens the same day in the pleasure garden of Padmavatī where Vāsavadattā is the lonely occupant with her grieved heart, while preparations for the marriage are being made in the toyal household. A maid requests Vāsavadattā to plant a nuptual wreath for her husband s second marriage. Another maid comes after a time for the garland as the bridegroom was being conducted to the inner apartments. Vāsavadatta hands over the finished garland and retures if perchance she can ease her gnef on her bed. (Act III.)

The interlude informs us of the conclusion of the marriage festivities. The main scene opens with Padmä vati, her maids and Vasavadattä in the pleasure garden to see whether the Sepbähkä clusters have blossomed. They talk, about Udayana and lus love for Väsavadattä. The king and Vidüsaka also enter the garden from the other end, the former brooding over the sweet memories of the past in company with Väsavadattä, the latter trying to soothe him and divert his mind. At their approach, Padmavati and her retinue hide under a bower for the sake of Väsavadattä, as she avoided the sight of strangers. On account of the scorching heat, the king and Vidüsaka prepare to enter the bower, but the swarm of bees let loose by a maid prevent their entry. They, therefore, seat

some questions in sleep and she replies him. Finally, the limit proffers his hand to ask forgiveness from her. Afraid of being recognised Vasax adatta goes away after keeping in position the hand that was hanging loose. Her touch awakens the king and he follows her saying. Stop Vasax adatta stop! but her innsagainst a door. Herelates this to Vidusaka who next enters but the latter calls it all a dream. News is then brought that Rumannan has brought a large army to defeat Aruni and Udayana leaves to take charge of the attrek. (Act.)

The interlude informs that the Vatsa kingdom has been recovered and that Udayana's grief has again been renewed by the sight of the famous lute Ghosavati In the main scene with Vidusaka the king mourns the loss of Vasayadatta recalling happy memories of the past Messengers from Ujjayini arrive to offer felicitations of Mahasena on Udayana's victory The king calls in Padmavati and again grieves over Vasavadatta The messengers console him and show him the portraits of Vasavadatta and himself which were used in celebrating their marriage at Ujjayini Padmavati notices the similar ity of Avantika with the portrait of Vasavadatta and tells the king about her Then Yaugandharayana enters as a Brahmana to reclaim his sister. Avantika is brought out and is recognised as Vasavadatta Vaugandharayana explains his whole plot and states the recovery of kauśambi to be his main object. The king decides to go with Padmayati to Mahasena to convey the happy news of the recovery of Vasavadatta. The normal epilogue comes at the end (Act VI)

66 BHĀSĀ

character His wife however sacrifices her pearl necklar as a compensation to Vasantasena Maitrey a is sent t Vasantasena with the pearl necklare (Act III)

To elom Vasantaseau engages in painting the figure of Carudatta and rejects the offer of ornuments for Sakari which her mother sends her. Then enti-Sajalaka the thief stating that he needed the money to buy freedom for his beloved Midanika the maid of Javantasen. He tells the story of his entire to Madnika and she recognises the stolen ornaments as belonging to her mistress. She advices him to return the jewellery to Javantaseau in the name of Carudatta.

In the meantime Maitreya comes with the pear neeklace saying that it was sent in exchange for the pledged ornaments which Carudatra had lost in gambling Vasuatasem accepts the neeklace and Maitreya leaves with scant courtess for Vasuatasem.

Then Sajj daka enters with the jewellery pretending to have come as Carudatta's messen, or Vasantasena loadher maid with the jewellery places her hand in that of be burglar lover and sends them off in a carriage to marry

Then Vasantasens prepares to visit Carudatta in order to return the necklace. The clouded sky and a threatener storm however muse her dwindle and impation and sky, of once proceeds to her lover, and the play all ruptly end at this point. (Act IV.)

68

missiles. The plays will also be found to be deficient if judged by modern critical standards with regard to the plot characterization sentiments and other niceties.

SOURCES OF THE PLAYS In the last chapter we have given the plots of these plays without omiting any important detail from them. These will enable readers to understand easily the sources of the plays and the innovations brought out by the poet with which we are dealing at present.

To turn to the Mah ibh iraia plays first it is seen that the poet is much indebted to the epic. In the One Act plays some short episode is taken from the Mahabharals and freely dramatized In the Madhyamavyayoga there is a blending of the story of the reunion of Bhima and Hidimba with that of the Brahmanas The latter finds its source in the Sunahsepakhyana of the Astareya Brahmans and the former is the poets own creation the epc supplying him only with characters and atmosphere In the Dutar that the embassy of hr na spoken of in the Mahabharata (Udyogaparan 94 95 124 131 ) has been dramatized to glorify hr na and proclaim his identity with Visnu Duryodhana is depicted as the real emperer in the drama whereas Dhrtarastra was the emperor in the epic. The scene of the divine weapons appearing in human form is a speciality of Bhasa employed probably to cater for public tastes For the Dutaghatotkaca the poet is indebted to the epic for characters only everything else being the fruit of the poet simagination and invention The Karnabhara mamly follows the epic (Karna 4" Santi 2 3) The poet has transferred the incident of

Karna's gift of his armour to Indra from the forest (l'ana, 310, Sants 5) to the battlefield in order to heighten effect and has ennobled the characters of Karna and Salya The Urubhanga dramatizes Salyaparian 56-58 with slight changes invented by the poet, such as the secret sign to Bhima comes through Krena in the play whereas the epic speaks of Arjuna as throwing the limt . according to the epic, Balarama was not present at the club fight nor were Dhrtarastra Gandhari, Durjava and the queens of Duryodhana on the battlefield. The poet shows Dury odhana on a higher plane. The Pancaratra in three Acts has for its basis the cattle raid ( Whh. Virata 35 69) slaughter of kicakas (ib 22 24) and marriage of Abhimanyu with Litara (ib 71 72) as told in the epic The poet has taken considerable liberties with the epic story, and the sacrifice of Durvodhana his promise to grant half Lingdom to Pindavas on their news coming within five nights made to Drona Abhimanyu's sidin. with Duryodhana, the scene between Bluma Abhimanyu and Brhannala are among the main scenes or events invented and introduced by the poet. Thus in the Mahabhirata plays though the epic serves as the main source, the poet shows his originality at various places in inventing new situations and episodes or in investing the epic heroes with new characteristics

In view of the date we assign to Bhasa the Haritanisa Vipin Purana or Bhasa ata Purana cannot be taken to be the sources of the Balacarita as none of these texts at least in their present form is older than Bhasa Lurther the drain widely differs in detail from the stories of

Krsna in the Harmamsa The poet's source probably was an earlier version of the Krsna story on which the Harnamsa and the Puranas are based The Balacarila presents, in common with the Dūtai ākya, the divire weapons in human form

For the story of the Pratuma, the poet is indebted to the II and III books of the Rāmāyana, but he builds a superstructure of his own The introduction of the talkals incident, "the statue houses" the changed genealogy of Rāma, the abduction of Sitā by bringing Rāma and Ravana (under the guise of an expert on Sraddha) together and making the golden deer necessary for the Sraddha, and the absence of Laksmann at the time, and Rāma's coronation in the penance grove are the main departures of the poet from the epic. The characters of Rāma, Sitā, Dasaratha, Bharata, Kaikeyī and Sumantra are portrayed in a favourable light and on a higher level The Abhiteka deals with the epic story as given in the IV, V, VI books of the Ramayana which the poet follows very closely. The manner in which the waters of the ocean divide to afford passage to the Lord is the poet's own invention reminiscent of the similar device in the Balacarita

As regards the sources of the Azimaraka, they have variously been stated to be folklore, poet's invention, Katha literature or the story of the spirit of monsoon destroyme the demon of drought 3 A comparison of the stories 25 given in the Kathāsaritsāgara, Jayamangalā Tikā (01 Vitsvayana s Kamasatra), a Buddlust Jataka and the Atimāraka shows that the Javamangalā follows the Ar miraka in some respects. It appears that the Jātaka story must have been current among the people at the time of Bhūsa and he probably used it as the main story. The supernatural element of the ring meident has been added to the story by the poet for popular appeal.

The Brlatkath? cannot be the source of the Udayana Flays, viz the Srapna asaradatta and Pratijni because not only does the date of the Behatkatha conflict with the antiquity we as ign to Bhasa but there are many d screpancies between the legends given in both versions Thus, e.g. there is a change in the elephant episode. Pradyota Mal asent of Bl asa has been differentiated into Iradyota of Magadha and Mahasena of Avanti by the Brhathatla (as judged by its descendents the Brhathath 7 marjars and the Kathasarstasagara) which strikes out Darcaka the genealogy of Udayana is different in both. there is no reference to Arum in the Behatkath? Ocues! I olds Pl isa to be more faithful to the Udayana legend than the Kathasarits icara. The plays deal with historical fersongers referrable to the 6th or 5th century B C and 35 Blush flourist elishortly after the period (c 4th cent B C the probably employed the stones about Edayana current in las time

No definite source has been found for the Caredatta. The story of the love of a hettern for a merchant being a common top in hierarchier it may be the poet is invention. It is not infilled, that the poet may have used the Sundiribath's from the Jutahas. Sakara with his manner twas is the invention of Blaza.

SI VIIVI VIS The main aim of the Sauskrit drama

was,

was, as already stated to comes a moral by evoking a particular sentiment in the mind of the audience and thus leading them to unmixed joy, and plot, characterization etc, occupy a subsidiary place in the scheme. There is a difference of opinion among writers on Sanskrit poetic, with regard to the number of sentiments, but following the standard work of Visvanatha, we take the number of sentiments to be nine and illustrate them from the works of Bhasa in the order of their enumeration in the Salhity adarptana.

Bhasa does not employ the premier sentiment of Sping ira to any appreciable extent and his works serve as the best illustration of the lutility of the dictum that a drama cannot be shown at its best without Srngara as the dominant sentiment. All the five sub varieties of Srngara vir, Dharma Kama Artha Mugdha and Mudha, are illustrated in Blisa The love of Rama and Sita depicted in the Pratima and Abhiseka is an instance of Dharma Spigara or pure love free from list, for the sake of discharging religious duties of life Kama Srngara or married love with a strong crotic element is found in the love of Udayana and Vasavadatta, and Avimaraka and Kurangi The political marriage of Udayana with Padma vati in the Stapna serves as the best illustration of Artha Srngira, marriage for political, economic or other expediencies The union of Blima and Hidimbi depicted in the Wadhsama is Mugdha Srngara in which physics! aspect of love predominates. The Mudha Spigara of idiotic love where just alone matters is shown in the love of Cikira for Vasantasena in the Carudatia

Then comes Hasy's or the sentiment of humour (comic

sentiment) and Bhasa has already been described as the "laughter of poetry \* 3 There are numerous instances of Has, a in the works of Bhisa and we draw attention only to some leading illustrations. Santu to in the Atamiraka and Sakira in the Caruda"'s Supply us with an amount of harmour in the numerous situations in which they figure The peculiar mannerisms and the use of Prakrit of the Bral mann (Indra in disguise) in the Karnal hira provoke morth as also the little scene between the stage manager ar I his mistress in the Carudatta where the latter tells her histand that everything was ready in the market and

that her fast was for obtaining a handsome husband in the next little. The Vidusikas in the Scapna and Carudatta also create some funny atmosphere as also the intoxicated page in the Pearing Sanitak in the Brahmana burglar, sutplies some humour by saying that his sacred thread serves as a measuring tape by might. There are satious instances of dramatic ironies which may also be included under Hista

Next is Raudra or sentiment of fury which is fourd in Bhima's encounter with Ghatotkaca in the Madhyama visions of hamsa in the Balacaria consisting of explosione etc. Bularama's anger against Bhima at his unfair fight with Duryodhana in the Oribbanga. Bharata's disowning his mother in the Prating etc.

Then we come to \ wa or the heroic sentiment which is the special forte of Bhasa Instances are found in almost all plays of our group \ \ \mathrm{na has been subdivided} into \uddha (courage) Dharma (virtue) and Daya (compassion) Yuddha Vira is exhibited in the battle of Ruma and Rayana Duryodhana and Bhima Udayan and Mahasena's army Uttara and Kuru army Abhimanya and Virata army etc. and also in the descriptions of figh in the Dillaghalotkaca of the various weapons of Krsna in the Dutitakya etc Duryodhana in the Parcaratra in parting with half his kingdom to the Panday as in pursuance of his promise to Drona exemplifies the Dharma Vira and so does Rama in abandoning the kingdom in accordance with his father's wishes Drong's compassion for the haurayas and his desire to save them from destruction makes him bey for half the kingdom for the Pandava from Duryodhana as his Daksine which serves as al illustration of Dava Vira harna's offer of his armou to Indra in the Karnabhara is another illustration / may be pointed out here that in the Pratum; the poet ha larmoniously blended the Vara and Srngara Rasas b Yaugandharayana's supporting the love affair of h master

A Bi manaka or the sentiment of terror is found in th

Madhyama when the Brahmana family finds itself suddenly confronted by a demon alo in Rayana's assuming demonical form before Sita in the Pratima and in the scene after Indiant's death in the Abhiseka in the killing of kamsa by pulling him by the hair in the Balacarita in the various trials through which Aimaraka passes before he goes to hany apura in the description of the battle field in the Urubhanga etc.

VAdbhuta or the sentiment of wonder has been exhibited in a number of these plays. It is found in the appearance in hi man form of the divine weapons in the Dudarakya and the Balacarila in the supernatural feats of Krsna related in the Balacarila in the magic ring given by the Vidyadhara to Avimaraka in the appearance of Varuna and Agni in the Abhiseka and in the fire ordeal in the same play etc.

In connection with the sentiment of wonder we may note that magic in three different forms has been effectively used by Blasa viz. (i) by employing objects materials or persons endowed with magic power (ii) the curse of a Rsi and (iii) the optical illusions. Instances of the first type are the magic ring given by the Vidyadhara to Avimaraka which was capable of rendering invisible the wearer and any person who touched him the apparel left by Vyaea in the Pratisma is said to transform the outward men of the wearer production of water by Bhima and Ghatotkaca for chanting Maniras. The curse pronounced by Capidabhargava on the Sauvira king in the Atimaraka is the instance of the second type. The optical illusion of Duryodhana when he sees Arsina everywhere and of

76 BHĀS4

Rāma when he sees a gold flanked deer, serve to illustrate the third type

Santa or the sentument of calm is found in the general devotion for Narāyana Visnu in the Bālacarita, as also in the utterances of Karna that everything else but sacrifices and gifts is evanescent, and that he has really deceived Indra. We meet with Santa when Rāma knows of the purity of Vadehi in the Abhissha when Stat tells Rāmu to perform Srāddha by the material axailable in forest, etc. Closely allied with Santa is Bhakti (devotion), and we get instances of three of its types in these plays. Thus the devotion of one in distress is illustrated in the benedictory stanza of the Ornbhanga and that of the seeker after knowledge in the first stanza of the Dalaghatothaca, the praise which the Vidyādharas offer in the Abhissha shows the devotion of the wise.

Finally, we come to Vatsala, of which we get fine specimens in Bhāsa The love of Bhima for Ghātotkacu in the Maāhyama of Arjuma and Bhima for Abhiman ju in the Paŭcarātra, of Daśaratha for Rāma, Laksmana and Sitā in the Pratimā, of Rāvana for Indrajit in the Abhiszka and of Duryodhana for his son in the Ornibhanga come under the Vatsala 'The love of the jester-companion for Cārudatta, Avimāraka and Udayana may also be included in this category.

Considering the individual plays, it may be observed that the main sentiments in the Distrakya are the Vira and Adbhuta, and those in the Distrakatokaca are Karuna and Vira, which dominate also the Karnabhāra and Prubhause The Passarate concerns itself with the various types of Vīra In the Madhyama we find the play of Vira, Karuna, Adbhuta, Bhayānaka, Raudra, Hāsya, Sṛngāra and Vatsala, (while the Bālacarita employs all Rasas excepting the Sṛngāra J The Rāma dṛamas have Vīra, Karuna, Adbhuta and Bhayānaka In the Arimāraka, we get Sṛngāra, Hāsya, Karuna, Addbhuta and Bhayānaka The Svapna has Śṛngāra and Karuna, and the Pratiyñā, Vira, Adbhuta, Hāsya and Śṛngara We get Karuna, Hasya and Śṛngara in the Cārudatla It appears that Bhāsa had a special liking for Vīra, Hāsya, Karuna, Raudra, Adbhuta and Vatsala Rasas

CHARACTERIZATION 'In comparing Bhāsa's works with temples, Bana has referred to the large number of characters used by Bhāsa' There is not only a large number of characters but a very wide range and variety to be found in Bhāsa. With all this, however, there is always the tendency to avoid adding needless characters on the stage, of which the omission of Kāśirāja and Sucetanā in the Aumāraka, of Udayana and Vasavadatta in the Pratițină may be cited as instances. Being a realist, Bhāsa portrays men and women of this world as they are. In contrast to the general trend in Sanskrit drama to paint types and not individuals, Bhāsa is found to have portrayed living men and women, which he has drawn from all grades of society from the highest class to the lowest.

The characters depicted include kings, queens princes, princesses, ministers, jesters, chamberlains, door keepers, attendants, messengers, and other officers, burglars, villains and courtesans—all these from the human beings, gods

BH4S4

78

and goddesses, fairnes and drume sages, etc., from the divanties, demons, monkeys, etc., from the lower strata Each one of these characters is so individual, yet so universal. Exquisite care is shown in the delineation of even minor characters. The characters never talls more or less. They live a plain, straightforward life. Most of the characters are psychological studies, and in psychological subtlets. Bhas is almost modern. The intricate inner workings of human emotions are shown directly in a flash, and no energy is wasted in describing the struggle in the minds of the characters. The true character of the selfless Vasavadatta is admirably shown in Stapna, III.

The poet, being tied down to the epic for his Mahabhārata and Rāmāvana plays, was working under a handicap In the Pratima, however, sie has shown the epic characters on a higher plane Bhasa displays his skill at characterization in the legendary plays and romances. At his hands, the Vidusaka is seen without his gluttony which came to be associated with him in later dramas, and has become a constant companion and a hefpmate of the hero Padmāvati and Vāsavadattā set an example to the co-wives by their sisterly regard for each other and sacrifice for their husband. Though required to mairy again for political reasons, Udayana still cheri-hes sweet memories for his first wife Yaugandharayana is a clever, faithful, devoted minister, well versed in strategy, and more than a match for his rival Vasantasenā is shown as an ideal courtesan, full of love and devotion for Carudatta, who is portraved as an ideal thoroughbred man of the town The fove between Avimaraka and Kurangi is not the fleeting vagary of the flesh but constant and everlasting.

The characters of Bhasa thus are simple human and

extremely life like they are not so romantic and imaginative as those of Kalidasa and Bana not so poetic and sentimental as those of Bhavabhuti not so vigorous as those of Bhatta Narayana not so fickle and fairlike as those of Sri Harsa and not so humorous and realistic as those of Sudraka

DESCRIPTIONS AND NARRATIONS
for certain descriptions has been observed as a common characteristic of these plays? Bhasa is a close observer of nature and his descriptions of natural phenomena are interesting realistic and vivid. He gives diverse details and various facts connected with the phenomenon that he portrays. The sunset is pictured in all its details in Siapna. I 16

पगा गसोपेता सल्लिमगाडो सुनिजन प्रदातोऽशिभाति प्रिनचरति धूगो सुनिग्नम् । परित्रष्टो दुराद्यविरापे च सबिसनिरणो रम ज्यानचासी प्रविद्याति दानेरस्तशिखरम् ॥

The following description of sunset in Abl is ka IV 23 is also charming and true to nature

अस्तादिमस्तरगत प्रतिसहताशु सन्यानुरक्षितगपु प्रतिभाति मूर्य । रक्तोज्वटाशुरवृत्ते द्विरदस्य कुम्भे जाम्बृनदेन रचित पुरुम्भे यथैग ॥

Sunset and the approach of night are beautifully described by the simile of Ardhanaristana (Avimaraka II 12) पूर्वा तु काष्ट्रा तिमिरानुविहा। सन्ध्यारुणा माति च पश्चिमाशा । द्विथा विभक्तान्तरमन्तारिक्ष यात्यर्थनारीश्वरारूपशोमाम् ॥

A beautiful Ltpreksā depicts the world as changing its dress as it were at the approach of night (Avimāraka, II 13)

न्यामृष्टसर्यातिख्यो विततोहुमाले नष्टातपो मृदुमनोहर्स्यातवात । संखीनकासुकाननः प्रविकार्णसूरो वेपान्तर रचयतीय मनष्यखोकः ॥

Descriptions of night and darkness are a favourite subject of the poet, and are met with in Avināraka, III 4, Cārudatla I 19 20 Rising and setting of the moon are described in the Cārudatla, I 29, III 3 Rain, thunder and rain clouds are beautifully depicted in the Avimaraka (V 6, 7) with some minute details

जल्दसमयपोपणाडम्बरानेकरूपितसाजम्भका बन्नसृद्गृष्टयो भगणप्रविन्तस्तिदस्यमाजसम्बर्धाकम्त्रतः नभोमार्गरुद्धसुपा. । यदनवरार्नाकार्वेशन प्रस्याद्वनासन्त्रिपालः गिरिकापनाम्भोयटा उदिधारिकार्वेशकाराराज्ञिकार्याच्यात्रम्

Nature is again shown as sympathising with the observer and as weating different aspects according to the moods and feelings of the observer. Thus, on his way to meet Kurangs, Avimārika finds the world wonderful (II 13).

But when disappointed and desperate, the same world,

burnt by the midday sun appears to him as swooming with its emaciated earth, consumptive trees, bewailing mountains, etc., and seems a boiling mass. (IV. 4.5.)

अयुण्या ज्यतित्व भास्त्रपर्वतापीतमाग मही यश्माती द्वे पादपाः प्रमुपितच्छाया दशान्याश्रयात । विकोशन्यवदादिवोष्ट्रितमुहात्यात्तानमा. पर्वताः लोकोऽपं रिवपाकत्यहृदयः संयाति स्ट्हामिय ॥४॥ ` आदित्यपाकचलित, पर्वतीय लोकः ॥४॥

A Vidyadhara couple was taking a biril's eye view of the earth at the same time, and to them it wore quite a different aspect. It appeared as if it had assumed a minature form (IV II).

डीटेन्द्राः कलभोषमा जलभयः क्रांटानटाकोपमा इक्षाः डीवलस्क्रिमाः क्षिनितलं प्रच्छन्ननिम्नस्यलम् । सामन्ता इव निम्नगाः सुविपुलाः सीथाश्च विन्दूपमा

दृष्टं वक्तमियाभिभानि मुक्छं मंश्रितरूपं जगत्॥ Later on, however, when he is quite in a happy mood, nature evokes similes of love and amorous sport from

Mimāraka (V 7)
व्योमार्णवोर्मिमहद्या निनदन्ति मेघा
मेघप्रसहस्यद्याः प्रपतन्ति वासः ।

रक्षोङ्गनामृकुद्धितत् तडितः स्फुरन्ति प्राप्तोऽप्रयोवनघनस्तनमर्दकारः ॥

Nature is thus shown as responding to the feelings of the observer

The description of a running charact on its way to

82 BHASA Ayodhy i is realistic (Pratima III 2)

अरव्यक्तिर्मश्च स्थितमिन जनाश्चर्तास्य रजधार्षाब्युन् पनति पुरतो नानुपति ॥

Deserted Avoilly is beautifully described thus (Pratima II 2)

नागेन्द्रा यमसाभिलप्रशिमुखा सासेक्षणा गाजिनो हेपाशुल्यमुखा सन्दर्शनताबाद्यक्ष पीरा जना ।

हुमा चाउन्तीय हुतरबगतिक्षीणविषया नदीयादब्रत्ताम्बनिपतित मही नेमिवियरे ।

त्वक्ताहारमधा सुदीनपदना मन्दन्त उबैदिशा रामो याति यथा सदारसहजस्तामेर प्रथन्यमी !! The description of hermitage in the Stapna is striking

and true (I 12)
भिस्तव्य हरिणाश्चरम्यचिम्ना देशागनप्रत्यया

ष्टका पुष्पभरे समृद्धनिटमा सर्वे दयारक्षिता । भूषिष्ठ अधिशानि गोकुल्पनान्यक्षेत्रज्यो दिशो नि सन्दिरभनिद तपोननमय धुनो हि बह्दाश्रय ॥ e flock of white crunes flying in the sky is very finely

The flock of white crones flying in the sky is very finely described by the blending of ्री प्राप्ताः । प्राप्ताः । प्राप्ताः । प्रयाखनाम् ।

क्रजायता च तिरण च नतीवता च सप्तापितगद्वादिला च नित्रतेनेषु । निर्मुच्यमानमुजगोदरनिर्मलस्य सीमामित्रान्दरतलस्य तिम यमानाम् ॥

We have a beautiful description of the ocean calm

here ruffled there as it stood parted affording passage to Rama (Abhiseka IV, 3 17)

बचित् पेनोद्रारा कचिटपि च मानारु रतल बचि ट्रह्मात्राणं कचिदपि च नालाम्बुटनिम ॥ बचिद् राचामालं कचिदपि च नकप्रतिभय कचिद् भाषातर्व कचिदपि च निय्मस्पतिल् ॥

The blue ocean with its form an I waves is thus described in the Abhiseka (IV 3) संनदनदर्भे हनाएनारों

जिल्लारितपेनतरङ्गचारहार । सम्पत्रिगतनदीमहस्त्रप्राहु-वैरिटिन भाति सरिपति व्ययान ॥

Besides descriptions of nature we have vivid accurate realistic accounts of battle (cf. Abhiseka VI x 18 Orublanga 16 26 etc.) There is also a description of the sacrifice and the sacrifical fite in the opening stanza of the large with a tanother place there is a perfect

unile comparing war with sacrifice ( Orubl ar ga 6)

हतगजचयनोची वैराहिप्रदाप्त । व्यनिततिनान सिंहनादीचमन्त्र पतितपितमनुष्य सरियती सुद्धयह ॥

Then there are descriptions of Lunki Vairantya Ujjayini and Mathuri in various plays of this group (Abliseka Aviminaka Pratificiand Balacarita)

The poet's power of graphic narration and vivid description of episodes events and characters without depicting them or bruiging them on the stage is exemplified in the Pratigin' where in the First Act an account is given of the capture of Udayana also in the later Acts despite the non appearance of Udayana and Vasivadatta on the stage we get vivid accounts of the scenes in the prison and it the worship of Vaksim in the Stagena in the First Act the student gives a picture of Udayana's lament etc.

All these descriptions pronounce Bhast to be a realist pure and simple he does not go beyond enumeration of the facts constituting the particular scenes places or action he desires to portry. No flights of imagination are to be met with in Bhast. His sense of fact is much more stronger than his imagination, which would be apparent when we compute Bhast's descriptions with those in halida a High sets.

AMARAS LIBHANTAS AND BEAUTIES LROW BHANA In the domain of Alamharas (figure of speech) we find that Bhasi mainly concerns himself with the simple haures such as the Upama Utpreka Rūpaka Arthantarinyasa Sytibavoku Atvayoku etc. We shall list give select examples of some Mamkaras from these plays then will follow beauties from Bhasa in which some idmirable stanzis and choice expressions have been included invilly a few of the Subhasatas from the various 11st him been given it rindom.

The lumopuma bringine, together various aspects of the britilefield in comparison with the ocean with its waters waves crocodiles etc is worth noting {4bhiseka Vl 2} रजनिचरअगरनीरर्नाणीं रिपरर्गाचियुता रगसिनका । उद्धिरिन निमाति युद्धभूमी रघुनरचन्द्रअगरायुद्धनेगा ॥

The simile comparing heroic K irra overwhelmed with grief with the sun covered by clouds is finely expressed (Karna 4)

> अयुप्रदाप्तिनिशद समेरेऽप्रगण्य शौर्वे च सप्रति सशोक्ष्मपैति गमान् । प्राप्ते निदायसमये घनगशिरद्व मूर्य स्वभारतिमातित्र भाति कर्णे ॥

V The beautiful description of evening in which the sky is said to have assumed the splendour of Ardhanarisvara is already quoted carlier. This description from the Crudatla brings the various aspects of darkness. (I 20)

्रेसुरभगणमाश्रयो भयाना वनगहन निभिंग च नुन्यमेत्र ।

उभयमपि हि रक्षतेऽन्यकारो जनयति यथ भयानि यथ भात ॥ also Atumaraka III A

✓ तिमिग्मित्र बहुन्ति मार्गनब पुल्निनिमा प्रतिभान्ति हर्म्यमात्रा । तमसि दश दिशो निमानस्या प्रकारणीय इवायमन्त्रकार ॥

The different aspects of the bark garments (talkalas) as required in different circumstances are expressed by a Malaparamparita Rupaka (Pratima I 28)

तपः मङ्ग्रामकाच नियमद्विग्दाङ्कः । पर्यनिमिदियाश्वाना गृह्यता धर्मसार्गये ॥ The talkalas furnish us with yet another figure Sas indeha, by creating an illusion of the rays of the sun (Pralimā, I q)

आदर्शे बल्कलानीव िम्मेते सूर्यरमयः ॥

The similarity of forms creates doubt in I aksmana's mind giving us an instance of Sasandeha (Pratima, IV 8)

मुखमनुपम त्वार्यस्यामं शंशाङ्कमनोहर मम पितृसम पीन वश्च सुरारिशरक्षतम् । युनिपरिवृतस्तेजोसारिजियदर्शनो नरपतिस्य देवेन्द्रो या स्वय मधुसुद्रनः॥

The beautiful, realistic and vivid description of the ocean with its component parts is effected by means of the Upamā and Rūpaka (Abhiseka, IV 3) and Rūpaka (Abhiseka, IV 78) which have already been quoted in an earlier section

There are beautiful similes comparing the battlefield to the hermitage (Urubhanga, 4) and sacrince (Crubhanga 6)

of which the latter is already quoted वैरस्यायतन बलस्य निकय मानप्रतिष्ठागृह

युद्धेश्वप्सरसा स्वयंवरसमा शौर्यप्रतिष्ठा चृणाम् । राज्ञा पश्चिमजारुवीरशयन प्राणानिहोमकत्

राज्ञा पांश्चमकालवीरशयन प्राणाप्रिहीमकातु सप्राप्ता रणसज्जमाश्रमपद राज्ञां नम सक्रमम् ॥

The dignity and efficacy of labout are effectively told by Arthantaranyasa and Aprastutaprasamsa emphatically stating that nothing is impossible for an energetic person starting on night lines (Pratifial, 1–18)

काष्ट्रादिप्तिर्जायते मध्यमानाद्

भूमिस्तोय खन्यमाना ददाति । सो माहाना नास्यसाध्य नराणा मार्गारस्थाः सर्वयनाः फर्टन्ति ॥

The grief of the Brāhmana at the loss of his middle son is described by the fusion of Rūpaka and Atisayokti (Madhyama, 23)

यक्षिशृङ्गो मम त्यासीनमनोज्ञो वरापर्यत । स मध्यशृङ्गभङ्गेन मनस्तपति मे भृशम् ॥

The signs from which the burglar infers the person to he really asleep serve to illustrate the Anumana (Cārudalta, III 13)

निःश्वासोऽस्य न शङ्कितो न जिपमस्तृब्यान्तरं जायते गाज मन्त्रियपु द्वीवेतामुप्रान शब्याप्रमाणाविकम् । द्वीर्यादिनिर्मालिता न चपल प्रसान्तर जायते

द्रष्टिगोदिनिर्मालिता न चपल पश्मान्तर जायते दीप चैत्र न मर्पयेदिभिमुत्तः स्याङ्गश्चस्रो यदि ॥

There are some very fine illustrations of Svabhavokti,

which appears to have been a favourite figure of Bhasa (stapna I 12, 13, IV 2, V 3 4, Pratima, II 8, III 3, Abhiseka II 21) Some of these have been quoted elsewhere in this Chapter

Bharata's anticipations as to what he would find after reaching Avodhya also illustrate Symbhavokti (Pratima III 3)

पतिनमित्र शिर पितुः पादयो खिद्धानेपास्मि राज्ञा समुचापितः वितिमुगगना इय भ्रातर बख्डियन्तीत्र मामधुभिर्मानर । सद्दशमिति महानिति ब्यायतश्चेति मुखेरियाह स्तुत् सेत्रया

परिहमितमित्रात्मनस्तर प्रथामि वेष च भाषा च सीमितिणा ॥

The stanza describing the intense grief of Vatsarāja at the loss of Vāsavadattā and stating that on account of her husband's love, she is regarded as unburnt though burnt is a fine instance of Svabhāvokit and Virodhābhāsa (Svabhā, 1 13)

> नैवेदानी ताहशासकवाका नैवाप्यन्ये सीविशेषीर्वेयुका । धन्या सा सी या तथा वेत्ति भर्ता भर्तकोहात सा हि दम्बाप्यदम्या ॥

Vatsaraja's grief finds its expression in various figures like Smarana (Staphar V 5) Vis-ama and Arthäntaranyasa (ib IV 6) Upama Vise-okti and Vibhāvanā (ib V I) Atis) okti and Utpreksā (ib IV I) Only a couple of these are quoted below

स्मराम्यवन्त्याधिपते सुनायाः

प्रस्थानकाले स्वजन स्मरनयाः । बाप्य प्रमुख नयनास्तत्व्य स्रेहान्यमेथोरासे यातपस्याः ॥ ५ ५ ॥ कामनोजयिनी गते मधि तदा कामण्यनस्या गते स्ट्रा स्टेरमवन्तिराजतनया प्रवेपव पातिताः ।

व तैरबापि सञ्जल्यमेत्र हृदय भूयश्च विद्धा यथ पञ्चेवर्मदनो यदा क्रयमथ पष्ट शरः पातितः ॥४१॥

Dasaratha's grief at the earle of Rama expresses itself in his complaint against Destiny in not making him childles, Rama the son of another king and kaikeyi a tigress,—an instance of Parj āyokta (Pratimā II 8) अनपत्मा वयं रामः पुत्रोऽन्यस्य महीपतेः । यने व्याघी च केन्नेयां त्वया कि न कृत त्रयम् ॥

Various fact about Yudhisthira in disguise at Viratanagara are detailed by Virodhābhāsa (Pañcarātra, II 32)

> मयीतन श्रेष्टतपोचने ऐना नरेश्वरो ब्राह्मणवृत्तमाश्चितः। विमुक्तगज्योऽभ्योभत्रवितः श्चिया

तिदण्डधारी न च दण्डवाग्कः **॥** 

The contrast in the condition of Valin is brought out by Ullekha (Abhiseka, I 25)

अतियल्खुखशायी पूर्वमार्सार्हरीन्द्रः

क्षितितलपरिवर्ती श्लीणसर्वाङ्गचेष्टः । हारवरपरिवीत व्यक्तमन्सस्य देहं

किमभिल्यमि वीर स्वर्गमद्याभिगन्तुम् ॥

A devoted wife following her lord through thick and thin is effectively described by Arthantaranyasa (Pratima, I 25)

> अनुचरित शशाक्ष राहुदोपेऽपि ताग पतित च वनवृक्षे याति भूमि खता च । खजित च करेणुः पद्मख्यं गजेन्द्रं बजत चरत यमें भर्तनाया हि नार्यः ॥

Rareness of gratitude in this world is thus vividly described (Stabna, IV 10)

गुणाना वा विशालाना सन्ताराणा च नियम । पर्तार सलभा लोके विज्ञातारस्त दुर्लभा ॥ Carudatta's observations on poverty are no doubt realistic and vivid, illustrating Upumā and Virodhābhāsa (Cār, I 3)

सुख हि दुःखान्यनुभूय शोभते यथान्यकारादिव दीपदर्शनम् । सुखान् यो याति दशा दरिदता स्यितः इरिरेण भृतः स जीवति ॥

Laksmana's mind is said to be on a swing, as it were, oscillating between his yow of implicit obedience to elderand Dharma (Abhiseka VII 4)

निकाय देव्याः शौच च श्रत्वा चार्यस्य शासनम् । धर्मस्नेहान्तरे न्यस्ता बुद्धिर्रोटायते मम ॥

With this may be compared the picture of the mind of the brides mothers which hangs between Dharma and Sneha (Pratiph 1, II 7)

अद्त्तेत्यागता लजा दत्तेति व्यथित मन । धर्मस्तेद्वान्तरे न्यस्ता द खिनाः खलु मातरः॥

Devaki s mental condition after entrusting her child to Vasudeva is beautifully put in the Bâlacarila (I x2)

> हद्येनेह तत्राङ्गेद्धिगम्तेर गच्छति । यया नमसि तोये च चन्द्रलेखा द्विधा कृता ॥

The silent message of the exiled trio brought by Sumantra is the most expressive one (Pralima, II 17)

> कमप्पर्ये चिर ष्यात्वा वक्तु प्रस्कृरितावराः ! वाप्पस्तम्भितमण्टनादनुनत्वेन वन गताः ॥

.The contrast in Vatsarāja's condition is finely expressed by Visama (Pratijīā, III 4).

> न्नातम्य यम्य ममुपिम्बर्तदेवतम्य पुर्ष्याहबोपिनमे पटहा नदन्ति । तम्यव कार्म्यवमवात् तिथिषूजनेपु

देवप्रणामचलिता निगला स्वनन्ति ॥ Rama's sorrow in the forest on the anniversary day is most pathetic (Pratima, V. 6)

पत्यति दृष्ट्रा दर्भेषु स्वहस्तर्गचतानि नः।

य स्मारितो वनवामं च तातस्त्रतापि गेडिति ॥

I ute has supplied us with various figures—It is finely compared to a female companion, passime, wife and co-wife in a fusion of Upamā, Rūpaka and Atisayokti (Cār, III 1)

उन्काष्टितस्य हृदयानुगता सर्पात्र सङ्ग्रीणदीपर्गहता विषयेषु गोष्टा । काद्यरसेषु मदनस्यसनेषु कान्ता ह्याणा व कान्तर्गतिप्रप्रत्तं सपन्ता ॥

The lute reminds king Udayan cof its constant companion, queen Vāsavadattā, and he breaks out into the following utterance, the Norse touching a very pathetic note (Stapna, VI 1)

श्रीतमुष्पिनने ! क्यं तु देख्याः म्ननयुगले जयनस्यते च सुप्ता । विहगगणत्जोपिकीर्णदण्टा प्रतिभयमध्युपिनास्यरुण्यग्रामम् ॥ The various figures and pathy sayings from the domain of kingship and allied topics are being presented here in a collection. Bhāsa s message that kingdoms are won by hight and not by begging deserves to be quoted first on eccount of the profound truth it expresses (Dataraks, a, 24).

गः य नाम नृपात्मजैः सहदयैकिता रिपृन् भुटयते नहोके न तु याच्यते न तु पुनदींनाय वा दीयते । फ्राह्म चेन्नुपतिन्यमान्तुमचिरात् तुर्वन्तु ते साहस

स्वैर<sup>े</sup> वा प्रिविशन्तु शान्तमिनिर्मिनुष्ट शमायाश्रमम् ॥ It is further stated that roval glory is enjoyed only by the

energetic (Stapha VI 7) कातरा येऽपशक्ता वा नोत्साहस्तेषु जायते ।

कातरा यऽप्यशक्ता वा नारसाहस्तपु जायत प्रायण हि नरेन्द्रश्री सोत्साहरेव मुज्यते ॥

The ideals to be simed bi kings were Dharma Lajia protection of the subjects etc. (Pancardira I 24 30) बाणाधीना क्षत्रियाणा समृद्धि

> पुत्रोपक्षी बञ्च्यते सन्त्रिमता । विद्योत्सङ्गे विज्ञासर्ग्य सर्गे यज्ञा देय चापमात्र सुतेस्य ॥१५॥

र्कि तद्दब्य कि पछ को विशेषः श्रत्राचार्यो यत्र त्रियो दिद्दः ॥२०॥ तस्मा प्रजापालनमात्रबुद्धया

हतेषु देहेषु गुणा धरन्ते ॥१४॥ Gransitoriness of human actions is shown

Transitorines of human actions is shown by stating that everything else besides sacrifice and gift is evanescent (Karnabhara 22) शिक्षा क्षयं मच्छित कालपर्ययात् सुबद्धम्हा निपनित पादपाः । जलं जलस्यानगतं च शुष्यति हुतं च दत्तं च त्रयेव तिष्टति ॥

Momentary nature of the kingly whim is stited in the Bālacarita (II 13)

स्मरतापि भयं राजा भयं न स्मरतापि वा । उभाभ्यामपि गन्तत्रयो भयादप्यभयादपि ॥

That the earth protects its master if he keeps it free from arnasamkara is expressed by Aprastutaprasamsa based on Kavyalinga and Parikara (Pratipha, 1-9)

परचक्रेरनाकान्ता धर्ममङ्करविता। भूमिर्भर्तारमापनं रक्षिता परिरक्षति॥

The duties of a king have been enumerated in a stanza (Atimāraka, I 12)

वर्मः प्रागेव चिन्त्यः सचिवमानेगतिः प्रीक्षनच्या सबुद्धषा प्रच्छावी गगरोपी मृदूषरुपगुणी काख्योगेन कार्यो । क्षेत्रं खोकानुकृतं परचलवर्षनेभण्डळं प्रेक्षितच्यं ग्क्ष्यो यन्तरिहान्मा ग्यामिरामि पुनः मोऽपि नोबेक्षितच्यः॥

In a true sportsman spirit Duryodhana expresses a noble sentiment that in family feuds, there is no enmity with

वेलापनीतोऽभिमन्युः । अहमेबैन मोक्षयामि । कुनः, मम हि पितृभिरस्य प्रस्तुनो झानिभेद-स्त्रदिह मम च दोषो वक्तुभिः पाननीयः ।

children (Pañcarātra, III 4):

94

अय च मम स पुत्र पाण्ड्याना तु पश्चात् स्रोत च कुर्यागोरी नापग यन्ति पाटा ॥

The achievements even of in energetic king like Mahaseha fail to satisful monk on account of his failure to subjugate Vatsarap. This is expresed by Kavaalinga and Parayaokta (I ratina II 3)

मम ह्याबुर्तभन मार्गरेश नरेन्द्रा मकुटतटिन्टिन ११ ४म्मून महन्ति । न च मम परितोपो यन्न मा उत्तराज प्रणमति गुणशाना कुन्नरहानद्वत ॥

The famous dream scene in the Stapha and the scene between Duryodl and and Duryosa in the Crubhanga will yell repay perusal

Bhasa is for d of sowing broadcast pith, proverbul phriess in his plays. There are univer all truths applicable execut where uncurcumstanced by time and space. Without offering any prefators remarks or subsequent comments. I merely append below a selection of Subhautus from Bhasa both in proce and series.

देशकारावस्थापेक्षि खद्ध शार्य नयानुगामिनाम्। दृतपाक्य,

p 18 माता किल मनुष्याणा दैक्ताना च दैक्तम् । मध्यम, st 37 मानशरीरा राजान । ऊरुभङ्ग p 113

को हि समर्थ सिनिहितशार्द्रूल गुहा वर्षयितुम्। दूतघटोत्कच p. 55

हतोऽपि लमत स्वर्ग जिलापि लमते यश । उमे बहुमते लोक नास्ति निष्मलता रणेशी कर्णभार, st 12

p 13

अराले स्वस्थाक्य मन्युमुपादयति । प्रच्यात्र, p 65 अधिपादपरिश्वान्त पृट्टेयेत हि सर्पत्रान् । पश्चरात्र II 6 किं तद्दृब्य किं पर मो निशेष अताचार्यो यत्र त्रियो दिल्ड ॥ पश्चरात्र, I 30 यत्त्रश्व हि मो हन्यात् । पश्चरात्र, II 52 हतेऽपि हि नग सर्वे मये तिष्टन्ति तिष्टति । पश्चरात्र, III 25 इपेण क्षिय स्थ्यन्ते । पराक्रमेण तु पुरुषा । पश्चरात्र,

कृ 106 शृति सन्तापिषण्यति । पञ्चगत, II 8 शृष्टित हि स्तेष्टा नाम । अनिमारन, p 83 अग्रस्य मनित चेऽमें व प्रदर्भ । अनिमारन, p 29 हस्ताप्रदीपश्चप्रयन्य मन्दीभय पातृत । अनिमारन, p 26 ग हि बित्रज्ञणेण पित्त णस्मिदि । अनिमारन, p 87 तथा रात्मासास सुजन परितुष्यति । वात्मारतमासास सुजन परितुष्यति । वात्मत्तामाहे, पाने दस्ता प्रद्यम्भवा अनिमारन, IV 14 ग्राहस्य मूर्यस्य च वार्षयोगे इम गमन्यति ततुर्ते सुद्धि ॥ अनिमारन, V 5 विग्राहा नाम ग्रहृष्य पर्यास्य क्रिया मन्दित । अनिमारन,

अन्य तुल्यजीरगिन इद्वानि सृत्यन्ते । प्रतिमा, P 18 गरिरेऽरि प्रहरति इटये स्वननस्तया । प्रतिमा, I 12 सुप्रदारराहो परिअणो णाम । प्रतिमा, P 9 अवस्य सुत्रि चागणा अमे वा चिनयोऽयजा । अमेर्वेक, D 38 n metres the other Rama drama runs a close second with 154 sturzas and 15 metres. The Asimaraka also employs 5 different metres in its 97 stanzas. The Karnabh ira 1 as the smallest number with 25 stanzas and 7 metres.

There is a preponderance of the Sloka metre 437 out of 1092 stanz is being in that metre nearly 40%. The Madhyama has the highest percentage of Slokas viz 43° and the 4ziminaka the sma lest viz 15%. The similatilika with its 199 stanzas is only a poor second with the Sardulaykholdit (92) and Upajatt (91) coming reat. Then come the Mahm (72) and Puspitagra (55) and the others have a still smaller number of stanzas. There are that the verses in Prakati in six different metres with the Yava claiming five stanzas.

The preponderance of the Sloka is not confined to the cpt of mass alone while the Oribhanga and Karnabh ira minen, it expiced dramas show a very low percentage of the cpt it is classical dramatists however such as Kahdasa Visakli dritt. Bhatta Narayana and Blavabhuti use the Ann tubb on a smill scale and show preference for the Anya Sardulaskiri hta and Visantatiakla. In Bhasa, the Sleka is invariably perfect and regular. The rule as to the drambins in the second pada is rigidly missted on a name of Crases, are found of weak endings in which the list syllable is short. The lapses from prosodial rules are very few. The Vasantathika occupying a small percentage in Blasa, occurs in a greater proportion in Kalidasa and Vikhaditti, while the Viya which is rare in Blasa uses to a very high percentage in the later periods.

The structure of the verse is perfect 1 emg in complete

96 **,** were n

अहः समुत्तार्थ निशा प्रतीक्ष्यते कुभे प्रभाते दिवसोऽनुचिन्त्यते। अनागनार्थान्यशुभानि पश्यना गत गने कालमवेश्य निर्वृतिः॥ प्रतिज्ञा, III 2.

तांत रत्ने भाजने को निरोध- । प्रतिज्ञा, IV 2 त छानारुछ नागेन्द्र थेजवरनी निपायत । प्रतिज्ञा, IV. 20 इनापराश्य हि. सम्कृतिर्वत । प्रतिज्ञा, IV 23. अजुल पपपुरुससिक्तिया साँदु । स्वा, p. 55. सालक्रमण जगनः परिवर्तमाना चकारपिङ्क रिव गच्छिन माग्यपङ्किः॥

स्त्रप्न, I 4

कः क हाको रक्षितु मृथुकाले रज्जुच्छेट्रे के घट धारयन्ति 🛰 एव लोकस्तुल्यधर्मे बनाना काले काले छिचने रक्षाने च ॥ स्वन्त, VI 10.

तस्मिन्द्र्यम् गति हि यत्रार्थामा नराधिषः ॥ स्वन्त, І. 15 प्रदेषा बहुमानो या सङ्क्ष्मादृष्णअस्ति ॥ स्वन्त, І 7 अञ्चत परहस्स मोद्र । चारुदत्त, р 92 जनवि खलु रोष प्रश्ची भिष्यमानः । चारुदत्त, І. 14.

नगः प्रत्युपकागयाँ त्रिपत्तौ रुभने फलम् । चारुदत्त, IV. ७

भाग्यक्रमेण हि धर्मान पुनर्भवित्त । चारुदत्त, I 5 साहसे खलु श्रीवेसति । चारुदत्त, p 93 स्वेदॉर्विभेवित हि शक्कितो मसुष्यः । चारुदत्त, IV 6

MLTRICS In the cycle of Bhasa dramas the total number of verses is 1092, and as many as twentyfour different metres have been employed \* The Pratimal heads the plays with 157 verses, with 16 different kinds of

metres the other Rama drama runs a close second with 154 stanzas and 15 metres. The Atomaraka also employs to different metres in its 97 stanzas. The Karnabh ira ta stanzas and 7 metres.

There is a preponderance of the Sloka metre 437 out of 1092 stanzis being in that metre neuth 40%. The Madhyama has the highest percentage of Slokas 12; 67% and the faminaka the smallest viz 15%. The Viantital ska with its 129 stanzis is only a poor second with the Straditayskii htt (92) and Upijiti (91) coming at Then come the Malmi (72) and Pu pit 1gra (55) and the others have a still smaller number of stanzis. There are thirteen verses in Prakut in six different metre-

with the Ary i claiming five stimzas The propondizance of the Sloka is not confined to the eti drimis alone while the Cruthanga and Karnabhira n in the crite iframas show a very low percentage of the 41 kg. The dissiculatematists bewever such as halidish Visikhadatta Bhatta Narayana and Bhayabhati use the Annitually on a small scale and show preference for the Arya Surduksakri lite and Visintatilaka. In Bliasa the I ka is my cribly perfect and regular. The rule is to the digraphic in the second pida is rigidly insisted on I number of cases are found of weak endings in which the list syllable is short. The layses from prosodial rules are very few. The V is not italiak a occupying a small percentage in Blus o cuts in exerciter propertion in Kilidisa and Visikladatta while the Arga which is rare in Blass mes to a very Indi percentige in the liter periods

The structure of the verse is perfect, being in complete 1-7 BRASA

98

STYLE AND DIALOGUES This brings us to the consideration of the style of Bhasi. The influence of the epics is responsible for the simplicity and directness of style. It is rarely that we come across long compounds. The sentences are everywhere replete with a wealth of deas beautifully expressed. The language is very simple natural and touching alternated with simple figures of speech though there is the use of alliteration at some places. The style is flowing and direct the verbal flow is unimpeded and limit of which is trong emotions? Typical feelings are expressed in simple language 19. Bhasa is a master hand at depoting 1001, 11 The poet is fondness for pithy proverbial 51 jungs is exident from their large number in each plat. He is also fond of the simple and centin

tious, avoiding the artifices, ornamentations and word jugglery, which, though a ment in some literary pieces, are clearly undramatic Prasāda, ojas and mādhurya may be said to be the characteristics of Bhāsa's style. There is a change in style as befits the occasion and centument as directed in the Nātjašāstra. Bhāsa is terse and sparse in his expression. He tells us more by the things he does not say than hy the things he says. He is the master of vience.

Dialogue is a necessary element of drama, and Bhāsa is a master conversationalist. His dialogues are crisp and metansely dramatic. The speeches of the characters are natural, direct, realistic and vigorous. These dramas make the impression that Sanskrit was a living language at the time. Veree is successfully employed in dialogue. A stanza is occasionally split up in parts and each is taken by a different character. This device is eminently suited for quickness of repartee and dazzling display of dialogues.

We may note certain pecuharities of expression of the poet Ma is used with the instrumental, kin nu khalu indicates a question, aho nu khalu is used to introduce a stanza, ama and bādham denote assent, Irimāsse, sukhamāryasya, kimāsyate were the forms of greetings, yadi is used with cel. 12 alternation is implied without using tā 12

NATYASASTRA AND PLAYHOUSE Bhāsa disregards the rules of Bharata's Aālyašāstra in several Particulars Thus e g, there is the actual representation of violent scenes, deaths, ducls, slaughters, etc., as also of sport and sleep tragic end of the *Orubhanga*, there is difference in the dilorment of various seats to different personages from that stated in the Natyasastra, calling aloud from a distance is found in the Pañarantra 'Ārvaputra' is used as a form of address from a servant is the name of the author or the piece is not mentioned in the prologue etc

The possibilities are that Bhasa preceded the extant \( \text{\hat{A}} \) passistra of Bharati or followed a different \( \text{\hat{A}} \) passistra for Bharati or followed a different \( \text{\hat{A}} \) passistration of Bharata or fix least when he writed his plays the \( \text{\hat{A}} \) passistra had not attained any binding force. It may safely be assumed that the rules of the \( \text{\hat{A}} \) passistration and to be followed after the time of Kalidasa. The absence of any reference to Bhasa's works in the \( \text{\hat{A}} \) passistration is possibly due to the alleged antiquity of the \( \text{\hat{A}} \) passistration.

The antagonists of the Bhāsa problem, however, opine on the strength of the occurrence of some of the peculiar tree noted shore in the South Indian dram's thit Bhasa's disregard of Bhitrata's rule does not indicate his priority to Bharat's but the South Indian origin of these dramas <sup>11</sup> But Bhāsa's dr'imas are centuries older thin the South Indian plays and the so called Kerala peculiarities are due to the influence of these plays on the Kerala't theatre

The date of the Nārļasāstra satill a moot point. The present Nārļasāstra cunnot be posted to the hoary intiquity it claims for itself. Bhāsa limiself refers to a Nārļasāstra\* and the late date that may be assigned to the extant Nārļasāstra need not militate against the antiquity of Bhāsa for Bhāsa may be taken to refer te come predecesor of Bhasata or to the earlier version of

spots from which the actors gesticulated ascending or descending. Worable curtain was employed for showing a change of scene and the parikramana of the actors there were all otransver e-screens. The auditorium had a door at the extreme end for the entry of spectators. The ground was gradually railed for providing seating accommodation. Seats were of wood or of bricks arranged.

in rows tho e near the stage being reserved for the judges. The times for the performance of a drama depended on the subject matter of the particular play for example relieious dramas in the morning erotic in the first quarter of the night pathetic in the last quarter etc. There were four principal times morning evening and first and last quarters of the night. Female roles were played by females in general but sometimes males all o personated female parts. The stage consisted much of moveable centery such as charnots horses elephants etc. made

benedectors stanzs followed by the stage direction result of an artist of graph Bhasa's works on the contrary in common with South Indian plays and southern WS of the I theamers asija Malasik eminital Navananda Mudrarksass etc have the stage direction midyante etc before the benedectors stanza. It is clear that Nudi has a different meaning in each case. The word Nandi in the former case refers to the benedectors.

stanza whereas in the latter it refers to the preliminaries

behind the scenes Bana certainly refers to this peculiarity of the Blias's plays in his statement 7/2417 EXECUTED that the Nands was performed behind the scenes. The fact that Southern MSS of northern dramas and south Indian MSS have the same opening does not affect Bana's statement as Bana must be taken to refer to the practice of his own time and not to that which obtained subsequently. It is however probable that the Bhasa MSS in Bana's days were not anonymous as they are at present because in that case he would certainly have referred to this peculiar feature of Blias's and mentioned the dramas as Kavinamavarita. This shows that the opening portions of these dramas have been tampered with in post Bana'dys.

The Bharatavaky as cannot be brushed aside simply as referring in general to one s own king. The changes in the toning of the bharatatakyas of the various plays of this group indicate vicissitudes in the life and fortunes of the ruling king the references to foreign invasion suggest ing the king's losing regaining and expanding his kingdom during the period these plays were written. The extent of the kingdom is given as the land between the Vindhyas and Himalayas bounded by the oceans. On the assumption that Rajasimha in the bharataiaky as referred to the name of a king many scholars have identified the patron king of the poet with some king of the Pandya Pallava Kerala Kanya or Ksatrapa families having name similar to Rajasimha 20 Now the South Indian kings of the Pindya Pallava or Kerala family cannot be credited with being the patrons of Bhasa as his dramas are attested

104 BHĀSA

to at a period much earlier than the period represented by these kings. The territorial limits of the kingdom as stated in the bharatarakras moreover would conflict in the case of the South Indian kings Of the kings hailing from the North who may be considered for the patronship of Bhasa the territorial description does not apply to the Asatrapa kings or to Pusyamitra Sunga or to Asoka Further in the case of all these kings with the exception of Pusyamitra there was no foreign invasion. In the case of Ugrasena Mahapadma, the predecessor of Candragupta Maury a we find that the boundaries of his empire conform to the territorial limits of Bhasa's bharatar ikvas and the impending foreign invasion refers to the Greek invasion under Alexander the Great Or it may be that the poet may have lived in the reign of Cundraguota Maurya and was a senior contemporary of the great Mauryan minister Kautilya We shall consider this point when dealing

with the Date of Bhasa

BHASA AND TRAGED) It is said that absence of
'any effort at tragedy is a striking chriacteristic of the
Sanskrit drains but the discovery of the Bhasa plays has
brought out at least one real tragedy in the Orubhangs.
The Orubhangs is a tragedy viewed from Anstotle spont
or that of Hegel Aristotle considered the spectacle of
an ordinary human being brought to disaster by some
frailty in him as the fit subject for tragedy which evoked
feer and compassion and thereby purged the soul. The
conflict of a monster with a pigmy or of a righteous man
with an evil doer will produce a sense of pathos rather
thrusher traged sense and hence Hegels syew that tragedy

arises not from a conflict of right with wrong but of right with right is more appropriate from a psychological point The conflict of right with wrong will exoke feelings of

poetic justice in our minds and not those of tragedy The Orubhanga is a real tragedy is in Bhasa's view Duryodhana is a hero a noble king not an evil man Throughout in the Urubhanga Duryodhana receives our sympathies and he is not at all depicted as the enemy of Arsna 21 and there is absolutely no feeling that he was crved right

V Duryodhana fights righteously in the gadayuddha und is defeated only through the wiles of Krana. Then he further evokes our sympathy by restraining Balarama from uprooting the Panday as " He gets shocks one after another when he sees his queens lament, and his broken thighs prevent him from prostrating at his father's feet and from offering his thigh as a sent to his son Duryodhana is portrayed as a dutiful son loving husband affectionate fatter and a noble warrior. Though fallen he is not crest fallen sees nothing to repent of and feels proud of the fact that he did not show his back in war He teaches reconciliation and peace to his son Duryodhana rouses our sense of pity by undeserved misfortunes that befall his lot hence we cannot contribute to the view that the Urubhanga has a happy end 23

The tragic element in the Urubhanga would be seen in a clearer perspective by comparison with the Venisamh ira which depicts Duryodhana as an evil doer, and Bluma as the real hero Duryodhana in the I enisanh ira does not attract our sympathies whereas in the Urublanga he is the real hero and his end there is tragic. Dr. KETH'S CILICISM aptly applies to the Lenisamh ira where Duri odhana is the enemy of Kisma deserving his fate and the spectator all worshippers of Kisma enjoy the scene. The Lenisamh ira in reality is a suppressed tragedy quite distinct from the conception of a real traged which we find in the Crubbanga. Even to Indian readers the end of Duryodhana invested with the characteristics briefly indicated by us is really tragic they do not evult over that much less do they find it justified.

VERSES ASCRIBED TO BHASA. There are fifteen verses accribed to Bhasa in various anthologies and other works and not a varied of it em is found in his extant dramas. The absence has been taken as an argument against Bhasa is authorship of these plays but it can be attifactorili explained.

In the first place much rehance cannot be placed on the anthologies as they are found to be wrong in various ways and particulars. There are misquotations wrong ascriptions and ascriptions of the same stanza to different writers in different authologues. Secondly, the anthology verses may have come from other works of Bhasa now lost to us or may be his independent compositions for it i not yet definitely proved that Bhasa wrote only those works that have come down to us. It may again be urged that some of these yer es may have been taken from receivagons of these dramas now lost to us as would appear from the fact that we have indicated suitable contexts for ome of the yerses in these plays. If may be noted if at

different MSS of the Abhiseka from the same region omit as many as three stanzas and similar changes are lound in different MSS of the Wadhyama and other plays<sup>52</sup>. And again the absence of some anthology verses from the works of Kahdasa Aśvaghosa etc. is not taken as casting doubt on the authenticity of their works. It is but just that the same treatment of ould be accorded to Bhasa.

An attempt is made in the following pages to restore some of the stanzas to the works of Bhasa It is clear that some of these cannot have come from Bhasa

In spite of its ascription to Bhasa by Somadeva in his Yasastilaka ti e stanza

पेया सुरा प्रियतमामुग्नमाक्षणाय प्राह्म स्वभावरुलितो निकटश्च नेत्र । येनेदमाहहामहत्यन मोक्षन में दीर्घायरस्त भगनान स विनाक्षणी ॥

obviously belongs to Mahendravikramavarman as it i found in his Mattavilasa (p. 7) Soit cannot be by Bl asa

ताक्ण रिक्तपति नाच इमाचिराट्य शृङ्ग रररूयनि मित्रमिगङ्गनज्ञ । तोय प्रमीदिन मुनेरिय चित्तमात

वामी दरिद्र इत्र शोषमुपैति पङ्ग ॥

l as been unrunmously ascribed to Bhasa by the Subhasia ali Sadukhikaru mirta and \$ari gadl arapaddl ati and by modern scholars including Sarut Thomas and Weller? It shows many features common to Bhasa with regard to idea and expression The stanza contains the description of summer and slows the same keen observation of nature BHASA

ToS

and humanity that we a-sociate with Bhāsa दुःखार्ते मधि दुःग्लिना मबति या छटे प्रहृष्टा तथा दांने दैन्यमुपैन रोपपुरुषे प्रध्य वची भागते । कार वेति कथाः क्योंने निष्णा प्रमालवे स्थाने

काल बेसि कथा: बरोनि निपुणा म सस्तवे रज्यति भार्या मन्त्रियः सन्त्रा परिजनः सैका बहुत्व गना ॥ 15 ascribed to Bhāsa in the Subhāsatā als — It

description of an ideal wife The stanza shows affinities to other Bhā-a works and the qualities enumerated conform to the female characters portraved by Bhā-a I had indicated the Fifth or the Sixth Act of the Sixth is satisfied by the same as the probable place where this stanza may suitably occur, but after reading Mr Aii Ars observations. I am inclined to think that the stanza finds a better context in the Sixthman, II

Risadmantarium lands framid—

गीलनविभवस्याञ्चेयाच युतिमंत्ताणा रचे. । अभिनववधूरोगस्तादुः करंपसनतृतपा— दसरळ जनाध्यक्तरस्तुपासस्यारणः ॥ is the description of winter with thoughts similar to those

is the description of water with thoughts similar to those in the works of Bhāsa and with word echoes from the latter. The Saduktikarnāmrta attributes it to Bhāsa. This general description may be from some other work of Bhāsa now lost to us.

The Subh isitarali ascribes to Bhasa

वाटा च मा निदितपञ्चशरप्रपञ्चा तन्त्री च सा स्तनभरोपचिताङ्गयिः । रुजा समुद्रहति सा सुरतावमाने

# हा जापि सा जिमित कि कथयामि तस्या ॥

which is in illustration of apparent contradiction—a similar instance is found in the Asimiraba (p. 6). It may find a place in the Asimiraba in the Fifth Act when the hero has a chart with his friend.

यदपि निबुर्ते सिन्धोरन्त वयनिवृतार्गित तदपि सफर चारखीणा मुख्य निरोक्यते । सुरसुमनस् आसामोदे शहा च श्रोल्यो---रमृतमारे तिर्यम्भृते निष्ण च विशेचने ॥

lins been ascribed to Bhojadevi in the Suktimuklatali to Inksmidhari in the Katindratacanasamuccaya and to Bhisi in the Saduklikamanria Vasastilaka and Jahlani fins casts some doubt about Bhisis a authorship of thistanza. However a parallel for বিশ্ব ঘ বিশেষন has been found in the drim iraka. If 17 The stanza compares the virious parts of the faces of beautiful women with the virious cliects obtained by the gods by churning the ocen

प्रस्मासन्नविवाहमङ्गरियो देवार्चन यस्तया दृष्ट्राम्ने परिणेतुरेय लिक्ता गङ्गायस्याङ्गतम् । उ मादस्मितरापल्जितस्तैर्गोया वयत्रिविराद् इद्धर्सावचनात् प्रिय विनिहत पुष्पाञ्जलि पातु व ॥

is of the nature of a mangala sloka and though the Sadukikarn impla accubes it to Bhasa the Sarngadhara fiddhati does not mention its author. On account of its multitudes with the opening were so of the Ratina ali, I militarik; and Paraliparini; it has been taken to be

old and possibly connected with Bhāsa If so, it belongs to some of his lost work, because being a benedictory stanza it cannot occur in any of the extant works

कठिनहृदये मुख ऋोध सुखप्रतिघातक व्यवति दिवस यान यान यमः किल मानिनि । वयसि तरुणे नैन्युक्त चले च समागमे भावति कुलसे सावत वस समाग्रेस तम् ॥

भवति कलहो यावत् तावत् वर सुभगे रतम् ॥ कृतमकृतमायासस्येरत्वयारम्यतिवश्चिता

निमृतनिमृतै. कार्यालपैर्मयाञ्जपटाक्षितम् । भवतु विदित नेष्टाह ते कृषा परिखिषसे

हाहमसहना त्व निःस्नेह समेन सम गतम्॥ दुग्धे मनोभवनतै बाला कुच्छुभ्मसभृतैरमृतेः।

त्रिप्रलीकृतालकृता जाना रोमाक्ली बङ्घी ॥

The first two of the above stantas have been ascribed to Bhāsa in the Subhātuārai and the last in the Subhātuārai and the last in the Subhātuārai and the last in the Subhātuārai and the accurated of these stantas in my of the extant plays of Bhāsa, and the stantas contain ideas foreign to Bhāsa. The second stanta (Kriakahriakaih etc.) according to the Sūrngadharaḥad-dhāti comes from an unknown poet

अस्या छलाटे रचिता संबोधि---विभाज्यते चन्दनपत्रछेखा ।

विभाग्यते चन्दनपत्रलेखा । आपाण्डरक्षामकपोलभिक्ता—

वनक्रवाणवणपहिकेव ॥

is ascribed to Bhasa in the Sarngadharapaddhati. It contains ideas similar to those found in the Atimaraka. The Subhasitiidi, however, ascribes it to some unknown.

poet It may find a saitable context in the Assmaraka at p 78

करोले मार्जारः एय इति कराँहिटि शशित— स्तरिष्टद्रप्रोतान् विममिति कर्ग मंजल्यति । रतान्ते तन्यस्थान् हरति चनिताप्यशुकामिति प्रमामत्तक्षन्ते। जगटित्यको विष्टायति ॥

describes the tricks played by the moon on different objects. The Sāmṇadharapaādhati ascribes it to Bhāsa, and the Sāktimuklāvali to Rājaśekhara. Description of the moon is a favourite topic of our poet, and some scholars are inclined to attribute this stanza to Bhāsa.

दयिताबाहुपाद्यस्य कुनेऽयमपुगे विविः। जीवयार्थावनः करेते मार्यस्यविकतः॥

has been differently ascribed to Bhāsa by the Śārngadhara padāhaii, to Kalaśaka by the Subhāsitāvali, and to Śyāmala by the Saduklikarnāmila. Its similarity with some stanzas in the Ralnātali has been taken to indicate Bhasa's authorship. It cannot, however, find a place in our group of plays.

पाटाकान्तानि पुष्पाणि सोष्म चेट गिरातरम्। नून काचिटिहासीना मा दृष्यु महमा गना ।

is said to have come from the Sudpnatāravadatta of Bhasa by Rāmacandra and Gunacandra in their Nātyadarpana<sup>20</sup>, It is not found in the printed Svapna, but its dislocated elements are scattered in the IVth Act in the Sephālika scene It must have belonged to the Stapna, and its place there is after शियाना भी (Svapna, p. 72) त्रेतायुग \* \* \* तद्धि न मैथिछां सा गमस्य गमपदर्वा मृदु चास्य चेतः । ढव्या जनस्तु यदि सक्षणमस्य काय प्रोकन्य नन्न निन्दशो न विविधिमार्गा ॥

In his commentary on Bhruata's Vātjasāstra, Abhmaya-gupta ascribes this stanzi to Bhāya. It belongs to some of the Raimi dramis, and it may hive formed part of the Abhaseka in the II act viter stanzi is as a part of Handman's speech. It his in with his sentiments at the time. The second line of this stanza is found in the newly dicovered a anachelatin (IV 40).

सन्चितपश्मकवाट नयनद्वार खरूपतदनेन । उद्याद्य सा प्रविधा हृदयगृह मे भूपतन्जा ()

Abhmavagupta in the Dhranjāloka cites this verse to from the S.apnarāsāta adda and as an instance where poets care only for the figures and not for sentiments. The verse itself is not found in the S-apnarāsāta adalta, but it max find a place in the dream scene. It was perhaps omitted from the text by some scribe in deference to the criticism levelled against it by Abhmavagupta.

पद्मावत्या मुख बीक्ष्य विशेषक्रियमृपितम् । जीवत्यावन्तिकेत्येतन्त्रातः भूमिमुजा यथा ॥

is said to have come from the Stapharasac.adatta in the Bhātachrakāsana of Śāradātanaya. This stanza does not occur in the printed text but a similar incident is found in the drama, and this stanza is assigned a place after S.ahna, V. S.

ण्को हि दोषो गुणसन्तिपति निमञ्जतीन्दोः क्रिरणेष्टिगङ्घः । नृत न दर्ष्टं क्रिनापि तेन दारिद्यदोषो गुणगशिनाशी ॥

Mr Narayan Sastri of Madras ascribes this stanza to Bhāsa without giving any authority for his statement. The stanza, however, cannot be ascribed to Bhasa who was pre Kālidāsa in time, as it refers to the well known saying of Kālidāsa from the Kumārasambhata (13)

एको हि दोपो गुणसन्तिपाते निमजनीन्द्रोः किरणेष्ट्रियाङ्गः।

BHĀSA AND KĀLIDASA BHĀSA S INFLUENCE Kālīdāsa has referred to Bhāsa<sup>30</sup> among others as well satablished in reputation at his lime, and it is but natural that his works should show the influence of his illustrious predecesor, Bhāsa Kālīdasa appears to be a close and careful student of Bhasa and is so much with Bhasa that knowingly or unknowingly identical or parallel ideas occur to him Kalīdāsa generally transforms and normally improves the borzowed matter and hence strict proof of indebtedness is impossible

However, germs of some of the ideas incidents, situations, etc., as occur in Kālidāsa are lound in Bhāsa, and we refer to a few of them. The king in the introductory scene of the Sākuntala issues instructions to the Command crin Chief not to disturb the hermitage people, which remind one of the similar instructions given by Padmā-Vatis chamberlain to his servants in the Stapna. The R. 8.

BHĀSA

114

description of the hermitage is similar in the Svapna and Sākuntala Then with Vāsavadattā's words of thanks to the hermit woman may be compared the king's remarks that the speech of welcome is sufficient hospitality Sakuntula's farewell of her foster children is similar to that of Sita, and the distrust of Dusyanta by the deer in the Sākuntala finds its counterpart in the distrust in Bharata by the deer in the Pratimā The watering incident in the opening scene of the Sākuntala where watering is mentioned as a penance is found in the Pratimal expressed by a different figure. The idea that everything befits the beautiful ( Sākuntala ) finds its germ in the Pratima The lost ring and the lost lute receive similar treatments at the hands of the kings in the Sakuntala and Svapna The motif of the curse of Durvasas seems to have been suggested by that of Candabhargava in the Avimaraka The idea of the ups and downs of life following in succession like the spokes of the wheels which is expressed in the Meghadata probably

in the notes at the end in parallel columns <sup>32</sup>
With all this, bowever, both are products of different ages and linece there are differences in conception, and their mental equipment and viewpoints are also different. In religious outlook, Blåsa's mind is found to be immersed in the Vedas and Purānas and is optimistic and contented whereas in Kālūdāsa, the religious conceptions become

originated from the Svapna 31. There are vague similarities regarding many expressions, but much reliance cannot be placed on these in the matter of proving indebtedness. However, some of the striking similarities have been given more metaphysical and complicated, and the ceneral trend is of reasoned pessimism. Similar diversity is found in their political outlook also. Blasa stands for absolute monarchy believing in the goodness of the king. Lahd is a however pleads for democracy and rule according to the wishes of the people. Bliss's king has a small retinue while halidish attaches female bodyguard etc. to the royal retinue. We are mainly concerned here with stylistic and literary peculiarities and find that Bhisa is a realit whereas hand is a classicist. There is simplicity and naturalness in Bhasa and ornamentation and studied simplicity in Kahdisa. The difference has been aptly stated Ly-Javadeva who calls Bhasa the laughter of poetry and haddes the grace of poetry 33. The former is quite sample and natural while the latter is studied. The difference can be illustrated by comparing Bhasa a style with a forest creeper and that of Kahdasa with a garden creeper the former is like a hermitage full of flowers and fruits chirpings of birds etc. whereas the latter is like a royal palace full of choicest delicacies and perfumes and musical sounds of lute etc both have however prasida in common v BHASAS INFILENCE. Sudraka as we shall see in

BINAS TATERALE

the next section planned his Mechakatika on the Ciridatla taking not only the plot characters incidents etc. but the proce and verse as well and making occasional improvements and additions and removing crudities. The Ariminaka seems to have supplied. Bhay abbut to with many incidents and ideas for his Malahmadharu. Both Certucher plot from folklore and the de criptions of nature are

peculiarities and dramatic devices, but they have also copied down his antique Prakrit Mahendravikramavar man and Saktibhadra, the pioneer dramatists of the south, are specially indebted to the Abhiseka and Pratifia for their Matlaulāsa and Āscaryacūdāmani The Udayana plays of Bhāsa have found a fertile growth in the Vināzā savadatta, Vinādavāsavadatta, Tāpasavatsarāja, Vatsarājacarīta, etc The plays of Kulašekharavarman, the Kalyānassugandhika, Dāmaka, Caturbhāni, Bhagatadajju klya, Traunkrama, etc, have imitated the technique, and 3t places, the ideas of Bhāsa

CÂRUDATTA AND MRCCHAKATIKA One of the important and interesting problems raised by the discovery of the thirteen Bhasa plays is the relation between the Carudatia and the Mycchakatika (The two plays present a unique phenomenon in Sanskrit literature by their very close resemblance which excludes the hypothesis of their independent origin The discovery of the Bhasa plays has cast an unexpected light on the age of the Mrcchakatika, and has once for all exploded PISCHEL'S theory which attributed the Mrcchakatika to Dandin after first ascribing it to Bhasa There is a sharp difference of opinion among scholars regarding the nature and relation of the Caridatta and Mrcchakatika, their views being mainly dependent on their acceptance or rejection, of the Bhasa theory MM Dr T Ganapati Sastri, Dr. MORGENSTIERNE, Dr. SUKTHANKAR, Dr. BELVALKAR, Dr. BANERJI SASTRI, Prof S M PARANJAPE and others regard the Carudatta as the original work and the Mycchakatika only as an enlargement of it, while Prof Ramavatara

SARMA Bhattanatha SAMI Prof PISHAROTI VIM Prof KARE Prof DEVADHAR and others pronounce the Bhasa cycle as spurrous consider the Urechakatiku as the original and the Carudatta as its mere abridgement or adaptation Dr Raja Dr Hirananda Sastra and others hold that both the Carudatta and the Mrechakatika are different recensions of the same play 31 Before pursuing the main problem of the relationship of the two plays [Dropose to give some prefatory remarks about the Carudatta showing that it is by Bhasa and that it is a fragment]

It may be recalled that while considering the common authorship of these plays reference was made to some common features of these plays. The Carudatta can also be linked with the group. It has for instance a similar description of darkness as in the Balacarita and Avimagaha the same liking for music as in the Suapia Pratigna and Avimagaha it presents the same Prakrit archaisms and Avimagaha is the same liking for music as in the Suapia Pratigna and Avimagaha it presents the same Prakrit archaisms and Avimagaha is in the other plays it disregards unity of time as do the Abhischa Avimagaha Balacarita Stapia etc. It has many similarities of idea and expression with the plays of the group specially with the Stapha?

The next point is whether the Caridalla as we have it is a fragment. In spite of the colophon at the end of our MS («σπαθα πίστα») there are grounds internal and external to postulate the existence of a sequel to the Caridalla. The following prisages from the play clearly indicate that the poet wanted to continue the play.

1 Carudatta (Car I 6) पाप क्सं च यत्परेरिप कृत तत्तस्य

2 Carudatta (Car I 5) भाग्यक्रमेण हि धमानि पुनर्भवन्ति ।

3 Sakāra (Cār, p 33) अहके टावबिटि म्हक्सप्टर्मालए। У बाँबहा दुक्खडे कडे। (Cār, p 34) मा टाव तव अ मम अ टालुमो

 मोहो होदि ति ।
 4 Samvāhaka (Cār, p 57) नो हि णाम अप्यण किंद्र पन्नुअ-अप्रैण विशासिट ।

Gānikā (*Cār*, p 58) गन्दु अस्यो पुर्यो दस्याअ।

5 Ganikā (Cār, p 90) जदाअन्य चारदत्ती अभिमारहद्रव्यो तदा मण्डेमि ति ।

6 Sajjalaka (Câr, IV 7) मर प्रत्युररागर्था विषर्गा लभते प्रकाम । विपनामेय सालोडम्स बोडम्या भवतु तस्य वा ॥

7 Ganikā (Cār, V 103) एडि 'डम अठरार' गॅडिअ अस्य-चारदत्तं अभिसरिस्सामा ।

Ceti (Cār, V 103) अञ्जुले तह । एई पुण स्रभिसारि-आसहाअभृदं दुष्ट्रियं उष्णीमदं ।

Dramatic justice requires the fulfilment of the first four statements. The attachment of the guilt of another's evil deed to a poor man indicated in Carudatta's speech, and his belief in the return of good fortune to him do'not find any expression in the present Carudatla. Sakāra is not merely pouring out empty threats, but employing significant words, it is against his nature to brook, the insult from Vasantasenā of the return of his carriage. The shampooer seeks un opportunity to repay his obligations. Vasantasenā is thinking of approaching Cārudatta fully adorned. All these point out that the writer intended to

deal with these factors, and the play, as it stands, is incomplete—a fragment—and comes to in end abruptly. These raise some expectations in the minds of the readers with regard to the vicesstudes in the fortunes of the persona dramatis in the play, without whose fulfilment there would remain the effect of incompleteness and there would not be poetic justice. Thus we cannot accept the view that the Carindatia is the original or an abridgment the presence of these sentences in the Carindatia unmistability shows that a sequel had been intended otherwise these references to future events would have been omitted by the person who may have worked over the Mirchabatuba into the Carindatia as contended by the anti-Bhäsites—

Besides these there is external evidence in the nature of some quotations, which render it probable that that the Curtidary had a sequel which ran on very similar lines to those found in the Mrcchakatika.

(i) Adiakalaksanarainakośa (p. 41)35 cites the following stanza from a Daridracarudutta

शुष्प्रदुमगतो रीति आदिखाभिमुख स्थितः । कथपत्यनिमित्त में वायसो ज्ञानपण्डितः ॥

As the Nulakalaksanaratrakoja quotes from both the Carudutla and the Mrchakatka, it is clear that it distinguishes between the two Now, the status as not found in the Mrchakatka, but identical sentiments and man of the phrases occur in the Ninth Act in an expanded form (IN to II).

121

(11) The Nalyadarpana (p 53) refers to the Daridacārndatla in connection with the fruit depending on human effort or fate. The existing acts of the Carudatla make no reference to the human effort or fate and hence there was a sequel dealing with the result of the actions of Carudatia. It may be noted that the Nalyadarpan refers also to the Mrchadatlaka.

(iii) A verse is quoted in the Sarasi atskanthabharana
(p 603) 32 as addressed by Vita to Sakara

शकार <sup>†</sup> कि प्रार्थनया प्रावारेण मिपेण वा । अकार्यवर्जे मे बृहि किमभीष्ट करोमि ते ॥

In Sanskrit drama Sakara appears only in the Carudatta and Mrcchakatika the verse does not occur in the Mrcchakatika but its elements are found scattered in the prose passages in the VIIIth Act of the Mrcchakatika. As the author of the Mrcchakatika has expended the stray sentences from the Caurdatta (as we shall presently show) it is possible that the verse has been omitted in the Mrcchakatika and its sense has been given—This would show that there was a sequel to the Carudatta

So it may be surmised that the Carudatta extended upto the events told in the IXth Act of the Mrcchakatika and that both plays developed to the end on very similar lines

Now we shall briefly consider the relation between the Carudalla and the Mrcchakatika from the points of vocabulary technique Prakrit versification and dramatic incident

1 Vocabulary The Carudatta uses many obscure

words while the *Virechakatika* has only one rare form ithakaila aita, the word kallacita is common in the Carudatla Some scholars have tried to show that the Carudatla contains pure Valabar words such as nexyibib imana aithi and maje and that purchianti is u ed in its Valavalain sense of censure. It may be noted however that the words are derivable respectively from sinchodbha ma aithi and nathe and that the word purchiant in the Criticalities simply means spoken of 25.

2. Technique The Criticalities also no nindi nor the

bi arata ikaa the latter omission being due to the drama being a fragment The absence of the benedictory stanza may be on account of the possible death of the poet be fore giving final touches to the play or it may be due to some unforeseen can e The Wrechakatika on the other hand has a nindi and an elaborate prologue in common with the classical drama but contrary to the classical usage the prologue is in Prakt t So the Mrcchakatika must have had some authority for its departure from the general vogue and the Prakrit speech of the Sutradhara in the Caradat i seems to be the source as the Prakrit speech in the Mrcchakatika is preceded by an explanatory note एयाऽस्मि मा का वनात्रश्रायवनाव प्राष्ट्रतमापा सर्च which is absent in the Caradatta The Sakuntalaryakhya al o mentions the Caradat a as having Prakrit for the Sutradhara's speech evidenth indicating that it was the source from which the author of the Mrcchakatika copied 39 A regards characterization we find that while the

characters in the Cur idatia are more natural and are painted with a few bold strokes there appears a studied

- 3 Prakrit (The Curudatta in common with the other works of Bhasa retains old Prakrit forms whereas the Mrcchakatika invariably contains the middle Prakrit? The Cirudatta has ahake and tu am for pronouns of First and Second Person while the Mrcchakatika employs the later forms hage (or hagge) and tumam. The absolutives of gam and kr are gacchia and kari( is )a in the Cirudatia and gadua and kadua in the Wrechakatika In the Carudalla neut pl of nom and acc ends in ans in the Mrcchakatika in aim The Caridatta retains assimilated conjuncts e.g. dissadi which the Wrechakatika simplifies into disanti The old Prakrit ama is found in the Cirudatta, but not in the Mrechakatika For gela in the Carudatta the Mrcchakatika las ghala lurther the Mrcchakatiki contains a number of desi words ( such as chitia dhakkehi uddeht karatta bappa potta etc.) indicating a late date
- 4 Versification (The verses in the Wrechakatika are largely free from the flaws of the corresponding verses of the Carudatta only very rarely do we come across an instance to the contrars. The improvement in the form and substance of the verse is effected by the rectification of grammatical mistakes elimination of redundancies and wkward constructions etc The Mrcchakatika further slows better judgment by facing the verses from the Cirudatta in a better and more suitable context. These facts are mexplicable unless the priority of the Ciridatta

which are absent in the Ciridatta The Maharastri Prakrit employed by the Wrechakatika is not found in the

C mudatta

# is accepted

5 Dramatic Incident (i) Time analysis of the plays reveals the improvements effected by the Mrcchakaiska by significant omissions The Carudatta ties down the events of the first and third acts to sasthi and astami, but the descriptions of the rise and setting of the moon in the first and third acts are inconsistent with the tithi scheme The Mrechakatika eleverly omits all references to tithi, mentioning only the Rainasasthi (u) The hero's remarks on poverty in the Carudatta come to an abrupt end by the scene introducing Vasantasena, the Mycchakatika, however, portrays Cărudatta as engaged in meditation, thus connecting the events in a sequel (iii) In the Caridatta when Madanikā is attending on Vasantaeenā and Sajjalaka calls out to the former it is strange that she alone hears him the Mrechakatika improves on this by making Sarvilaka wait outside and call out only when Madanika

is sent out by her mistress. In all these cases we find that the improvements and the clunges for the better are invariably to be met with in the Mrcchakatika, and the natural conclusion is that the Mrcchakatika is an amphification of the Carudatta, and the natural conclusion is that the Mrcchakatika is an amphification of the Mrcchakatika cannot be accepted. And again, the fact that the extent of the first four acts of the Carudatta is nearly the same as that of the first four acts of the Mrcchakatika goes aguinst the view that the Carudatta is an abridgment of the former. Moreover the Carudatta is found to be proliv in certain passages. The priority of the Mrcchakatika version is incompatible with the above.

findings Thus, the Carudatta appears to be the original of the Mrcchakatika, or "il our Carudatta is not itself the original of the Mycchakatika, then, we must assume it has preserved a great deal of the original upon which the Mrcchakatika is based "40

Further, the essential differences between the two plays which we have dealt with later on, show that the Mrcchakatika always shows later traits, proving it to be subsequent in time to the Carudatta

POLITICAL BYE-PLOT It will not be out of place here to consider the political by e plot in the Mrcchakatika about which there is no reference in the Carudatta Some Scholars assert that it has been excised from the Carudatta by significant omissions 41 The very lact that the political bye plot could be so carefully removed from the original play speaks very highly against its ever having formed a structural unit with the whole play. It is difficult to assign any reason for the deliberate omission and removal of all references to Palaka and Aryaka, if the Mycchakatika were the original As a matter of lact, the political episode is loosely connected with the main story and runs on parallel lines with it, and hence the second half of the Mrcchakatika is partly spoilt by the contamination of two subjects that stood originally in no relation whatever to each other The episode can be removed from the play without any prejudice to the development of the dramatic action. Cărudatta and Vasantasena are not directly connected with the revolution There is no necessity of Aryaka for the exchange of carriages, and the innocence of Carudatta can be established without the intervention

of broad and rollicking himour

CĀRUDATTA AND MRCCHAKATIKA DILL-ERENT RECENSIONS OF THE SAME PLAY? Some scholars, as already stated, assert that the Carudatla and Mycchakatika are different recensions of the same play huling from different localities. The very fact that the two plays are known by different titles runs counter to any such assumption. It is to be noted that rhetoricians down from Vamana distinguish between the Carudalla and the Mrccharatika, thus testifying to their being two different works. Vamana has three quotations one of which is found only in the Mrechakatika, one agrees more with the Caridalla, and the third seems to be a misquotation for a passage occurring only in the Carudatta 41 Vâmana thus seems to be aware of both the plays and is probably quoting from memory. Abhinavagupta in his commentary on Bharata's Natyasastra refers to a Daridracarudalla, and Ramacandra and Gunacandra in the Nativadar bana mention Daridracarudatta and Mrcchakatika side by side 45 The Sakuntalar rakhva, as already stated, mentions the Prakrit speech of the Sütradhäri as the peculiarity of the Carudatta Thus the testimony of the above writers proved the existence of both the Carudalla and Mrcchakalika, and also that Daridracarudalla is an alternative title for the Carudatta The Carudatta and Mycchakatika are, therefore, quite distinct works DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE CARUDATTA

AND MRCCHAKATIKA Essential differences between the two works also prove the same thing, in addition to showing the priority of the Carudatta (1) Carudatta, in

are two distinct works, and that the former is older than the Mrcchakatika, and hence on account of their peculiar relationship dealt with hitherto, it is evidently the original of the Mrcchakatika.

KERALA INFLUENCE AND CAKYARS From the lact that these Bhasa plays bear some structural similarities with some of the South Indian plays which omit the names of their authors from their prologues, which they style sthīpanā, and which disregard some of the rules of Bharata, as also because some of the acts from these plays, such as the Sephālikānka, Manirānka, Maliānka, etc are popular on the Kerala stage and form part of the Cakyar repertoire, it has been argued that these plays come from the South and owe their birth to Cakvar authorship 47 The following additional grounds have been enumerated in support of the Kerala origin of these plays (1) some Prakrit words are used in their peculiar Kerala sense, (a) the statues and statue-houses and the method of worshipping the statues are a Kerala institution. (iii) non participation of the queen in the coronation in the Pratima shows compliance with the Kerala practice: (iv) the word "sambandha" in the Pralijia and the Aumūraka is used in its technical sense denoting Sambandha marriage, (1) mention of Kharapata and Medhātithi's Nyāyaśāsira proclams these plays to be quite late and from the South 49

Now, taking these arguments serially we find that though most of the South Indian dramas exhibit the same structural features and the same dramatic technique in contravention of the rules of Bharata there are only a B o 130 couple of dramas which do not contain the name of the

RHASA

author The question of authorship of the other dramas presents no difficulties and is not dependent on inference as the names of the authors are mentioned in the dramas themselves The real difficulty would arise only in the case of the authorship of anonymous works, and these should present not much trouble The Transkrama, Vina iāsaiadatta Dāmaka and Bhagaiadajjiikiya are the only anonymous pieces brought forth by the supporters of the Kerala theory and it may be noted that the Bhagatada; jukiya is ascribed to Bodhayana Kavi, the Transkrama and Dāmaka are really the works of some Calvar, and they exhibit no individual characteristics, but appear to be produced by compilation As regards the Vinārāsavadalla, I am inclined to identify it with the Valsarajacarila ascribed to Śūdraka

Now, the fact that South Indian dramas of known authors display the same features of structure and dramatic technique as the works of Bhasa, requires some explanation It is to be observed in this connection that the stage reform in Kerala is quite a recent event referrable to the period of the Kerala Lings of 10th-11th centuries, and the Ascaryacudamanı by Saktıbhadra is the first drama from the south 49 Bhāsa's dramas, on the contrary, have been known since the days of Kalidasa, and one of the main structural peculiarities found in the southern works has been definitely associated with Bhasa by Bana in the 7th century The Kerala dramatists were actors directly connected with the stage and the peculiar dramatic devices

used by Bhasa and the stageworthiness of his works must

have appealed to these actor-adapters, and practical utility made them incorporate these factors in their works which later came to be regarded as Kerala practices

/Popularity of these works on the Kerala stage cannot be taken to indicate Cakyar authorship Many other works of known authorship besides these plays form part of the Cakyar repertory and are popular on the Kerala stage, but they are not ascribed to the Cakyars Besides, despite much that has been written on the subject, we do not yet know the exact part played by the Cakyars in the work of revision or adaptation. There is no evidence as to the ability of the Cakyars to compose Sanskrit dramas They are said to be working on adaptations of translations only And again, these Cakyars stage only the select acts from these dramas, so the particular acts alone could be called adaptations, but we find that all acts of the whole plays including the so called stage editions of particular acts from those plays are of uniform ment with regard to style and thought, and hence those particular acts even cannot be from the stage editions and hence not a single bit from these dramas can be ascribed to Cakyar authorship

We shall now deal with the other arguments and finally discuss the topic whether these plays can be called adaptations

As regards the particular Prakrit words it has been shown that they can be explained independently of their herala associations Again there is not much sense in a kerala dramatist using Kerala forms in Sanskrit dramas

With regard to the second argument, it may be stated that the method of worshipping the statues as recorded in the Praisma finds its counterpart in the Ramay and itself and the e is nothing peculiarly South Indian about it The so-called statue of a Cera Ling in a Six a temple at Tiruvanchikulam which is alleged to have suggested the idea of the Pratimagrhas is quite distinct from the statuehouses in the Pratima where there is the installation and worship of the statues of the dead kings. The discovery of the Saisunaga statues and the prevalence of the ancient custom of erecting Chhatris (or stone images for departed kings) and offering daily worship and food to them in Rajputana prove that the statues even if they exited in Kerala were not confined to Kerala only 51

In connection with the next argument which relates to the non participation of Sita in coronation it is seen that Sita does not take part in the first coronation of Rama as Yuvaraja as it was of secondary importance and as Varistha Vamadeva and others knew beforehand that it was not to take place Besides by separating Sits the poet depicted the talkala incident foreshadowing forest life -Sita however does participate in the main coronation of Rama as king 5" and hence there can be no reference to the South Indian custom The non participation of Sita may

be justified on the authority of the Rumayana also 53 We shall deal with the San bandha marriage later on and shall show that there is absolutely no reference to

Sambandha marriages in these plays 54 Kharapata as already shown is an old master found even in Kautilya and hence the name cannot be said to have been taken from the Wattard isa. As regards the

Varasastra of Wedhatithi it is to be borne in mind that

the reference is put in the mouth of Rāvana, a prehistoric person, in addressing Rāma, and making Rāvana refer to a treatise of the roth century is absurd on the face of it and a ludicrous anachronism even for a Cakyār. The name of the work occurs in company with other Sāstras, so it cannot be taken to refer to the Manubhāya by Medhātithi. Besides nyāja means logic, and Gautama is another name for Medhātithi, so the reference is to Gautama's treatise on logic.

Thus, it is seen that these dramas show absolutely no

trace of South Indian influence Now, we have to consider whether these works are adaptations or compilations as has been asserted by antagonists of the Bhasa theory It has been proved that the plot and text of the original Stapna have not undergone any great transformation, and they have been attested at so early a date as to dispense 1 ith considerations of any Kerala influence55, and the Stapna has been the most popular piece on the Kerala stage There may have been minor changes here and there in these plays, but they do not alter their authorship A compilation is a literary work composed of materials culled from different works and authors like the Pur mas or the Hanumannātaka Every one of the Bhasa plays has the mark of originality. It is simply absurd to call plays life the Stabna or Avimaraka compilations There 18 always some original idea in these plays which fresupposes an original poet or author and not a compiler I ven the Urubhanga Pañcarātra and Balacarda, not to say of the Stapna Pralijāā or Avimāraka, are original works and cannot by any stretch of the term be designated ascompilations 56

Moreover had these been the work of some South Indian playwright the quotations in anthologies or works of redotricians would have mentioned his name Now if these are the stage editions we are not told what the Cakyars have done with the originals. It was suggested quite correctly that the publication of the stage editions of the other plays known to us in standard editions would show us thayliberties this school of actors took with the text and the extent to which the originals may have been manipulated in No light however has jet heen thrown on the problem.

All the above observations will make it ahundantly clear that our plays have not come from Calyar cuth orship nor are they adaptations or compilations so that no further proof is necessary. In order however to strengthen our case and to place our conclusions beyond reproach the following additional facts are given below which are incompatible with the South Indian origin or connections of these plays.

(1) These plays deal with countries of the north and do not refer at all to countries of the south like Cola Kerala Pandya Pallava etc or to titles like Wadura or Kanci (11) Kings like Darsaka Pradyota etc were comparatively unknown to Kerala dramatists (11) Rivers like Godavari Kisma Kaieri are not mentioned in the plays (11) These dramas depict patriarchal society as alothe vehing of women whichwere alien to Kerala (1) The sociological conditions portrayed in these plays show the first plays show the first plays the sociological conditions portrayed in these plays show which i

would be impossible for a south Indian writer to depict (v1) These plays exhibit characteristic merits which are quite different from Kerala Sanskrit in general (vii) Cakyars are not known to have produced dramas similar

to our plays, not even a single piece Thus our plays are the genuine works of Bhasa, and

have nothing to do with the South YAJNAPHALAM AND BHASA An anonymous drama named Yajñaphalam has recently been published by Rajavaidva Jivarama Kalidasa Sastri of Gondal and has been ascribed by the editor to Bhasa I am also inclined to the same view, and state below my reasons therefor, after first dealing briefly with the MSS. the title of the play and its plot The description of the two MSS of the play reveals

hat they come from the North, are in Devanagari tharacters, and presumably the substance is paper. The older MS is about 270 years old Now, these MSS differ n all these three particulars from the MSS of Bhasa utherto discovered, which hail from the South, are in Grantha characters, and the substance is palm leaf

The title Yainaphalam (fruit of sacrifice) is more than ppropriate for the play as it refers to three different Lagñaphalas, of Dasaratha (p 6) of Visvamitra (pp 138ff) and of Janaka (pp. 168, 198ff)

Plot The play is divided into seven acts and deals with the early life of Rama up to his marriage with Sita In the first act the Vidusaka supplies some humour, after which enters Dasaratha who has obtained sons by the efficacious performance of sacrifice, worrying over the education of the princes, despite his victories, performance of sacrifices and protection of subjects and the Brahmanas He holds conference with Sumantra Messages come from the three queens desirous of seeing the king, seeking priority, to which the king replies that he would accord the same treatment to all and receive them all at the same time. The king then rises to retire as it was evening (Act I)

The next act tells that the conference is to take place in the Grl-modyana, which has been cleared of all persons at the command of Dasaratha, who then enter- with his retinue, thinking of installing Rama to Yauvarajva He sends for Sumantra and tells him of his intention of crowning Rāma and of his you to Kaikeyi, and desires that Sumantra should try to make the queens, cervants, subjects, and especially Bharata, devoted to Rama At the sight of the queens coming to meet the king, Sumantra goes away Then the king opens the topic of coronation with the queens in the course of which, we are referred to different view points regarding inheritance-according to semonts of age or greatness of ment. The king tretfulls handles the subject by first speaking of equal division of the kingdom, and finally the queens give their consent to the coronation of Rama Kaikeyi displays nobility of character The queens then depart and the king calls Sumantra and tells him of the whole thing Sumantra speaks of Vasistha's message to arrange for the education of Rāma Then Vidūsaka once more supplies humour A spy informs that Ravana has made for Avodhya in disguise. Dasaratha theu leaves thinking about the steps to be taken (Act II)

In the interlude to the next act, we are told that two Gandharvas have been sent by Indra to save Rama from Rāvana in disguise Then enter Rāvana and Viśvāmitra, both invisible by maya, the latter with the intention of taking Rama for the protection of his sacrifice Both, however, see each other, and find that the other also has seen him Then enter Vasistha and the four princes Vasistha leaves as it was a holiday, and the princes try to test their knowledge of archery After Bharata, Laksmana and Satrughna have shown their skill, watched by Rāvana and Viśvāmitra, Rāma also fixes his bow at a target, but his arrow is intercepted twice by Ravana and Viśvāmitra Rāma then declares that he would use Agnyastra, at which the terrified Ravana goes away, followed by Visvamitra The princes, however, find that their palace maids were near the target. Seeing the marks of the chariot of Rayana, the maids run away in fright, and Rama again aims his bow, but is prevented by the entry of Vasistha, who tells them of the arrival of Vist amitra and Rayana, and advises them to pay respects to Visvāmitra when they see him the next day. After the departure of all enters Sumantra, who also has known about Visyamitra and Rayana, and he advises the palace servants to be on their guard (Act III)

Four palace singers hold some humorous talk about Vistamutra, who is visiting the king and the princes. All pay their respects to Vistamutra, and he enquires about the education of the princes and tests their knowledge During the course of the test, it transpires that the princes

which Rima overhears it seems that she has also fallen in love with some one. Her friends however know that she is in love with Rima and they desire the marriage Rima is overjoyed at overhearing that he was the object of Sita's love and later comes to know that she was to be given in marriage to him who would bend the bow of Sita swoons fearing that Rima's delicate bods would be incapable of performing the feat. Rima immediately makes in to console her and comes to know that she was Janaka's drughter. He assures the maidens that he would surely hend the bow. At the sight of Janaka approaching. Rima leaves the stage, and the maidens acquaint Sita with their talks with Rima. Janaka's speaks to Sita about her approaching marriage, and then leaves to meet Vist imitra (Act VI).

The list act opens after the completion of Janaka's sacrifice at the court of Janaka with Di aritha and his sone Vi Vimita Vasisha Satananda and others. Janaka tellis the ascembly that he has given Sita in marriage to Rama and his nieces to the other princes and asks for the sunction of the ascembly which they readily eight paradiana Vasisha Vasisha Vasisha Wasimta and Stannida explesioner joy and happiness at the marriages. Then enters anony Paradurama and all pai respects to lim Para urama give his bow to Rima for Lendons but sees the divinity in him feels gratified and offers lim lis how respectfully. Lara urama makes the enft of the world conquered by him to the people as embled and goes for penance. Gods come to fehicitate the princes and that brides. The privers for the protection of the earth Valour Rajasursha.

come at the end (Act VII)

The 1 aprathalam presents the same struteral features as the other Bhasa play and has the Medralankara for its beredictory stanza with the opening of the Pravocatisava type. The epilogue contains the main deas of the normal Bhasa epilonia. The other imilanties are I Vishramia fra isia in common with the Biasi play, a found at pp 31 33 (") & ( (and ) mach occurs at p zos finds in parallely in the 5 afra (P 10) Prattyra (pp 17 71) and Caradatta (pp 5 75) (3) There L identity of expression in lara (p of एकन कर के देव कर नेतृत्व () and Smart (p 10 दिन के क देवन नव्यु न्ते । ) Rapa L referred to as bhara to the frima raks (p 13) and the known spokes of a sakratharaulin the Praisma (VII II) sadikarana, in the Layra (II 27) may be compared with thee Smiles abo t data and kesars abou the Vedic lore as also the exp es on that age has nothing to do with valour in the Lagraphalam trd parallels in the Bhasa play (4) The descript one of the hermitare evenus paroral life etc compare favorrable with those in the Spanis Par ara ra A imarala and Bala arria and do not show are late influence at a come 2 ed by some Ramas love,orn condition in the Lagra (Act VI) is similar to that of A maraka (Act II) (5) Split and verses which has been observed as a feature of the Bhasa plays are found in the Yara II 9 III 27 25 24 IV 20 (b) Vijata - the name of Praisham in the Yara C common with the Safra Pr in Praise and 412 chs (7) Bha a employ smad to relate event etc. In the Yang are found the dictne warmers etc (1) Bana

statement Sütradhärakytärambhash etc can be shown to be applicable to the Yajñaphalam, for it is begun by the Sūtradhāra, it has a large number of characters, viz 45, and they come from various walks of life, there are many patākās, such as Dasaratha's conference with the queens, Rāvana's foiling the astras of Rāma, Viśvāmitra's sacrifice, etc., and there is pure, holy atmosphere in the Yajiia comparable to temples (a) Vidusaka and the palace singers supply an amount of humour, which would conform to Jayadeva's description of Bhasa as "laughter of poetry " (10) Features of antiquity in the Yaina are its references to old sciences (pp 41, 42, 116) and to the generic term surarna (p 7) for coins (II) Felicity of expression, pure, simple, chaste style, unimpeded flow of language, fine simple similes and other simple figures of speech,-are on a par with the Bhasa plays (12) One further test may also be employed in considering the authorship of Bhasa It is already stated that Kalidasa's works show many echoes from Bhasa, though strict proof of borrowing, it is impossible to furnish. Notable parallehsms with Kälidäsa are the following Yajña, I o with Raghu, V 13, Yajña, II 41, with Sukuntala II 18, Yajña, III 38 39 with Sak I 10-11, Yajña, V 14 with Sak, VII 4 58

Thus, the Yajña is the work of Bhāsa The consideration of matter and manner along with the proportion of verses and dialogues shows the work to belong to the final period of the poet between the composition of the Pratimā and Pratijhā

CHARACTERISTICS AND DEFECTS So far we

147

studied the plays of Bha a from different points and found that they di play a wide range of characters incident situation and emotions. Bha as characters are simple human and extremely life-like fifthe poet had a special lising to Vi a Halva Karuna Raudra Adbhita and Vat ala Rasa Buasa is a close observer of nature and he descriptions of natural phenomena are intelecting realth and vivid. He is allo an adept in graphic narra tion and vivid de-cription of epilodes events and character without harming them on the state famong figures of speech Bha a goes in for the imple one- The style is flowing and direct. We find in Bhasa an adequate and forcible expression of a rong emotion. Bhasa 1 2 part master at dept ting from. The poet fondage f r pithy proverhal savanes a evident from their large number in each play Bhana is allo fond of the simple and sentention, and avoids orramentation artific and word jugglers. Bha a dialogues are on p and hi hir gramatic. He d regards the rules of the \ Ift 1818 12 in various particular, and in the Cristan on we find a real traceds ( Every one of these plays is a dramatic masterpece wonderfully adapted for the stare. The plays satisfy the test laid down by Bharata that they can be witnessed unembarasted even in the presence of

one s parents father in law data-heer in law etc. 39 Finally we shall refe to the defects of Bhasa Bhasa is the product of the age he treed in and herce naturally he has firm faith in the Varna\_ramadharma and in the efficacy of carcinose and of dasarra? He believe in the existence of gods and upholds Brahmara superiority.

143

In consonance with the prevalent tendencies of his day, Bhasa sees nothing unnatural in polygamy which is repugnant to the modern critic. These views and beliefs of the poet cannot be termed defects of Bhasa ? The first drawback is that the poet entirely ignores the unity

of time Instances may be found in the Balacarita Aumaraka Svapna, Carudalla, etc P Another defect is found in the use of niskrainya pratisya, by which news is immediately brought of events which must have taken a long time to happen The use of Akasabhāsita, though economical from the theatrical point, appears as un natural and unimpressive. The entry of some characters unannounced is yet another defect. A similar defect is the speech of characters who are not on the stage Some of the similes and metaphors are often repeated in a mechanical way, and are more or less conventional Lack of topographical knowledge of the South is responsible for the descriptions of Janasthana, Kiskindha Lanka etc being without the touch of reality PAll these defects however are quite insignificant as compared to the merits in the works of the pioneer Sanskrit dramatist, and serve only to accentuate the ments of Bhasa as does the black spot enhance the beauty of the moon

### CHAPTER V

## BHĀSA'S INDIA

The sociological conditions in India present many unique features There are similarities in social conditions of different epochs widely distant in time, as also of provinces widely apart whereas we also come across divergent practices current in the same province during the same period Conservatism of the general populace is responsible for the maintenance of most of the social conditions practically unchanged throughout at least three thousand years. While considering the social conditions portrayed by Bhasa distinction is to be made between the conditions relating to the age of Bhasa and those relating to the age of the incidents depicted in the plays VARNĀŠRAMADHARMA

Varnāśramadharma is the distinguishing characteristic of Hindu society from ancient times In Bhasa, we find mention of the four principal castes It appears that the castes were based on burth in those days also. The Brahmanas were the caste par excellence, and the sacred thread was their badge and distinguishing mark. The superiority of the Brahmanas is apparent from the attitude Bhāsa bears towards them The word of a Brahmana always commanded respect, and it was never to be

contradicted, even untrue statements emanating from a Brāhmana were regarded as true The Brāhmanas also prided in that they never uttered falsehood in their life 1 So much reliance was placed in the pronouncements of a Brahmana that the curse issuing from a Brahmana was regarded as infallible and people tried to make it efficacious 2 The Brahmanas were superior to the Ksatriyas being their preceptors The Ksatriyas were enjoined to give everything to a Brahmana and leave only their bows to their sons It was thought a disgrace for a Ksatriya if the gurn were poor, the religious ment of a sacrifice was rendered futile and the wealth regarded as wasted in the absence of the satisfaction of the preceptor 3 Saving the life of a Brahmana at the cost of one's own body was very highly thought of 4

The exalted position of the Brahmanas brought in its train the prevalence of Brahmanical institution of sacrifice and other rites and ceremonies and praises of Daksina The festivals of Rainasasthi, Kālāstamī and Caturdayi are mentioned on which the payments of golden Daksina and serving a sumptuous dinner to the Brahmanas were the principal factors ) Sacrifices were in vogue and the minute descriptions show that they were performed in accordance with the rules laid down in the Sastras The Brahmanas were proficient in the Vedas and Vedangas, as also in different Sastras such as the Dharmasastra, Arthasastra, Yogaśāstra, Nyāyasāstra, Śrāddhakalþa, etc 5 These were included in the normal course of a learned Brahmana Despite this spread of learning in the traditional lore among the Brahmanas, it was not rare to find a thoroughly B IO

ignorant and illiterate Brahman. There were some who simply learnt the maniras by heart without knowing the meaning.

It appears that the Brahmanas were immune from capital punishment in spite of any offence committed by them. They were to be let off? This seems to have followed as a natural, corollary of the superiority of the Brahmanas who appear as a privileged class even in the Arthasastra of Kautily a political minual which professes to give equal treatment to all

KSATRIYAS (The Keatriyas occupied a prominent position in the hierarchy of castes being next in importance only to the Brahmanas whom they held in high esteem Protection of their subjects was the main duty of the Keatriyas Their glory depended on their skill at archery and valour in war and their greatness was counted not on wealth but on their charities sacrifices etc. The Ksatriyas have been advised to perform sacrifices and feed the Brahmanas and the poor at them as the renown and ment obtained thereby endure long after the physical body has perished It is only the virtues and good deeds that last after death 5 The Asatriy as were not to be addressed by mere names by the ordinary people but some honoratic title was prefixed to their names. The Ksatriyas did not con test or contradict the statements of the Brahmanas and preferred to remain silent rather than insult a Brahmana

VAISYAS (The Varsyas are incidentally mentioned in the Cărudatta whère references are made to their going to foreign countries for trade and to their taking circuitous outes as the roads were infested by robbers and there is We get a glimpse into the life of the herd, men who tended cattle in the Paicaratra and the Balacarita which tell us that the cows were as mothers and goddesses to these herdsmen and their first duty on getting up was to wor hip these cow mothers. They moke blessings and peace to their cows in their pravers to the god. Among traders references are found to florists painters wa hermen shampooers etc.

SUDRAS The Sudras are mentioned in the Pratima and the Parataria and there are passages which indicate that unfouchability was objected in those days at least in connection with religious functions. As the Sudras were not permitted to study the scriptures they worshipped the drittes without chanting any martras. Even courte-ans thought it improper and disgraceful even to fall in lovely with a Sudra youth.

There are no references in our plays to mixed castes which arose out of intermarriages

CADALAS The Candalas were outside the sphere of Caimramya They had their residences outside cities beyond the cremation grounds. Even the sight of a Candala polluted the case people and they were looked on as incapable of feelings of sympaths and mercy and destitute of good speech fine form valour and strength A Syapaka among the Candalas was regarded with so much disguist that a person addressing a Brahmana as Syapaka was cursed to become a Syapaka forthwith <sup>13</sup>

It may be sately presumed that the inter relations of the different castes were cordial hOCCUPATIONS The scripfural injunctions regarding the various occupations to be followed by the respective castes do not appear to have been strictly enforced. We find a Brahmana youth engaging himself in trade (Carudatta) and another albeit under the influence of cupid stooping to housebreaking at night (Sajjalaka)

ASRAMAS The system of the four orders also is an old institution Bhasa gives us some particulars about the four stages in life and the respective duties attached to them

BRAHMACARYA Brahmacarya or the life of a student was the first stage After Upanayana (investiture with the sacred thread ) the boy stayed with the guru for the study of the Vedas Disciples were entrusted to the care of tutors when quite young and hence the responsibility as to their proper training and behaviour rested with the tutor and not with their parents 14 Residence with the preceptor in a hermitage for studies carried with it the performance of household duties such as the bringing of fruit fuel grass etc from the forests Certain specified days were observed as holidays (Anadhyaya) A student generally stayed with the preceptor till the completion of his studies unless some extraordinary circumstance intervened 16 Every student paid some fees to his tutors after the course was completed It was the proud privilege of the pupil to make the gif of the desired object to lus guru and every one strove t obtain what was physically feasible. There were however some black sheep who were reluctant to undergo th rigours of celibate life and looked forward with greates Joy to the day of their Samavartana when they would return home 16

GRHASTHAŚRAMA One entered the hie of a householder after leading a student's life High ideals of married life have been placed before us by the poet to which reference is made in the next section Oblation to household deities and to Matrkas and placing lamps on the street points were the daily duties of a householder how soever poor he may be Offering of funeral oblation to the manes ( Sraddha ) was one of the sacred duties and every dutiful son tried to perform it to the best of his ability and means taking care to offer whatever was most I ked by the manes although whatever was given in true faith (Sraddha) constituted Sraddha 17 Both husband and wife respected each other The wife followed her lord through thick and thin To a faithful chaste and devoted wife the husband was her all in all and his wish or desire was her sacred code of conduct Women avoided the sight of strangers as it was thought improper Men also avoided the sight of other women and were responsible for the welfare and happiness of their wives. Guests were hon oured with the traditional Hindu hospitality. His feet were wasled and he was served both by the husband and wife Feeding a guest was regarded as equivalent to the performance of a sacrifice After suitable treatment the guest was escorted up to the door 18

VÄNAPRÄSTHÅ After performing his duties and fulfilling his obligations as a householder a person entered the life of a Vanaprastha (hermit) There were two classes of hermits viz Tapasa and Parivrajaka the former

lived in hermitages while the latter were wandering monks hving itinerant life. We are supplied with a fine vivid and realistic picture of the hermitage of those days 19 The hermitages were outside cities away from the din and bustle of the town and were open to everybody irrespective of caste colour and creed Peace sanctity and abundance reigned there The deer roamed about freely the trees were laden with flowers and fruit and the cows supplied pure milk to the immates The hermitages were cosmopolitan in nature and the inmates were all satisfied having no worldly desires. They passed their time in meditation living on fruit and milk and had three baths daily Lines of smoke used to rise from the hermitage during midday and evening. The dowager queen of Magadha living in the hermitage near Rajagrha belonged to the Tapasa class of Vanaprasthas and Yaugandharayana in disguise was a Pariyrajaka

SANN ASA Sammasa is the final stage in the life of a Brahmana and it is alluded to even in Panint signifying thereby that the Hindus did not copy the institution from the Buddhists. The Sammasins put on red garnents. There were quite a number of people in those days who put on red garbs to cloak beggary and earn livelihood in an apparently honourable fashion. \*\*

## FAMILY LIFE

JOINT FAVILLA SYSTEM Upto the end of the last century when as a result of western influence there has begun the disuntegration of point family the joint family system was not such a rarity that it is at present In fact the Hindu Law as admiristered by the British

courts has taken the joint family system as the normal type of Hindu Family Life. In Bhasa we get references to joint family where the lady of the house had to serve her old father in law and mother in law. There is also a reference to a person hving with the relatives of his wife. Not only was the presence of the elders adored by according respectable treatment to them but the mere mention of a revered or divine person was honoured by the listener by getting up from his seat.

FORMS OF WARRIAGE Marriage is the most important factor in the family life According to the Hindu Ections marriage is a sacrament not a contract. The Dharmasiliras and Smrlis mention eight different forms of marriage viz

नामो हेपस्तवेपार्य प्राचापत्यस्तवाहुर । गाप्पपा राजसंधित पेशाचश्चादमाऽपम् ॥ मनस्यति III २१

In Bhasa we get instances of the Brahma Kṣatra Gandharva Raksasa and Asura marriages. The marriage lett een Padmavati and Vatsaraja in the Stapna was in the mest approved form of muriage (Brahma) as king Darsaka Jamelel invited Vatsaraja and offered him the land of Ire sister. King Kasiraja in the Aumeraka on bel alf of Ins. son Jayavarman had sent an envoy to king kuntid hoja for the hand of the latter shaughter and the marriage of prince. Jayavarman with Sumitra belongs to the Kastra form. The love marriage of Aumaraha and Kurangi related in the Aumaraka exemphifies the Gandharva form. The match between Udayana and

153

marriages were arranged avoiding undue haste and procrastination. One golden rule about the selection of a bridegroom is stated to be. Marry your daughter where there would be no cause for repentance. The parents of the bride consulted each other regarding the selection of a suitable son in-law, and not only did the mother exercise her right in the matter, but her view carried great weight. It appears that brides themselves also had some voice in the selection of the bridegroom.

BHASA'S INDIA

It appears that brides themselves also had some voice in the selection of the bridegroom 26 MARRIAGE CEREMONY Envoys and priests used to be despatched from the bridgeroom's father to the father of the bride to seek the bride's hand in marriage Thereafter, the decision and selection rested with the parents of the bride The marriage ceremony was celebrated at the house of the bride's father. The female relatives of the bride went to receive the bridegroom, who came in a specially fashioned car Young women, who were not widows escorted the bridegroom to the sacred fire for the marriage ceremony Kautukamangala was a pre-nuptial rite of tying a piece of thread on the wrist, which was performed on an auspicious day. A garland called Kautukamālā was worn by the bride on this day. and herbs credited with bringing in permanent prosperity and warding off evils were generously entwined in the garland In some cases, another herb reputed to rum the co wives was also employed The palms of the bride were dyed red and she put the colour in her parted hair The religious ceremonies were performed not only in the case of the Brahma and Ksatra marriages, but in the

strictly prohibited

Vāsavadattā in the Pratijītā, was cemented by love, and hence their marriage as observed by king Pradyota Mahāsena, the father of Vasavadatta, was under the Gāndharva form <sup>31</sup> This marriage, however, may also be taken to come under the Raksasa form, as Vasavadatta was captured from her relatives by Udayana The marriage of Dašaratha and Kaikeyi referred to in the Pratimāt in which there was a contract for down, falls under the Āsura form The relations between Sajalaka and Madumlā and Cārudatta and Vasantasena suggest Anuloma marriages The Anuloma marriages though not approved by the Smrtit, were allowed but the Pratiloma marriages were

Marriages were QUALITIES IN A BRIDEGROOM contracted after considering and examining the problem from various aspects The main factor in the view of the bride's father was the family of the bridegroom, evidently for the sake of following the Smrts rules about endogams and Sapindya The brides father desired a celebrated family for the bridegroom The next considerations were the qualities of the head and heart of the bridegroom Preference was given to one with a sympathetic and tender heart Then came the beauty of physical form, not on account of any inherent ment in it, but in order to save the bride's father from the criticisms of the womenfolk on his side on account of the features of the bridegroom Strength and valour counted much in a bridegroom as he was required to be sufficiently powerful to protect his bride In addition to the consideration of merits in a bridegroom the surrounding circumstances, political expediency and other eventualities also were taken into account, and marriages were arranged avoiding undue haste and procrastination. One golden rule about the selection of a bridegroom is stated to be "Marry your daughter where there would be no cause for repentance." The parents of the bride consulted each other regarding the selection of a suitable son in-law, and not only did the mother exercise her right in the matter, but ber view carried great weight. It appears that brides themselves also had some voice in the selection of the bridegroom. The Noys and priests used.

MARRIAGE CERENONY Envoys and priests used.

to be despatched from the bridgroom's father to the father of the bride to seek the bride's hand in marriage Thereafter, the decision and selection rested with the parents of the bride. The marriage ceremony was celebrated at the house of the bride's father. The female relatives of the bride went to receive the bridegroom. who came in a specially fashioned car Young women, who were not widows, escorted the bridgeroom to the sacred fire for the marriage ceremon. hautukamangala was a pre-nuptial rite of tying a piece of thread on the wrist, which was performed on an auspicious day. A garland called Kautukamālā was worn by the bride on this day, and herbs credited with bringing in permanent prosperity and warding off evils were generously entwined in the garland. In some cases, another herb reputed to ruin the co wives was also employed. The palms of the bride were dyed red, and she put the colour in her parted hair The religious ceremonies were performed not only in the case of the Brahma and Ksatra marriages, but in the

Gandhars a form also which was celebrated in the presence of the sacred fire In the absence of both Udayana and Vasavadatta king Mahasena celebrated the marriage of their portraits \*7

SAPINDA EXOGAMY The marriage of Avimaraka with Kuran" is specially important on account of its disregarding the rule of Sapinda exogams The Dlarma sitras and Smrtis prolubited marriages with the Sapindas who include paternal relations to the seventh and maternal to the fifth degrees. In the A imiraka as would be clear from the relationship already told while giving the plot of the play we find that Asimaraka marries Kurangi who is both his maternal uncles daughter and al o his paternal aunt's daughter both these relationships

fall within the prohibited degrees of marriage Now marriages with maternal uncles daughter are not uncommon in certain communities and countrie being recognized by Baudi ayana and approved by local custom marriages with paternal uncles daughter however are uncommon and run directly counter to the Smith injunctions succesting a fairly old time for our author before the probabitions in the Smrtis were strictly enforced As an argument SAMBANDHA MARRIAGES strengthening the case of the South Indian origin and the spuricusnes of these plays at is contended on the bass of the stray use of the word Sambardha in some of

these place that the Samiandia marriages current in the South are referred to m these plays " It may however be pointed out at the outset that at all those place the word has been used in its simple sense of "relationship

The Sambandha is a substitute for a Hindu marriage among the Nayars and Ksatriyas by which following the Anuloma principle, a man enters into a more or less permanent sexual relationship with a woman, with right of divorce The central features of the Sambandha ceremony are the presentation of bridal clothes by the bridegroom and a social dinner. No Sanskrit mantras are recited. The wife does not share the religious life of her husband and the hushand does not interding with his wife. The issues of the marriage take the mother's caste. The formal ritual for divorce consists of the cutting into two of a piece of thread or cloth The Sambandha marriage is the popular form of marriage except in the case of Brahmana women. and is an ancient trait of Malabar culture 29. In view of these special features of the Sambandha marriage, we find that neither the marriage of Vasavadatta nor that of Kurangi which are alleged to be Sambandha marriages, can be styled as such Both husband and wife belong to the same Keatriya caste, and the ceremony is performed with the chanting of the mantras in the presence of the sacred fire These being Savarna marriages, no question arises as to the status of the wife or children. The idea of divorce is absolutely absent in these ancient marriages It may, therefore, be concluded that there is no reference to the Sambandha marriage in these plays CHILD MARRIAGES AND POLYGAMY Looking

CHILD WARRIAGES AND POLYGAWY Looking to the description of the princesses we are inclined to think that they were grown up, and that, therefore there were no child marriages in those days. The Smrti rule enforcing child marriages perturns to a late date

BHĀSA

156

Polygamy was a fashion among the royalty and the rich Monogamy seems to be generally prevalent among the commoners

POSITION OF WOMEN The next topic is the consideration of the position of women at that period and we shall deal with it under these heads maidens married women widows Gostbljanas Purdah system and Sati

- (a) Virdens The birth of a female child was an horour and an occasion for great joy in those days Maidens enjoyed perfect freedom at their parents house. The princesses passed their time in the company of their friends playing the game of ball and enjoying umitar jolly postumes learnt music and dance grew different kinds of shruba in their gaidens and had pairots peacocks etc as playmate. Maidens moved freely in the public without well. The sight of a maiden was free from any taint. It was thought inauspicious for a maiden not to decorite bestelf?
  - (b) Married Women High ideals of the duties of a liusband and a wife are placed before us in the character of the Stapha Pratimi. Camidata etc. The husband was the lord and protector of the wife who was half his body to the husband and the mistress of his household. It was the prime duts of a wide to follow her husband through all circumstances despite any defects in him. Attendance on elders especially the prients of the husband constituted one of the duties of the wife. Happiness and welfare of the husband were the sole aims of the wife and dishless she even consented to his marriage, with notiber woman.

157

No sacrifice was considered too great for the sake of the husband and the wife of poor Carudatta parts with her pearl necklace in order to guard his honour, Sitä, though pure of body and heart, agrees to undergo the fire ordeal in order to satisfy her husband. Wives undertook a number of fasts and penances and gaze feasts and daksinas to the Brāhmanas to secure the welfare of their husbands 31.

Women lived in the inner apartments of the house, and no stranger got entry inside. Even women of questionable character were not allowed to go inside 22

About the toilet of women in those days we learn that married women braided their hair in three platts when in company with their husbands and in one when the husband was away. They dyed their hands, put on powder decoration in the parting of hair, painted their forchead and cheeks and put coly rium in the eyes. Among ornaments, Kundalas Keyūras, Nūpuras and various kinds of garlands etc are mentioned. Poor women used sprouts of the Tāli tree to grace their ears. Mirror is referred to in the Pratimā and Abhiseka.

(c) Widows It appears that widows were excluded on auspicious occasions. They dressed themselves differently from married women with husbands living and did not use ornaments and toilet 34 There 12 no reference to the remarriage of widows.

(d) Gosthijanas There was a class of women known as Gosthijanns who were gay, eultured, talented and possessed great conversational powers. They were quite distinct from the prostitutes. It seems that the Gosthijanas were employed in royal palaces or in the household of the

when they passed in their carriages 25

- rich in order to amuse ladies of the family <sup>35</sup>
  (e), Purdah System Some references in these plays suggest that women in the e days used to veil their face including the head. But there was no seclusion of women or their confinement to the miner apartments which characterized the later Purdah introduced by the Muslims in India. The coveringfol the head was not used by the maidens who moved about quite freely. Widows possibly did not cover their hair. Even courtesans were veiled.
  - (f) Sati. There is only an incidental reference to the ascending of the funeral piec of her husband by a wife which is not sufficient to justify our inference as to the prevalence of the system of Sati in those days. It is no doubt a Kvatriya institution, and came into prominence in the mediaceral times under Raiput princes. If

## & URBAN AND RURAL LIFE

The plays mention some of it e well known big ethes of ancient India and give a somewhat fair description of Mathura Vairantva and Ujayimi which may be taken as typifying the cities of the period. The description of Lanka no doubt testifying to its splendour and affluence reads like a fairy tale with its mansions turrets of gold parks adorned with coral trees pramadaiana with sold and gems etc. The poet however strikes a realistic note when 1e refers to the other aspects of city life. Each house had an unior apartment and a half. The city had public baths and drinking houses parks and gardens artificial lakes and mountains.

After crossing the lamuna one came across the forti

fied walls and gates of Mathura and after entry were to be found the city guards mounted on elephants. Thereafter came the quarters of washermen along the main road which used to be decorated with flags banners and floral garlands and scented by agura and sandal smoke on festive occasions. The shops of garland makers florists perfumers etc were ranged in succession and after a short distance were the armoury and the court. To the centre of the city was a stadium where wrestling, competitions and prize fights were staged. The royal balcony was built high up on one side of the arena from where the royalt witnessed the show?"

Were realistic and typical of the average city of the

period are the descriptions of Vairantya the capital of Kuntiblioja Avanti and Ujjavini 40 The city had palatial buildings in the market place with snow white colour on both sides of the road The verandahs on the ground floor were used as shops for selling country sugar honey and other commodities. The upper storeys were the residential quarters where the fashionable city bred beaux and courtesans yied with each other in showing them elves in their best attire and they were to be seen walking to and fro in the balconies of their respective quarters with a view to see and to be seen, The courtesans followed their trade in the business quarters of the city possibly in the centre of the town but they had to reside outside. The public gaming house was situated at a prominent place in the city with its own gaming laws and regulations paying revenue out of the proceeds to the state. There were al o public squares in the cities called nagaraca aras where

160

bulls dedicated to deities roamed about freely after being sumptiously fed, and none dared to touch them The city had also a public park where citizens could go with their wives and make merry, but it was strictly guarded and entry restricted when royal princesses visited the place with their retinue. Avanth had a public drinking house and taxern keepers selling liquor. There were public rest houses where travellers could put up for some time Public biths in Ujiayim possibly on the ghats of the Siprå, are incidentally referred to "Important cities were fortified on all sides. Underground drains which have been a speciality of India since ancient times are to be met with also in the period under consideration, the reference being to streets flooded due to the choking of the drain.

Just outside the city, were situated big parks where citizens of both sexes went on festive occasions. Well watered green trees and blossoming gardens suggested the vicinity of a city, as these gardens, which were well circle for, presented quite a different aspect from the dried up and leafless trees that one came across along one's way as The dwellings of the Candalas were beyond the limits of the city where they resided with their families and cattle Residences of cowherds and courtesans also were outside the cities.

CITY AT NIGHT- A beautiful description of the city at night is furnished by the Asimāraka and Căriudala ». There-were heatings of drum and proclamation at the beginning and close of might to warn citizens against moving outside during the period. The prohibition

lowever does not seem to have been strictly followed light guards and watchmen used to patrol the streets Despite these precautions there were not uncommon with their swords ropes and measuring cords. There were also bravados and favourites of the king who with the help of their servants pursued unwilling courtesans and followed their nefarous practices escaping the attention of the might cuards.

The city was completely plunged in darkness during might save what little light came from the windows of the rows of buildings on the sides of the roads. There were no lamp posts and no arrangements were made for lighting the streets. Those wandering during night used to take lungs with them. There were held singing and music parties during night which continued till a very late hour and men of the status of Carudatta felt no hesitation in attending them. Some persons perhaps the cultured among, the rich practised song and music in their own residences and lates in respectable families were educated in these arts. \*\*

JIFE OF A NAGARAKA. An ideal thoroughbred sentleman of the town was kind to servants and generous to a fault spending his wealth for the sake of others leaving nothing with him like a dried up stream in summer that has quenched the thirst of many a traveller. He was ready to appreciate and reward good works and deeds of valour. His merits and good deeds created such a fine and forceful impression among even the dare devils that they were afraid of his virtues it was considered by them sacrilegious to offend or insult such a person. He was

162

a lover of music and at nightfall used to visit musical concerts where vocal and instrumental music was performed occasionally attended with dances Always ready to help others he never boasted of his charity, nor kept any memory of insults or offences done to him. He was so modest as to regard even his own body as belonging to others Gentlemen in tho e days kept shampooers to massage their bodies and it is interesting to note that Vatsvavana recommends massage every other day. It appears that they all o used to have aromatic smoke after bath From the two examples supplied to us we may say that a \agaraka of those days was not very scrupulous with regard to sexual morals but it cannot be said that moral standards were lax in those days. Both the gentlemen loved courte ans with a desire to matrimony and not merely as a momentary diversion

RESIDENTIAL QUARTERS As regards residential quarters of the general public our plays do not throw much light on the construction or the interior of the house It seems that the residences of persons of the upper middle class of the status of Carudatta were built of bricks and were surrounded by gardens. There were quadrangular courtyards in the houses and different apartments each containing a number of rooms were built of which on was reserved for ludies. In the quadrangular courtyard men used to meditate or meet the visitors and the passag to the inner apartments Its through the courtyards Th inner apartments were quite maccessible to strangers an persons of questionable characters such as courtesans net not admitted inside The sanctity of the inner apartment

was supposed to suffer even if ornaments worn by courtesans were kept in them. It appears that there were separate servants quarters and the mistress of the household at times had to make a big sound of the door panels to attract the attention of her maid.

The palatial establishment of a courtesan indicating the flourishing condition of the different arts and crafts so-elaborately dealt with be the author of the Mycchabatika has been very modestly described by our author. In contrast to the portal made of mory, the doors of gold gaming table with jewelled chess board paintings music halls culinery jewellery perfumery loctanical and zoological gardens as well as an axiary with a number of caged and tamed birds showing the splendour of a typical reasons we meet only the Punditis gold-miths cooks and musicians in the account given in our play. At another place the painters board and other instruments are efferted to as being kept in an apartment of the Jone

There is no mention in any of the plays of any furnitude as chairs coaches mosquito-curt Jalakas mention these articles and Vatsya.

carpets custuons etc

RURAL LITE The cowherds in the P balanaia convex to us some idea of the p tose days P. Acribing divinity to cows and it cm on special occasions has been in vogue long and was current at the time of these were goddesses to it elerdsmen and it of their green, related to the well bern, of the the relativesy as as red later on. The cow!

was supposed to suffer even if ornaments worn by courtesans were kept in them. It appears that there were separate servants' quarters and the mistress of the household at times had to make a big sound of the door panels to attract the attention of her maid.

The palattal establishment of a courtesan indicating the flourishing condition of the different arts and crafts, so elaborately dealt with by the author of the Mrcchakatika, has been very modestly described by our author. In contrast to the portal made of ivory, the doors ol gold, gaming table with jewelled cliess board, paintings musically cultiery, jeweller, perfumery, botanical and zoological gardens as well as an avairy with a number of caged and tamed birds showing the splendour of a typical mansion, we meet only the Pundits goldsmiths cooks and musicians in the account given in our play. At another place the painter's hoard and other instruments are referred to as being kept in an apartment of the house

There is no mention in any of the plays of any furniture such as chairs, coaches, mosquito curtains, etc. The Jalakas mention these articles, and Vatsyayana mentions carpets cushions etc.

RURAL LITE The cowherds in the Pañcaratra and Balacarta convey to in some iden of the pastoral life of those days 40. Ascribing divinity to cows and worshipping them on special occasions has been in vogue in India since long, and was current at the time of these plays. Cowswere goddesses to the herdsmen and the first question of their greeting related to the well being of the cows that of the relatives was asked later on. The cowherds were taken

to be pure by nature on account of ther life in the Ghova. It is interesting to note that of the various methods of purification to remove pollution, only two methods, viz application of dust and plunging into water, are referred to in the Balacarita and the application of dust is mentioned as the usual purificatory form for the couberds <sup>30</sup> The cowherds made ready for merry-making

and dance on the occasion of special festivities such as the king's birthday or the Indrayajña or Dhaniarmaha festivalis Hillisaka was their special dance on such occasions, wherein youths of both seves participated. Old herdsmen acted as speciators for these mixed dances in which the youngsters appeared in their best dresses. These cowherds were susceptible to common superstitions, and the shrill cawing of a crow facing the sun on a dry branch of a dry tree was an inauspicious omen to them. They resided in the suburbs and had plenty of milk and its products, fruit, root etc. Their humble dwellings appeared as quite samidaba to them, and their prayers were for peace, blessings and freedom from harm to the dwine cows and to their own families.

blessings and freedom from harm to the divine cows and to their own families

GENERAL ASPECTS OF SOCIAL LIFL (a)

GODD, DRISSAND ORN WIENTS Thought egertible
and animal food was taken by the Indivin in the Vedic
Age, restrictions regarding meat-eating and lispour were
placed during the epic period. In our plays, we find only
a single reference to an article from the non-vegetiriin
menu, uz a piece of mutton saturated with salt and gheet,
the reference is to a non Brahmann and it seems safe to
infer that meat-eating was not current among the

BHĀSA'S INDIA Brahmanas Sugar-balls (modakamallaka) ghee (ghidam),

molasses (gulam), clarified butter (dahim), rice (tandula), and rice-cake fried in ghee (neubbhāmana) are the different food stuffs mentioned Condiments of various kinds were used to flavour dishes There is also a reference to the sale of liquor in a public tavern, and Lanka and Vairantva are described as having public drinking houses

165

As regards dress, Indians used to wear two pieces of cloth for a long time since the Vedic Age, the one as a lower garment and the other, an upper one (uttariya) The upper garments of the fashionable city-bred gentlemen in the period of our poet were generally scented. It appears that the Brahmanas and the Ksatriyas dressed themselves differently in Ayodhya while there was no such distinction in the Kekaya country \$2 Occasionally a turban was worn round the head by important personages and kings Ornaments of gold and jewellery were worn on their wrists necks, etc both by males and females Clothes made of grass were usually worn by anchorites Valkalas were bark garments made from the barks of trees,

(b) CONVEYANCES Elephants chariots carts and carriages of different types, horses and bulls have been mentioned as means of conveyance Elephants were used by the rich and the royalty Chariots were drawn by horses and donkeys 53 though the latter were also employed as beasts of burden Though donkeys have generally been looked down with contempt and restricted to the humblest services they were used for drawing chariots in the Buddhist and the Mauryan Age There were different

Dreams and omens were interpreted by royal astrologers and their directions were followed. The performance of Santi and feeding the Brāhmanas were regarded an antidotes for the evils, and they were thought to ward away ill omens and hring prosperity

(d) AMUSEMENTS There are references to a number of sports and festivities in our plays. Indramaha and Dhanurmaha appear to be the festivals of the cowherds56 The city was decorated for the Dhanurmaha, and a vast stadium was specially prepared for wrestling bouts and other feats, such as the bending of a bow, connected with the festival. The king sent invitations to celebrated wrestlers and distinguished citizens to attend the festival The king himself attended the main fights and observed them from the balcony of his palace Indramaha was a ceremony connected with Indra, and the cowherds used to offer oblations of food to Indra on the occasion Another special sport in which the herdsmen participated was the Hallisaka It was a circular dance performed by women under the direction of one man, or in which the circle consisted of males and females alternately arranged

The Kāmadet amahotsata or Kamadetānijāna was a festival connected with cupid in which young persons mixed freely with maidens and these were the occasions of many a love marriage <sup>87</sup> Garden parties were lield in parks, which, as already stated were both inside and outside the cities, and youths of both seves participated in them. There was also a testival in honour of the sage Agristya which was celebrated on Mount Valaya in which the Vidyādharas took part. Wrestling was a favourite

copper, silver or gold weighing one māsa

(f) HIGH TONE OF MORALITY The gen impression created by the plays is that on the whol high tone of morality prevailed in those days, and people lived almost a simple, straightforward life Ti will also appear from the following instances

Every body valued his or her words, so that it we thought improper to go back on one's word after announcing a particular thing. It was also regarded as improper to hear the secrets of others. There was so much resoli against falsehood that hies even in jest were not tolerated to Deposit of articles was scrupulously preserved even in the absence of witnesses. High ideal of friendship is seen from the relations of Karna and Duryodhana and Ayimaraka and Santusta. That sanctive of marriage relationship was strictly enforced would appear from the remarks of Ayimaraka and Arjuma which would also show the high regard for moral standards.

(g) DISPOSALOFTHE DEAD. From the reference

to the placing of the dead body on the funeral pyre it appears that cremation was current in those days. It is would be a bold assertion to assume that the dead bodies of children were abandoned outside the cities. The the custom does not, however, appear to be merely local or pertaining only to herdsmen as it is said to be the way of the world. The reference to the throwing out of the corpses of Kamsa Cānura and Mustika need not necessarily be taken as referring to the general custom because the three persons were treated as the enemies of Vi-nu, and further we do not know whether the corpses were merely to be

171

of social life. In Bhasa we find references to gambling, theft, prostitution, and also to the prevalence of slavery

GAMBLING As already stated, the Vedic Indian was an inveterate gambler. It appears that there was an unwritten law among the gamblers that insults and defeats at the game of dice are to be meekly put up with, the brave looked down upon those unable to bear these things in a sportsman like spirit. Public gaming halls in big cities and palace buildings are alluded to in different plays People took to gambling for their maintenance, and it was not thought dishonourable for a perfect city bred gentleman like Cărudatta to lose in dice Every gambler thought himself in duty bound to pay his debts incurred at the gaming table. The Mrcchakatika dilates upon the authority of Sabhika, the master of the gaming house. He had authority over the body of the debtor, he could inflict any physical pun ishment, could imprison him, or could even sell him "> PROSTITUTION In the period represented by our

PROSTITUTION In the period represented by our plays, courtesans were cultured and proficient in song, music, dance and painting, some of them possessed sterling character, and cared more for love than for money Courtesans were generally more educated and better skilled in fine arts than mirried women, and hence gentlemen like Carudatta and Sajalaka were attracted to them on account of their exceptional qualities. Courtesans possessing all virtues were not rare. Vita, a person associated with prostitutes, was a cultured man reduced to poverty owing to full enjoyment of his wealth. The fact that he employs Sanskrit shows him to be a well read

man Vatsyayana regards marriages with prostitutes valid for only one year THEFT Thievery was practised as an art the thief proceeding to his job after invoking blessings of the patron deities with all his paraphernalia of house breaking outfit consisting of measuring thread bee etc 66 Kharapata one of the ancient masters of the science of thieving whom Sajjalaka the burglar pays his homage is alluded to by hautilya The study of the Arthasastra provided thieves with instructions in the matter of causing drowsiness to the inmates of any particular household or of opening doors or becoming invisible etc. Thieves appear to have received regular instruction in the science in the period of our plays and they began their work with their in struments after bowing to their deities. The thief in the Carudatta however was conscious of the lowness and shame of his business and though he tries to justify it on the precedent of Asyatthaman he admits that he is driven to the despicable work owing to the influence of cupid Even thieves had their code of honour and they desired lo come across greedy rich and ruthless merchants but were careful to avoid doing any harm to a woman or to an honourable virtuous and pious person. A thief was conscious of the hemousness of his crime and tried his utmost not to kill or even wound anybody. He was steady in his love and risked even his life and honour for

the sake of securing freedom to his beloved "SLAVERY There was a class of persons in ancient

India known as Dasas who were not completely free but who were not slaves as such Dasas were regarded as members of the family, received kind treatment, and had the protection of law courts against ill treatment. No barriers were placed against the manumission of Dāsas or their regaining freedom. From our plays, we find that there were male as well as female slaves. They could be purchased from their masters on payment of money, or the masters could set them free on receiving the ransom. Once free from slavery, they were included in the Aryan fold. Those, however, that deceived their master were again condemned to servitude. Female slaves after securing freedom and after getting themselves transferred to Aryahood, could use covered carriages like Aryan ladies, and Brāhmana youths could marry them.

## COURT LIFE Y

The Arthasastra seems to have wielded a considerable influence on the political life of the period As Bhāsa and Kautilya were contemporaries, it is possible that Bhāsa's source may be the Arthasāstra of Brhaspati to which he refers in the Pratimā, and which has been laid under contribution by Kautilya.

We get descriptions of the palaces of kings in the Aimaraka, Bālacarita Pratipla, Siapna, etc. \* The palace was fortified on all sides by strong and high walls, which had roads on them from inside, and Kapisirakas were placed on them at different points. There were many hidden starcases and mechanical devices calculated to pull down the structure in no time. Within the fortified walls, were the elephant stables horse stables guest houses, artificial mountains, music halls, cool summer houses, etc. There was a consultation chamber (mantrasālā) where the

king met his ministers and advisers to discuss important 'uffairs of state. The court room (upasthanagrha) where the queen also sat with king was near the harem (antahpura) and was taken as always easy of approach by the ministers. There was also an armoury (ayuhhagara) where in addition to various defensive and offensive weapons armours and other material arrangements were made for keeping royal prisoners. Then there was sanligsha attached to the palace for the performance of propitiatory rites. The theatre was housed in a separate room in the palace where suitable dramatic pieces were staged on special occasions by ictors in the service of the king.

The princess royal queen and their refinite resided in a specially guarded part of the palace known as kanyapura prisada. Persons of proved ability and tested character were appointed to posts in the harem to supervise the inmates and guard the harem against the entry of unauthorized persons. In dramatic literature we find that the officer of the harem is a male known as Kantukh of Kantukhya whereas kautily a and Vatsyajama speak of female Kantukhyas. Princesses had their own establishment of servants. They kept mony tame and caged birds went to their exclusive pleasure gardens and artificial lakes and passed their time occasionally on the terrace. There was much of woodwark in the construction of the harem which had doors with mechanical desires for opening them

KINGSHIP Kingship in those days was not conferred by election as was sometimes the even in Vedic times but was hereditary Views of the people as also of the ministers were taken into account regarding the selection of the successor to the king \*0\*

DUTIES OF THE KING The king had a daily

round of heavy duties The hour of the day was period ically announced to the king at ten nadikäs from surrise and sunset the king had baths. The king was generally conscious of his heavy responsibilities. The duties of a king as well as the secrets of successful kingslip are beautifully summed up by Bhäsy<sup>13</sup>. All the actions of the king were to be based on Dharmin he was defender of the faith he was lumself to weigh the ability of his ministers. He was to conceal his favour and frown was to act softly or harshly as dictated by circumstances he was to learn from his spies about the doings of his subjects and foreigners as also of the circle of kings. He was to protect himself by efforts yet again he was not to spare lumself in war.

Protection of their subjects was the chief duty of the kings. Preservation of peace and security in the realm were their prime concerns. State affurs it erefore were not to be neglected even for a moment. The kingdom was held by the king in trust as it were for his leople. He was merely the bearer of the burden of the doings of his subjects. The king, had to give preference to state matters, and the ministers felt no hesitation in seeing the king, even when he was in company with the queen. A king, beloved of his subjects was confident of being trusted by his neighbours.

Performance of sacrifices and the goodwill of the Bril mans were things to rejoice in 73 Sacrifice was

required to be tempered by time and space. The king's

portion was usually a sixth of the produce

CONSULTATION CHAMBER Manieralial was the
consultation chamber in a place and on extraordinary
occasions, special chambers were erected for the purpose. 
Different persons occupied various seats according to their
ranks. Whenever occasion arose, the opinion of the whole
assembly was taken but at times, kings like Duryodhana
overrode the decision of the assembly and had their own
way. The very fact that despots like Duryodhana had to
call such assemblies to confer on important matters of state
amply signifies the high regard for the Arthasistica
Duryodhana concurred with the verdict of the assembly
in the choice of the Commander-in Chief, but in his

of the Pändavas, he followed his personal whim KING ON BATTLEFIELD Kings as already stated, were advised not to spare themselves on the war front War was as a pleasure to them Enemy was taken to be a guest who wanted war, and in the true Indian fashion, the guest was royally treated Wounds received in war

treatment of Vasudeva Krsna who came as the messenger

were looked on as ornaments War was not to be avoided through fear, as in any event heroes were to gain. If they succeeded, they enjoyed the earth and the spoils of war, if dead they enjoyed heaven. Flight from the battlefield was always condemned.

DRESS AND RETINUE About the dress of kings, there is not much to rely on Like the commoners the king also wore two garments, but they were coloured and made of silk. He was armoured and had chownes and the royal umbrella over his head. Probably he wore a crown on his head. He put on orniments in his hands. There does not seem to be a large retinue with the kings of Bhása. The usual female doorkeeper, the chamberlain, in attendant and the jester companion formed the entire retinue of the king. Twice we come across female torch-betters? There is absolutely no mention of the female bodyguards or Greek women that characterized the retinue of a king in the Arthasāstra as well as in Kālidāsa

POI ITICAL MARRIAGES Influence of the Arthaistra even on the personal lives of kings would be evident from the number of polinical microages contracted during the period. The marriage of Padmāvatī with Vatsarāja forms part of the Siapma. All the principal ruling families of the period were related to each other by matrimonial alliances.

MISCLITANTOUS Respectable citizens generally went to sympathize with the king, condole with him or to congratulate him on the happening of important and momentous events<sup>24</sup> Palaces were always hotbeds of plots and counterplots, and anything was thought possible to B 12

178

Bulls

happen within their four walls

Instances were not rare
of kings disappearing from their palaces due to voluntary

evile or forcible ejectment, and no one gained admission to the palace. King Kuntibhoja when told of the closing of the palace gates against entrants in the Sauvra country states that the possible causes for such a state of affairs were that either the king was lustful, diseased, imprisoned by his munisters, testing in disguise the fidelity of those near him or was making propriivitory rites on being cursed. These correspond more or less, with those given in Kautilya.

CORONATION CEREMONY. The coronation certains and the properties of the coronation certains and the properties of the coronation certains and the palace gates and the properties of the properties of the palace gates.

emony originally demanded the co operation of all classes in the state by their representatives, and did not confer kingship in perpetuity Elaborate were the preparations that were made at the coronation of a new king in which citizens also took part 75 The state umbrella, the emblem of sovereignty, and the chowry were kept ready as also the military drum and the throne Golden pars filled with consecrated waters, flowers and darbhas were also placed there to be poured on the head of the crown prince. All ministers, spiritual and temporal, graced the occasion by their presence, and a throng of citizens assembled to witness the ceremony. The ceremony was performed by the spiritual head of the royal family who occupied the altar The state chariot was used for the triumphant procession and state entry. The king placed the crown prince on his lap and in the presence of all the subjects, ministers and the family priest, offered him the kingdom Younger brothers of the crown prince held the golden par

full of consecrated waters and the king himself took the royal umbrella. After the pompous religious functions a dramatic entertainment in the pilace theatre marked the close of the coronation ceremon. The crown prince was dressed differently for the coronation. Almost the same preparations are made for the coronations of princes in hills even today. The advent of a new king was ilways looked upon with great inviety and suspicion by the subjects.

ADVISURS OF THE KING. The plays do not enlighten us as to the duties and number of mainters or their assembly. Only the prime minister Commander in Chief. Purchita, and royal astrologer are mentioned kings have been advised to seek the counsel of their ministers.

(a) Ministers. Mini ters occupied a high position in those days. Their lot however was neither happy nor enviable for if their policy succeeded popular opinion credited the king with success, while in times of distress the king was exportated and the ministers were held responsible for having misguided him. In the absence of the Saustra king, his ministers efficiently carried on the administration refusing admission in the public to the jubble. Ministers were always businesslike looked at matters from the utilitari in point, and were not swiyed by private considerations.

Ministers were selected from jersons well read in political science and devoted to the king. They took part in war also and did not care for their lives while serving their master's cause. A sugandhar synta observes that his imprisonment while working for the release of his master may well serve as an eye opener to many a prospective aspirant for ministership. While the less capable would leave off their ambition the brave and those preferring to live in public memory would not be deterred from striving for the fulfilment of their aspiration by Lugandharay mas plight Foreign policy also fell under the control of ministers They wielded considerable influence even in the private life of the king and were consulted in such personal matters as the selection of suitable bridegrooms for the princesses 83

Practical foresight and the observance of Kautilya's dictum prompted the ministers of Ldayana Vatsaraja to enter into friendly relationship with the Magadha king to ensure his help against the rebel Arum, who had invaded the Vatsa kingdom A th that end in view Yaugandhar ayana brought about the marriage of Natsaraja with the Magadha princess after spreading the false report of the burning of himself and Vasavadatta in a fire at Lavanala He gave out the true story only after the planned marriage was effected and with the help of the Vagadha forces his master had utterly routed and killed Army The influence of the Arthasastra is also in evidence in the preliminaries gone into before attacking Aruni such as causing division in the enemy camp gaining confidence of one's Gwn sub jects protecting the rear when making the advance etc "

(b) Purohita The Purohita was adviser to the king in matters religious and secular and he even went to war,

encouraging soldiers (c) Ambassadors Foreign relations necessitated the despatch of ambassadors or messengers to different courts on various missions. It was a universally accepted and strictly followed rule that ambassadors or enview were never to be killed 82. Even tyrants and despots held the person of the ambassador as sacrosanct. An enview was not to be executed even if he manifestly exceeded his instructions. He could be punished in other ways so ordered to be tited down and Hanuman's tail in the Abhiseka is ordered to be set fire to. Fivous are said to be the mouthpieces of kings and they are to express verbatim the message of their master even it the cost of their own lives.

ESPIONAGE The secret service department was efficiently managed. Spies were employed to get secret information about the kings own subjects as well as from foreign countries. If envoys were the mouthpieces of kings spies were called eves of kings as it was through spies that kinds obtained reports on inland and foreign affairs #4 A king is said to be thousand eved on account of the large number of his secret agents. Spies went under different guises to foreign countries and tried to achieve their objects by bribing and winning over the servants of the enemy and keeping their own persons in his employ They field their conferences in lonely places. It was through espionage if at Pradyota Mahasena of Avantiwas able to capture Vatsaraja by linding a number of armed soldiers inside a mechanical elephant. Vinister Yaucan dharayan i lind come to know of the plot and was preparing to send a warning to his naster but was too late as before

he could send message to Vatsaraja the latter fell into the trap and was carried a prisoner. Undaunted, \( \) augandha ravana made for the capital of Pradyota in disguise, and with the help of his associates paid Pradyota in the same coin and proved more than a match for his rival minister by succeeding not only in the release of his master, but also in his master's escape with his sweetheart, the daughter of king Pradyota. In the Assimataka, king Durvodhani comes to know of the state of affairs in the Silvita kingdom through his spies. His attempts to fine out Asimārāka, however, proved futile as the prince has become invisible through magic.

## WILITARY ORGANIZATION

Elephants characts cavalry and infantry were the fow divisions (caturanga) of the army

ELEPHANTS Elephants constituted the principal factor of army in ancient India. The elephant is called the armourly, as it were, of kings 15. A deep blut elephant with certain characteristics was reputed to bring sovereignty to its owner king. There were spacious stables and a number of attendants for elephants. Waxing of lights (nivajanai.idhi) was done before elephants during specified penods. Various methods of charming and capturing elephants were given in the Hastistiksa. King Udayana was an adept in the art of wimning over elephants by the melody of his celebrated lite, Ghosavati. The elephant, especially a rogue one was to be tamed by soft and winning treatment. The elephant of war worean armour, presumably over its trunk.

CHARIOTS Fach charioteer had his own driver expert in driving and turning the chariot in various ways. Both charioteer and driver were armoured the former weiging leather gloves for protecting his fingers. Each chariot had a distinct hanner bearing some special mark to proclaim the identity of its master from afar. Garlands of flowers hung from the flag posts of the chariots.

HORSES Horses were known as the means of ecuring a kingdom. Horses from Kambhoja were regarded as the best breed. Horses were also armoured. Lights were waved (nirajanaidhi) invoking blessings on the 1 orses on the nith day of Assina and at the commencement and close of a journey.

WAR Army Register and Inspection of Troops
There was an army register specially prepared for each
war containing the name of every soldier and some
descriptive particulars about the identity of each individual constituting the army. After encampment there
was a daily inspection of the troops which was conducted
by scrutinizing cach member of the army carefully from
the army register in order to find out new comers and
ascertain deserters. The inspection was carried out by
each head of the sub-division enquiring about the persons
under lis command. Spres from the enemy using disguises
were defected in such examination of the army.

Before the ictual fight the king's minister and priest encourriged the army by saying that soldiers went beyond the goal attained by performers of sacrifices or penánces and no vessel filled with consecrated water and covered with darbhas would ever come to him who does not fight

BRAS4

in return for the subsistence received from hi master and he was destined to fall into hells

181

During the course of war spies used to hring occasional reports from the actual battlefield to the king \*\* Thus we are told about the course of events leading to the victory of Litara through the agents of the secret service Ravana also gets news about war immediately his servant goes out to hrine it.

After war the principal duty of the commander was to reward the brave according to their merits. The names of the warriors and their deeds of valour were recorded in the annuls of the state? The head of the defeated army however took it as his main concern to enquire as to the safety and whereabouts of the officers under him.

WEADOS. Proceeding all of the propose mentioned.

WEAPONS Practically all of the weapons mentioned by Bhasa are found enumerated in the Arthasastra which classifies weapons under the offensive and defensive and the former are again subdivided into movable and immovable. Bhasa refers to armour and shield among the defensive weapons \*\* Out of the movable muchines mentioned by Kantilia we find in Bhasa references to musala mudgara gada trisula and cakra. Sone of the immovable machines enumerated by Kautilya is mentioned by Bhasa. All the weapons described by Kautilya how ever are referred to in Bhasa viz sakh prisa trust kunta bhindicila hitaka sula tomara carlhakarna kanaca and karpana these were metallic or wooden and their descrip tions will be found in the Arthasistra Sara and naraca mentioned by Bhasa were different kinds of arrows to be discharged from the bow Rstr asr khadga and kara wha

were different kinds of swords, and sanku was perhaps a conclike lance for piercing the body

ARCHITECTURE, SCULPTURE AND ARTS

ARCHITECTURE Different aspects of architecture presented by our plays, which we have so far dealt with, show that there were parks, both inside and outside the cities. A courty ard, a tank, a garden and a well were the invariable concomitants of a private dwelling house. Cool summer-houses luxuriously decorated rooms, well guarded harem, pleasure garden and artificial mountains, likes, etc were associated with palaces. In the business quarters of the city there were rows of palatial buildings on both sides of the road. It appears that residential quarters were housed according to castes of the inhabitants. No particulars are supplied regarding the aspect and orientation of public and private buildings, and no reference is made to any article of furniture.

A statemple with a measure and rempice case do vaksinf at Upayini have been mentioned <sup>92</sup> We get an important reference to a building of a semi religious character, viz the statue house (pratimāgāpā) in the Pratimā <sup>93</sup> The statue house was a magnificent structure, taller than even palaces, and was a monument of architect ural skill. It was situated not in the heart of the city, but outside in the suburban area aimidst the trees. To all outtward appearances it resembled a temple, the only point of difference being that the statue louse exhibited no external symbols of weapons or flags of the detues. It was looked on as a shirm. The statue-house was under the control of a care taker and was open to the public

There was no restriction on entrants nor was there any door keeper to guard the gates

Special preparations were made in the statue house on important occasions such as the viit of the queen mothers to toilly was the immer doine of the statue house cleared of its doi.ecotes but the outer walls were marked with par engulas of whitewash mixed with sandal the doors were decorated with wreaths and garlands the paths were strewn with white sand flowers were scattered every where and flowers and fired grains were spread at the entrunce. These preparations have much in common with what we see in temples on festive occasions at the present day.

SCULPTURE Sculpture seems to have attained a very high degree of perfection Statues of dead kines were carried of stone or grantic. They were pieces of evaluative workmanship being quite his-like and each statue brought out through some symbol the particular characteristic of the individual king. Thus in the statue of Dilipa there was something to suggest that he was the embodiment of Dharma the statue of Raghu suggested embodiment of charrity and that of Api suggested embodiment of charrity and that of Api suggested embodiment of chartity and the statue of Papi suggested embodiment of Papi suggested embodiment of Papi suggested embodiment of Api suggested embodiment of Papi suggested embodiment of Api suggested embodiment of Papi suggested embodiment of Papi suggested embodiment of Papi suggested embodiment of Papi suggested embodiment of Api suggested embodiment of Papi suggested

These statues were kept in the statue houses which contained more images than one. They were worshipped with fined rice and flowers. As the statues were of the k-atriyas no Brahmana was to make an obersance to them others however paid their homoge to the dead

without prostrating and without chanting any maniras. The statues and statue houses well known in Ayodhya appear to be unknown in Kekaya <sup>97</sup>

Another specimen of fine workmanship is supplied by the artificial elephant manufactured by king Pradyota Nahasena of Avanti to capture Ldayana Vatsaraja The representation was realistic

PAINTING There are many references to painting in our plays and there are significant similes describing pictures on a canvas. Pictures were drawn on walls as well as on panels or boards. The walls of the fire shrine are stated to have got fast coloured paintings on them. A painter surrounded by many cups is referred to in the Caruadata.

The description of the picture depicting the denuding of Draupadi in the Dalata skya shows that the painter looked to many particulars and minor details "Not only was close attention paid to the dress of all the persons portrayed but their expression was carefully worked out on the canvas. The portraits of Udayana and Vasavadatta are said to be quite life like showing a remarkable re emblance to the original Courtesans had a special room in their mansions with all the paraphernalia required for printing. Cultured courtesans like Vasantasena were well versed in portruiture also and Vasantasenas representation of Carudatta as he was passing by the road below her baleony is said to have been faithfully carried out.

MUSIC Singing music and dance were looked with approval Many ladies of respectable families were experts in singing and in playing on lute, and their consorts enjoyed

BHANA

**†88** 

nights in listening to the enchanting times. Music teachers were employed in royal palaces to give instruction to prince-ses in song and dance. Lufe (int) was the most popular musical instrument, and it was regarded as a jewel obtained without churning the ocean. The lutes of rich persons were stringed with wires of gold. 100

ARTS The art of weating seems to have reached a developed phase in the period in that garments made from birls of frees were so finely produced as not to be easily distinguishable from the ordinary cotton fabrics 10 Fforsts perfumers garland makers jewellers and gold smiths figure among the other artisans and craftsmen mentioned by Bhasa

RELIGION PHILOSOPHY LITERATURE AND

RELIGION In considering the religious systems prevalent at the period we find that as the poet was a follower of orthodox. Hindiu m all principal characters one allegiance to that faith bence we deal with Hindiu m nest.

Hindusm God Vi nu was worshipped and the following of his incarnations (atatras) are mentioned Variba Vamana Nysimba Rama and Kryna. It may be stated that though the conception of ten incarnations of Vi nu is rather late that of the incarnations itself is pretty old in Hindu mythology. The fact of the ascription of divinities to Rama and Kryna need not be taken rescuedening a late date for our peet. for Vasudeva Kryna appears to have been worshipped at least since the day of Panim and Megasthenes all o refers to the worship of

Arsna there is also inscriptional evidence showing temples of Krana in the early centuries before Christ appears that there were some people at the time of the poet who denied divinity to Arsna and regarded him lightly as a mere cowherd 102 In the opinion and belief of the poet, however, Kṛṣna was the highest god and, in the period under review, was definitely regarded as such Weapons of Krsna were regarded as divinities and were taken to be capable of achieving any object. Though evidently a Vaisnava, the poet is no sectaman He shows no disrespect to Saivism which indicates that there was no rivalry between the two sects at the period. Siva also was worshipped and so was his divine consort, Kartya yani 103 Kartyayani is said to be the divine child of Yasoda which was dashed against a stone by Kamsa, but instead of being dead the child burst into two hundodara, Sankukarna Nila Manojava, ete are the weapons of Kartyayani, who appear in human form, and they are advised to go to Gokula disguised as herdsmen

Besides Visnu and Šiva, Skanda is mentioned. We find references to his birth from the reeds, and his feats of killing Krauñca and Mandara are mentioned a number of times. There occurs praise of Balatāma also, who plays an important part in the Pāñcarātra system. There were temples of Yaksinis, who were evidently benign spirits at the period, and maidens used to worship them, especially on the Kalāstami. We also find behef in the Ardhanārīsvara form of Šiva, whose existence in proto listorie times is established by the Indius Valley finds 104.

From the reference to the statue houses and their

distinction from temples we find that idol worship in those days was in a developed stage. There were temple dedicated to various deities in the heart of the cities Some temples contained more images than one that in Unayini had besides Siva the images of Skinda and hartyayani 104 These temples contained large enclosure and tanks as also smaller buildings such as fire shrine etc. The temple walls were printed with different scenes On the flag post in a prominent place were placed symbol or weapons of the deity enshrined. Some temples had daily festivities while in others full moon days were observed as festive occasions Preparations on special occasions were similar to those made for statue houses to which we have referred in an earlier section

The institution of sacrifices was most prevalent There was belief in the power of sacrificial oblations and the ment one got by performing sacrifices was thought to be everlasting Sraddha was regarded then as even now an important duty of a Hindu Offerings were to be made to Matrkas etc 106 There was also belief in mantra and fantra

Buddhism The flourishing condition of sacrifices and the honour and seneration for the Brahmanas indicate that Buddhism had not yet gained strong foothold. The Buddhist mendicants were lightly spoken of as mere conjurers and it was taken as a disgrace to receive peace offerings from them. They were regarded as of easy morals The Buddhist laymen al o were ridiculed as mad worshippers 10

Jainism The Jainas were taken as non Vawlikas, non-believers in the Vedas 100. It appears that only the Digambara sect was known in the period PHILOSOPHY The poet seems to be a special

follower of the Pañcaratra system of philosophy Väsudeva Kṛṣṇa is taken as an incarnation of Visnu and devotion to him is the basic principle. Krsna as Upendra or Narayana is regarded as the highest god. The authority of the Vedas is supreme The poet not only names one of his plays as Pañcaratra but glorification of Balarama is also found Balarama is named as Sankarsana We find complete belief in the Brahmanical system of sacrifices and in the power and efficacy of sacrificial oblations. The \*philosophical creed seems to be the blending of the philosophy of the Sutras with the Bhakti cult of the Vaisnavas People seem to have been quite familiar with the Upanisadic ideas 109 There was belief in the force of Karma (or effort of this life) over Daiva, along with which is also found great resignation to fate 110. The theory of rebitth was also well known and acceptable 111 LANGUAGES AND LITERATURE Sanskrit appears

LANGUAGES AND LITERATURE Sanskrit appears to have been a fiving language in the period and it was used and understood by all Women and inferior characters, however, employed Prakrit The epics were well known and studied in the period and so was probably the Bhaganadgita Reference is made to various treatises on different Sastras such as the Yogalastra, Dharmassisra, etc., in the Pratimā, and all these are works of antiquity There was also a work on Hastifiska, and one on Nālyasāstra distinct from that of Bharata 112

ASTRONOMY AND ASTROLOGY The poet men trong some tithis and naksatras but not rasis. The moon

was known as the cause of tudes <sup>122</sup> The time of sunrise was known from the observation; at Lipayim by observations and cilculations.

If appears that there was some behef in astrology the astrologers of those days based their forecasts on the position of nakratras. Varriages were fixed for on superious nakratras. Rohims was taken as auspicious for the entry of a prime purpose, expertal while kettika.

the position of nahastras. Variages were fixed for on uspicious nahastras. Rohini was taken as auspicious for the entry of a prince into his capital while hritish was mauspicious for the purpose. If MEDICINE The psychological aspect of di cases that diversion works as a curative appears to be known as would appear from the description of the sickroom which was well decorated to divert the attention of the patient. If Cangerika was reputed to Bring coolness to the head Other cooling herbs were Bakula Sarja Sarala Nipa kndamba etc. and their local application was supposed to give instrutionous rehed.

#### CHAPTER VI

## THE BHASA PROBLEM

The discovery and publication of the thirteen plays ascribed to Bhása in the Trivandrum Sanskrit Series will go down to posterity as the most epoch making landmark in the history of Sanskrit drama. Much has been written in support as well as against the Bhása theory. Opinion is yet sharply divided, and nothing like a definite solution of the problem seems to have been reached even after many years of heated controversy, but the problem has on the contrary become more complex. The views hitherto expressed on the problem fall into.

there man schools, uz (a) the supporters of the Bhasa theory, (b) the antagonists and (c) those that have found a vir media and hold only two plays to be by Bhasa and are doubtful about the other plays MM Dr T Ganapati Sastri, the discoverer of the plays, first ascribed them to Bhasa and many orientalists' including Drs BANFRIT SASTRI, BELVALDAR, JACONI, JAYASWAL, JOLLY, KEITH, KONON, I INDENAU, SARUP, Haraprasad SASTRI, THOMAS and WELLER lent their whole-hearted support the Bhasa theory The first dissentent note of non-behef was sounded by Pandit Ramyutara Sarwa and Bhattanath Swam, and among the anti Bhasviers figure scholars like Drs Barnett Transferentier, KANE, Kunhan Raja, like Drs Barnett, Transferentier, KANE, Kunhan Raja,

BH 454

Sylvain Levi Pisharoti Hirananda Sastri Kuppuswami Sastri and Woolner who pronounce the plays to be spurious. Drs. SLETHANAR WINTERVITZ and Dr. are the champions of the via media school. It does not matter much which of these parties commands majority for as has been apily observed by Dr. WINTERNITZ. In science truth is not found out by the majority of votes but by the majority of arguments.

Nearly all the supporters of the Bhasa theory and some of the antagonists behave in the common nuthorship of these plays. I have dealt with the topic earlier proving that the plays are the work of one author. Now I give below the main arguments of each school followed by refutation of contrary views.

(A) As already stated the plays nowhere mention the name of Bhasa neither in the prologue nor in the colo phon MM Dr Ganapati Sastri ascribed the dramas to Bhasa on the following prounds? The dramas are found to answer the characteristics of Bhasa mentioned by Bana in that they are begun by the Sutradhara and are rich in characters and episodes. The prologue in these dramas is styled Sthapara instead of Prastitional and the non mention of the poets name or the title of the nork therein surgests pre clas ical period for these drama One of the plays of the group was the Stapna is ascribed to Bhasa and I ence the rest of the group which also comefrom the same author also is Bhisanitakicakri Many citations from these plays are found in the works of thetoricians and cating these to be well known works of antiquity Time of the testimons of Bana who mentions

the characteristics of Bhasa's works and of Rāja ekhara who associates the S.apra which forms one of the group, with the authorship of Bhāsa the whole cycle of plays has been accribed to Bhasa

Besides the above, the preponderence of the epic metre, deviations from the rules of Pān.ni peculiarities of Prakrit and the non-observance and contravention of the rules of Bharata's Nalyasastra also indicate the antiquity of these plays

Not the first problem regarding authorship is to prove the identity of the Scaprarisanadalla as published in the Trivandrun Sanskrit Series with the Scaprarisanadalla as known to ancient writers and rhetoricians, before wellink it with Bhāsa. The following are the direct references to the Scaprarisanadalla arranged chronologically.

x Acâry a Abhinas agupta ('th centur') (a) in his commentary on Bharata's Natyas3stra (I 74) btates वान्त्रकरिया । यहाँ स्वत्यवारसम् । which evidently refers to the krida mentioned in the stage direction to the second act of the printed text (page 40) (b) In his commentary on Dharyaloka, Abhinas agupta cites the following stanza as from a Sabna

मजिनपञ्चकार नयनदार स्वरूपनदनेन । उद्याद्य मा प्रतिष्ठा हृदयनूह में सूरतनूता ॥

This verse is not found in the printed Srapra, but it finds a suitable cortext in the dream scene of the play after Lasvadatā makes her hasty exit (p 112) The stanza may therefore have occurred in the S apna at the time of Abhinavarupta. Its omission from the printed text may

# यया भामञ्जे स्वप्तग्रामग्रद्ते डोफालिकाशिलानलमञ्जेख्य प्रमाण -पादाकान्तानि पुष्पाणि मोष्म चेद शिष्टातलम्। नृत काचिदिहामाना मा द्युग महस्रा नता॥

- 6 Sigiranindin (MIIIth century) in the A itakaliksa naratnakośa (p. 51) apparently cites from the prologue of a Stapna which does not tally with the printed text. It appears that the author was giving the contents of the Irelogue in his own words otherwise we shall have to Fresume that I c was quoting from a variant text.
- 7 Sakuntal wy ikh; i (NVth centur;) refers to the prastavan i of a Stapna in identical words as found in the limited text

Thus all the above authors refer to one and the same Stapna and the junted text in some cases represents a variant text. Abhimavagupta refers to the second and

198

BHĀS 1

hi(th acts of our play, Bhojadeva to the hi(th, Śaradātanay a

to the first, fourth hi(th and sryth, Saryānanda to the first,

fourth and fifth, Rāmmeandra and Gunacandra to the fourth, and Sagaranandm and Sakuntalilayākhijā to the prologue of our play The Nātjadarpana mentions Bhāsi as the author of the Sagna, which, as we have shown, is identical with the printed text, and as all the plays come from one author the whole cycle is from Bhāsi. Besides the above, there are other references mention-

Besides the above, there are other references mentioning some chriacteristics of Bhāsa, which also can be shown to apply to our plays

Bāna, as already stated 19 refers to Bhāsa's dramas as

Bana, as atteady stated refers to Bhasa's dramas as begun by the Stiradhara, having many characters and episodes, and being holy and pure fike temples. Reference has already been made to the first characteristic. The plays have a large number of characteristic of various types justifying the remark. Bahukhamika. Nearly all the plays contain episodes answering Sapataka. Holiness and sublimity pervading the plays wholly accounts for their comparison with cemples. Bana's description thus is applicable to our plays.

Ripsekhara refers to the arc orded to which Bhisa's works are believed to have been subjected and to the Stapha as coming unscathed out of the ordeal.

Stapha as coming unreathed out of the ordeal 11

Alapathan refers to Bhasa is "a friend of line" in his

Gaula also (Stanza Soo) and our plays more than deserve

the epithet, as in them there are descriptions of conflagra
tions and satisficial fires as also the appearance of Agmi

in human form, besides the occurrence of the term 'Agmi'

at numerous places

Jayadeva, as already stated calls Bhāsa 'the laughter of poetry '12 There are various instances of quiet and boisterous humour in these plays, and the sceneş in which Santuşta, Maitreya, Vasantaka, Sakara, Sudhākāra, etc figure also supply humour

Dandin mentions Bhāsa's dramas as possessing the Sandhis such as Yukha etc, and also distinct and different Vrtliss. These characteristics are found applicable to the Dinited text. 13

Thus the features of Bhāsa mentioned by Bana, Vakpatīrāja, Jayadeva and Dandin are found in the printed text, and this strengthens our conclusion of Bhāsa's authorship of these plays ?

(B) The antagonists of the Bhāsa theory, however, try to meet the above arguments by stating that the emission of the name of the author is due to the fact that the author or authors were mere plagiarists or adapters. With regard to the peculiarities of technique and disregard of the rules of the Nahyasāshra displayed by these plays it has been contended that these features are shared by many South Indian plays and are due to Kerala influence. It is also urged that the absence of Bhasa's name in the rhetorical works with regard to citations from these plays shows that these plays are the works of compilers. As a last resort it is argued that though the works may have enginally belonged to Bhāsa, they are, in their present form, merely adaptations or stage editions prepared by the Cākyārs from the original works of Bhāsa.

We have already dealt with all these points earlier 15 where it has been shown that these plays cannot be called

200 BHĀSA adaptations or compilations and that the Cakyars are to

be credited only with the preservation of these plays Absence of ascription of any stanza from these works to any South Indian dramatist in the works of rhetoricians or anthologists also speaks against their South Indian origin. The antagonists state that Bana's description of

Bhasa's dramas as Sutradharahri trambha is attiated by Altis phi as it is found to apply to many South Indian dramas besides the Bhasa plays as they also begin with Nandyanke etc. Now most of these South Indian dramas mention the name of the dramatist for whose identification we have not to depend upon inference and hence Bana's statement clearly does not cover them. So the Altis phi covers but a few practically all of which come after the period of Bana's of that his statement does not apply to them.

The occurrence of the same antique forms in Prikrit in the South Indian plays has been taken to convey that these plays also come from the South But the Stapia (and hence the other plays of the group) has been attested long before the appearance of the first dramatist in Sunskrit in the South! Hence the occurrence of the peculirates of Bhasa in the South Indian plays is due to the imitation of Bhasa. It may be stated in conclusion that the arguments of the opposition are neither conclusive nor fatal to the Bhasa theory and they have been satisfactorily met with. Most of the intagonists have approached the problem with preconceived notions.

(C) The theory of the third school which stands for the tia media is most guarded cautious and sane and will

201 commend itself to all Our only complaint is that they

do not go sufficiently as far as the evidence warrants and do not draw the naturally justifiable inferences. We do feel the common authorship of the group, and if the Stapna and the Pratigna are to be associated with Bhasa the whole

group deserves to be ascribed to him. It is already shown that these works cannot be adaptations or stage editions The upshot of the above discussion is that the thirteen

plays are the genuine works of Bhasa

#### CHAPTER VII

The date of Bhash is one of the most vexed questions

# DATE OF BHĀSA

Indian chronology and one is surprised to find a inference of over fourteen hundred years in the earliest and test dates acribed to him by different scholars? We have lready seen that these dramas are neither compilitions or adaptations and also that the earliest reference to hem by name comes from Bana (2th ent). Therefore all lates later than the 7th century assigned to Bhasa are learly out of order. In coming to our own conclusions as of the problem internal evidence, which fixes the ppper

- mut of the date may be considered first
  INTERNAL FADINCE (1) The sources of the
  lays are the Rimiyana the Vahalhirati and the
  olklore. The Udayana plays are drawn from historical
  raditions and Udayana Pradvott and Darsaha we
  storned personages belonging to the folt century B C;
  The epics were known before this period and the
  opular folklore may also Leenher. The 6th century B C,
  thus the upper limit
- (ii) The Pratifit Assiminate and Scipna formships with Instancial data. The enumeration of the royal families of North India in the former two plays whose very memories were lost in the Vauryan period, shows the poet.

to be proximate in time to the period of the Nandas or Cardragupta. Mention of Rajaerha as the capital and reference to Patalipatra as an ordinary city also show a period not far from the 5th century B C<sup>2</sup>

(ii) References to Nazarana Venurana Rajamba and Pataliputra which rose into prominence after Buddha and the reference to Subyasramanaka and ragnu sramanska 4 place the poet definited after the period of Buddha 1 e after the 6th century B C

(iv) The various Justinas ment ored in the Pratima

- are of fairly old antionis, prior at any rate to the 6th century B C. Mata 1, a Dramasistra is the original of our present Variants and a it is referred to by Gauta at the oldest Dharmastirakara it ma be placed before the 6th cent B C. About Vahesrara logasistra we know to thing except that Lal shis who exect is known at least since the last 2000 years was the last incarnation of Malessara the propounder of Yora Burnaspatya Arthalistra has been referred to in the Vahabhara a and laid under contribution by Kautikia. Pravetasa Sraddina halpa also is not known. We have already referred to Medicatti is Yungasistra as not being identical with Var Phasya, and as being the vork of antiquity by Gautama. The treatives mentioned in the Vapaphalam (1 116) are also fail to del
- (1) Sociological conditions portraved in these works of commany parallelisms with the factabas and the Artha statra. Here I refer only to few of these (a) The custom of it frowing sand in the enclosures of temples recorded in the Pratima (pp. 54-59) is found only in the work of

BHASA

204

Apastamba (c 5th cent B C) showing that the pos fourished in a period not far removed from Apastamba 8 (b) Baudhavana (c 7th cent B C) declares th residents of Avanti Anga Magadha Surastra Sindhu and Sauvira as of mived origin and outside Arjavirti. In the period of our plays it seems that these people especially those from Avanti Magadha and Sindhu Sauvir were freed from the taint 8 For such a change in the outlook we may assign the period of a couple of centures and so Bhasa may have flourished at about the 5th or 4th century B C.

(c) Varringes between prohibited degrees of con singunity appear to be recognized which also shows the same period (d) The ethos of Brahmanical system glorification o sterifices then attitude towards Buddhism and Jamism

point to a period not far off from the origin of these religious systems.

(c) There are also numerous parallelisms in significant particulars between the social conditions of the Mauryan age and those depicted in the plays showing the

nge and those depicted in the plays showing the Arthalistic and these plays to be the products of the some period?

(11) The Bharatarukyas as already shown refer to a king rulin, over the earth between the Himilays and Vindhya and the seas and allude to changes in the fortunes of the king. They probably refer to Ugrasem Mihapadma, the predecessor of Candragupia Maurya, Rajasimth is not the same period.

(vi) The evidence of language as also that of metrics and dramatic technique, though not positively pointing to any definite period, do not, at any rate, run counter to the period indicated above

Thus, the cumulative effect of all the factors considered under 'Internal Evidence' places the period of the poet between the 5th and the 4th cent B C

THE EXTERNAL EVIDENCE fixes the lower limit of the plays, but there is a sharp difference of opinion mong scholars as to the dates of Kālidāsa Śūdraka and hautilya who come forward to give testimony in this respect. I am inclined to assign Kalidāsa to the 1st cent B. C., Śūdraka to the 3rd, and Kautilya to the 4th cent B. C.

In the last chapter, we have already dealt with the direct evidence about Bhāsa supplied by Bana, Dandin, Abhinavagupta, Rāmacandra and Gunacandra, Sāradatanaya Bhojadeva, Sāgararandin and Sarvānanda, covering the period from the 7th to the 12th centuries A D Here we shall deal with important references to Bhāsa or his works prior to that period

- I Dinnaga in his Kindamālā refers to Dašaratha as padimāgado mahārāo" (Daksina Bharatī Series, p 10), and as there is no reference to the statue of Dašaratha either in the Rāmāyana or in any other work excepting the Pratimā, we take the Kindamālā to be referring to the Pratima.
- 2 Vāmana in his *Kāvyālamkarasūtrasītti* (IV 3 25) Quotes a verse

206

काशपुण्यानेतं साक्ष्मातं मुखं कृतम् ॥ as an instance of Vyājokti The same verse occurs in the Svapna, IV 3, with fasāmka for candrāmsu, and mama for kriam. The stapna in its comievt is, no doubt, an apt

illustration of Vyājokti

There are also cutations from the Cārudatta (1 2), the Mrcchakatika (1 9, p 38) and the Pratipāl (IV 2) in the same nork (IV x 3, IV 3 23, V 2 33) With regard to the last cutation it may be observed that it also occurs in the Arthadstra (1, 3, p 368). But Vannan is

3 Hånkovådagal in the Cilappadikäram mentions one Balacaritanätaka which treats of the story of Krsna Our Balacarita is known to be the earhest version of the Krsna story on account of the absence of the erotic element, and I am inclined to take Cilappadikāram to refer to the Balacarita.

more likely to have taken it from the Pratigna

4 Aśvaghosa in his Buddhacarita (XIII 60) has v

काष्ट हि मध्नन् एमते हुताश भूमि खनन् विन्दति चापि तोयम् । निर्वन्धिनः कितन् नास्त्यसाध्य न्यायेन गुक्तं च सूर्वम् ॥

which is almost identical in expression with Bhāsa's Praintā (I 18)

Pralijāt (1 18)

5 Kālidisa in the prologue to his Mālatikāgnimita
refers to Bhāsa as an old poet of established renown. We

places the poet before Candraguota's conquest of the

208

to denote coms, pronounce the author to be considerably prior to the and cent A D, if we take the word nāmaha to be derived from the Elamite Goddess Naman (in) The silence of the author as to rāsis at proper occasions when only naksatras are mentioned, places the author before the 4th century B C, when through Hellenic influence rāšis were first included in Hindu Astrology (in) The specification of nakedness as the characteristic of Jama mendicants shows that the author was not aware of the other sect of the Jamas The schism dividing the

BHÂSA

South<sup>11</sup> (n) Non-mention of the word nānaka coupled with the mention of the generic terms smarna and masa

community originated at about 300 B C 11, and hence the author flourished before this period

The arguments ex silentio, thus, place our author in the pre Mauryan period, and both the external and internal evidences also point to the same period. These factors coupled with the particulars from the Bharatarahyas, indicate that Bhāsa was the court poet of Ugrasena Mahāpadma the precursor of Candragupta Maurya, and a senior contemporary of the great Mauryan minister. Autilya

†

# NOTES

## CHAPTER I

I Contrary to the views of European scholars including Dr WINTERNITZ (HIL, I, p 207) who hold that the Hindus had no moral code, my friend Dr. KARNIK has proved in his doctorate thesis on the "Morals in the Brahmanas" (which is awaiting publication) that there was a highly developed code of morals in

the Vedic times as evidenced by the moral tales occurring in the later Vedic literature Parts of the thesis have appeared in the IUB. Sept 1939, and Proc O C Tirupati

2 Particulars about the Indus Civilization have been given in brief by Rao Bahadur K N DIKSHIT (Prehistoric Civilization of the Indus Valley Madras, 1939) and Dr E MACKAY (Indus Civilization, I ondon, 1935)

3 cf Mbh (B) XII 98 45

अशोष्यो हि हन शूरः स्वर्गछोके महीयते। न हाल नोदक तस्य न स्नान नाप्यशीचकम् ॥

4 cf Pusalker, "Bhāsa and Kautilva's Arthaśāstra," Rangaswami Comm Vol., pp 87 94, DIKSHITAR, ' Bhasa and Kautilya, 'Festschrift Kane, pp 165 167

5 (The figure has been wrongly put as 6 in the text ) cf BHANDARKAR Carm Lect , 1919 p 82, GHOSAL, B 14

BHASA

MR, Oct 1930. p 438. Manusmrh, II 22, also सागरपर्यन्तां हिमशींद्वन्यसुम्प्टलाम् and परचन्न प्रशास्यनु m the Bhatatavákyas of Bhāsa's plays.

### CHAPTER II

I of Prihi irajatijaya, I 3, and the commentary thereon.

2 cf Rājasekhara in Sūktimuktās als भारतनाटकचकेऽपि छेके स्तिमे परीक्षितम ।

मासनाटकचक्रऽाप छक्ः ।क्षप्त परााक्षतुम् । स्वप्रगसवदत्तस्य दाहकोऽभन पावकः॥

3 of the so-called Kauremaria ascribed to Rājasekhara The stanzas have been quoted in IHQ, 1, p 105, also in Bhāsa—A Study, p 35 n 1

 KRISHNAVACHARYA Priyadarśikā, Bhūmikl, pp NNII-NNIII
 cf "Two More Dramas of Bhāsa," OC, III, pp 82-85

5 cf "Two More Dramas of Bhāsa," OC, III, pp 82-85 BHANDARNAR IA, 42 p 53 JOLLY, Festgabe Garbe, pp 115 121 PISHAROTI, Shama'a, 1924, pp 213-222, ketti, SD, p 105

GULERI IA, 42, pp 52 53, SANKAR, AMV, 2, pp 42-43 Bhāsa—A Study, pp 107-108
 Valyašāstra, GOS Vol I, pp 9 47

S of Bhisa-A Study, pp 175 ff

9 For definitions of different types, of Dafarafa III 38 ff also Manhad. Types of Sarskett Drama. Narachi, 1936

10 See pp 24 25 mfra

rr of Bhāsa—A study, pp 4 21
12 This topic has been dealt within detail in a subsc-

quent chapter entitled "The Bhasa Problem"

13 Bhlsa-A Study pp 118-122

## CHAPTER JII

- I The plot of the newly discovered Yajñaphalam, dealing with Rāma's early life before marriage has been given in the next chapter, where I have considered whether the Yaiñabhalam can be ascribed to Bhāsa
- 2 Švapaka means one who cooks dogs, an outcast, a cāndāla

# CHAPTER IV

- 'I WINTERNITZ BRRI, V, p 9
  - 2 DE IHQ, λVII, p 425
  - 3 of DHRUVA Madhyama D 5. SARUP, HR, 50 D 118, Keith, SD, p 101, Weller, Atimāraka, Intr JAOS, 43, p 169
  - "भासो हास " जयदेन in his प्रसन्तगनन. 5
  - 6 cf सूत्रपारकृतारम्भैनीटैकवेद्वभूमिकः। सपतानैर्यशो हेभे भागो देनकेहैरिन॥
  - 7 Bhāsa—A Study, p 15
  - 8 In view of the newly discovered Yaznaphalam however, the total number of stanzas runs up to 1385. and the Yaniabhalam tops the list with 203 stanzas
  - cf Prat. I 18, III 17, 24, Pañe, II 28, Dgh 17, Prv. I 18 Aus. I 5, 12, Stapna, IV 9
- cf Prv. II 7. Panc. I 18 11 cf Stabna Act III. Abh. Acts I V
- 12
  - cf Prv, IV 18, Att, IV 7

10

- cf Pañc I 37, II 16, 47, Prat, I 9, 19, IV 5 13
- 14 Stapna, p 128 Bal, p 65

17 cf Keith, SD, p 105 18 Nātvasāstra II off 10 Sāhitvadarpana, (Kane's Ed ), p 63 cf Bhasa-A Study pp 98 100 20 21 cf Keith SD, p 354 22 Uru pp 98 99 23 of Weller, Uru Intr 2.1 cf Bhasa-4 Study, pp 134 200 cf Bhasa-A Study p 47 and n 2, STEIN, IHQ, 25 14 pp 445 446 26 Trivandrum Sanskrit Series No 55 27 Respectively in Vision of Vasavadatta, intr. p 3. JRAS, 1928, pp 584 885 Festgabe Jacobs, pp 117, 120 122 For detailed references to these and other works in connection with this and the following verses, See Bhasa-A Sludy, pp 41-45, 111-117 25 Tuo Plays of Bhasa, p 9 29 GOS No 48, p 84 30 Mālavikāgnimitra, Prastāvanā 31 For the statements in this paragraph of Sakuntala, I, with Stabna, I Sak VI, with Prot VII, Sak, III, with Stabna" I Sak, IV with Abh, IV , Sak, VI with

Stapna, VI Sak, I with Stapna, II. Sak, IV with

। प्रथम: कल्प: | Stapna p प्रथम: बहुप: | Sak. p 237.

Kähdäsa

Malauka, Act I

PISHAROTI, IHO, I, pp 333-340, RAJA, ZII, 2,

212

pp 258 259 16 Au. v 16

> Ati VI etc Bhāsa

> > o) Madhyama, p 42

32

Sak VI 3 चित्रापनारम्भ इतातनस्य ।

Raghu II 31

Sak VI > न च खद्र परिभोक्त नैप

Sak 1 10

*मण्डम*निभनवाष्यवृत्तिकाद्यप

शक्तोमि हात् । Sak 1 19

मामन्तर्मी टिमणिरश्चितपाद

Uru p 96 Panc p 47 Bal p 55 Abh p 74 Av1 p 26 Prat p 110

 पञ्चेप्रमंदनो यदा त्रयमय प्रियतनत्युरातिरूय पष्ट शर पातिन Stapna पश्चाभ्यतिक शरो भवितुम्।

IX T

चित्रापिताङ्का व्य । 111

Karna In

म्यायस्यस्थितकण्डवातः Prat II 17

< नैप मोढ़ न सहत्वे शक्तोंमि

मपिनेन्डिय | Prat II o अप्राटिश र्रायते मध्यमानात । अपनि चालिन्यनोऽग्रि ।

Prat I 18 १॥ राजेन्द्र मीळिमणिरञ्जित

पाइपाइ | Karna 16 VIII -यापातप्रचित्रक्षिणोल्यम

प्रकोष्ट | Att I 8

महचारिणोऽनर्या । Att P 14

४ हमा जाउन्ताव etc

Prat III 2

पाठ 1 1 thrama III 10 मीर्गिरिणाइ | Sak I 13

> च्यापाताङ्क मुहर्मणिब पनात् **।** Sak III 12

द्रस्य द्रस्यानुबन्धि । Vikrama P 12

यदालोके मृक्ष्म etc

Sak I o

विखाप हरिणाश्चरस्य चिता विश्वासीपगमाद भिन्नगत्य

214	Diam's
	देशागतप्रत्ययाः ।
XI	यस्या न प्रियमण्डनापि महिपी पात न प्रथम व्ययस्यति
	etc Abh III r etc Sāk, IV 8
Y111	सर्वशोभन सुरूप नाम। किमित्र हि मधुराणा मण्डन
	Prat p 11 नाइतीनाम्   Sāh, I 17
<b>\1\</b>	श्रुतिसुखानेनदे कथ नुदेष्याः तत्र सुचरितमङ्गुळीय नृत
	etc Svapna VI 1 etc Sak, VI 11
33	भासो हासः कनिबुखगुरः काखिदासो विलासः।
34	For references see Bibliography 'at the end, also,
	Bhasa-A Study, pp 150 fi
35	Edited by M Dillon Oxford University Press, 1937
36	GOS No 48 pp 48, 109 111 119 120, 135 etc
37	Ed Bombay 1925

39 cf RAIA, IOR I D 222 THOMAS, IRAS, 1928, p boo n Hamhara Sastri JOR 2 pp 211 213 R No 2778 in Govt Or MSS Library Madras

SWAM, IA, 1016, P 194 DEVADUAR Plays Ascribed

Hirananda Sastri Mem Arch Sur Ind. No 25

Cf Vamana s Kātyalamkārasūtratrili, IV 13, IV

3 23 and IV 3 23 respectively with Car I 2. Mrcch.

See specially Mrach I 23 II 5 (nanaka), III 5

40 SUNTHANNAR IAOS 42, D 74

43 Hirananda Sastri Op cit, p 23

to Bhasa, pp 32 40

p 38 and Car p II 45 SULTHANKAR, JBBRAS, 1925 p 272

BUASA

21.1

30

41

42

-44

D 23

 (Mürcchanā) p 53 (Kākah), Kharapata in Ariha sāstra, IV 8, Urcch, VI 9, 10 (Planetary astrology)
 cf Raja, ZII, 2, p 264, Pisharoti, BSOS, 3, p 116, Kuppuswam Sastra, Ascarvacidāmani, intr.

p 116, Kuppuswamı Sastri, Ascaryacüdāmani, intr, pp 19, 26 27
48 cf Raja, JOR, 1, pp 217-225, Pisharoti, Bhasa's

Plays, A Criticism pp 30-31

49 cf Ascaryacüdāmani, prologue
50 Haribar SASTRI, 10R. 2, pp. 210.213. Ti

50 Harhar Sastri, JOR, 2, pp 210 213, THOMAS, JRAS, 1928, p 890
51 Haraprasad Sastri, OC, V, pp 97 98, JBORS, V, p 559 Mr Aravamuthan suggests a comparison of Bhasa's devalula with the Kushan devakulas of Mathura (South Indan Portraits in Stone and Metal.

1930, and Portrait Sculpture in South India, 1931)
52 Prat, p 131. Abh, p 81

53 Rām, II 16 20 54 Sec 101ra, pp 154 155

55 Thomas, IRAS, 1928, pp 877 890

56 WINTERNITZ, Bhagavadamkiya, Preface, BRRI, V.

p ir

57 JOHNSTON, IA, 62, p 95

59 cf JBBRAS, 1942, pp 23 29, where I have given detailed references

59 cf Nātyašāstra, 22-283

पितृपुत्रस्तुपाश्वश्रृद्धय **नाट**कम् ।

## CHAPTER V

Bāl, p 27, cf also, Karna, p 84
 Prat, pp 118-119, Avi, I 11, p 96

3 Pañc, I 28, also I 22 4 Madhyama p 30 5 Prat p 99 6 Att. p 16 7 Madhyama, St 34. 8 Pañc, I 24 25 Karna, St 47 9 Pañe, II 47 10 pp 45 77 78, and Pañe, II and Bāl, III TI Pañe I 6 Prat. III 5 12 Car. p 45 13 Cf Bal, II 5 Panc, p 52, Att p 14, I 7, II 5, p 7 14 Pañe, I 21 15 Stapna p 28 also Karna, p 71, Câr, p 41 for

BHASA

216

earlier references in this paragraph 16 ltt p 73 17 Prat. p 98 Car. pp 26 36

16 Madhsama, p 42 cf Prat, p 98, and Ass p 87 for references about guests For relation between husband and wife, See Prat. I 10, 25, Bal, p 10, Pry p 9 Stapna, pp 9 26 IO

20 Stapna, I q 21 Prs. p. 57, for the earlier sentence, cf. Pral, p. 33. Pry, p 9

22 Dgh, p 65, Stapna, p 129 Pañe, p 60

23 Prs, p 72, also Stapna p 133 24 Prat, I 15 25 Pry, p 29 See Pry, II 4 and Att, pp 10-11 for other references in this paragraph

- 26 Avi, pp 38 39, Siapna, p 43, Pry, p 38 27 Pry, p 72 and Stapna, p. 133 Also, Stapna, p 17. Pry, II 8, pp 28 29. Avi. pp 10-11. Uru. St o.
- Svapna, pp 57, 53 57, 40 and Au, p 103 for the whole of this paragraph
- 28 Kuppuswami Sastri, Aścarya, intr, pp 26 27. Prs. pp 33, 34, 37, 73, Stapna, p 43, Att. p 11
- cf AIYAPPAN, BRRI, 9, pp 71 72, also Bhāsa-A 29 Study, p 377
- Att, 54, also serially, Att, I 2, 9, Prv. Act II 30 Stapna, Act II, Pry, p 50
- Car, pp 5, 84 For earlier references, cf ALI, p 2 3r Madhyama, p II, Prat, I 25, p 33, Pry, p 9
- ' 32 Car, p 36 33 Prat, I 9, Abh, II II Also, Stapna, V 10, Car.
  - p 82 for earlier references cf Att. p 54, and Stapna, p 57. Dgh, p 52 34
  - Avi. pp 54, 56, 86, 87 and IV 21 35 36 Car, p 89, also, Prat, I 29, p 36, Stapna, p 140.
  - Uru. st 38 cf Uru, p 100, Bhasa-A Study, pp 383 384
  - 37 38 Svapna, p 102, Pry, pp 56-57, Att, p 2, Abh, II 4
  - 39 Bal. Act V
- Av1, pp 27 28, Pry, pp 50, 56 57, 64, Car, Acts I 40
- and III, Svapna, p 102 41 Stapna, p 102
- 42 Pry. p 50
- 43 Abh, p 6, Prat, p 57, also Ati, p 2
  - Pañc, p 52, Bāl, p 39. Avi, pp 14, 29 44
  - 45 Att, pp 43 46, Car, Acts I and III

```
218
```

```
46 Att, pp 43 44
47 Car, I 26, also Car Act I, pp 52 53, 51-52 and 29
```

48 Car. p 97 . Mrech Act IV

49 Pañe pp 48-52 Bāl, pp 11, 14-15, 38-42

50 Bal pp 14-15

51 Pro p 57

52 Prat III 3, p 61

53 cf Pry, p 32

54 Prat p 59

55 See respectively, Pry, pp 21-22, 66, Madhyama, p 34, Air, pp 64 65, 70 71. Di, pp 23-24, Car, pp 74 77, Madhyama, pp 33 ff, Air, pp 103, 107, Bil, pp 22-23, Air II 5, Pañe p 48, Ball, p 26

56 Bul, pp 12 55, Act V also, pp 38-41 Pañe, pp

49 50 57 cf Car, pp 23, 38, 45, also Ass, p 63, Pry, II 13, 411 I 9

55 Car pp 45, 77, 78

50 Stapna, p 139

(1 Deh. St o

62 cf Bal, p 12 and p 65 for further reference

63 cf Bhasa-4 Study, pp 18-10

65 el Mrech, Act II, Car, j

references, cf. Dv, st. 11, As

67 cf Car, q6, 102.

in salt bh.

- Ancient India, p 109 70 cf Prat. Act I 71 Av. I 12 72 Pry, p 34 For other references of Karna, st 47
  - Prat p 02. VII II. Prv. p 30 73 Pañc, I 25 See also Pañc, L 24, Avi, I 2, VI 10
    - Dv. st 24, p 18, Avi. p 62 for subsequent references in the paragraph
  - Dv. p 6, also pp 8, 14, 22 74 75 For references in this paragraph, Pañe, II 13 Abh IV 22 . Uru, st 22 Pañc, II 5
  - 76 Abh, III 2, Bāl, II 2 77 cf King Bimbisāra had married Kosala and Vaisāli princesses. Aiătasatru married a Kosala princess Udayana's mother was a Videha princess and
  - Prasenajit married a Śakya princess 78 Avi, p 13, also Prat, pp 15, 17, Avi, I II and
    - Arthasastra, V 6 for other references
  - 79 cf Prat, Act I 60 cf Avi, I 5 p 13
  - 81 Avi, Act I and Pry, Act II, also Pry IV 8 82 Svapna V 12 83 Abh, pp 41, 43, also Dv. p 22, Abh p 43, Ghatot
  - kacı (Dgh pp 66 67) and Hanuman (Abh pp
  - 41 42 )
  - 84 Av. I 12 also p 100, Pry Acts I, III, IV, Att.

85 cf Uru, St 8 For other references in the paragraph. see Pry, p 9, I 12, Panc, I 49, Uru, st 8

VI 10, 14 pp 105 106

RHASA 218 46 Ats, pp 43 44 47 Car, I 26 also Car Act I, pp 52-53 51-52 and 29.

48 Car, p 97, Mrcch Act IV 49 Pañc, pp 48 52 Bal pp 11, 14-15, 38-42

50 Bal pp 14 15

51 Pry p 57 52 Prat, III 3, p 61

53 cf Pry p 32

5.1 Prat. p 50

55 See respectively Pry, pp 21 22, 66, Madhyama,

p 34 A.1, pp 64 65 70 71 , D., pp 23-24 , Car, pp

74 77 Madhyama pp 33 ff Att, pp 103, 107; Bal,

pp 22 23 Au II 5, Pañc, p 48, Bal, p 26

49 50

56 Bal, pp 12, 55, Act V also, pp 38 41, Pañe, pp

57 ci Car, pp 23, 38, 45, also Att, p 63, Pry, II 13; Att I Q

59 Car DD 45, 27, 78

50 Stabna, p 139

60 cf Prat p 10

61 Deh St 9

62 of Bal, p 12 and p 65 for further reference

63 cf Bhasa--4 Study pp 18 19

64 Au. p 60

references, cf Dr. st II, Atr, p 45

65 cf Mrech, Act II Car, pp 55, 99, for earlier 66 cf Car, Act III

67 cf Car, pp 91, 92, 96, 102

68 Ati, pp 46-48, Bal, p 22, Pry, pp 23, 37, Stafnit,

- 69 Arthasastra, pp 41-42, CHALLADAR, Social Life in Ancient India, p 100 cf Prat. Act I 70
- 71 Avt. I 12
- 72 Pry, p 34 For other references, cf Karna, st. 47, Prat, p 92, VII 11, Pry, p 30
- 73 Pañc, I 25 See also Pañc, L 24 Avi, I 2, VI 19 Dv, st 24, p 18, Avi, p 62 for subsequent references in the paragraph
- 74 Dv. p 6, also pp 8, 14, 22
- 75 For references in this paragraph, Pañe, II 13, Abh IV 22. Uru. st 22. Pañc. II 5
- 76 Abh, III 2 , Bāl, II 2
- cf King Bimbisara had married Kosala and Vaisali 77 princesses Ajātasatru married a Kosala princess. Udavana's mother was a Videha princess, and
- Prasenajit married a Śakya princess Avi. p 13, also Prat, pp 15, 17, Avi. I II and 78 Arthasastra, V 6 for other references
- 79 cf Prat Act I
- 80 cf Att I 5, p 13
- 8r Avi. Act 1 and Prv. Act 11, also Prv. IV 8 82 Stapna, V 12
- 83 Abh, pp 41, 43, also Dv. p 22 Abh, p 43, Ghatotkaca (Dgh. pp 66 67) and Hanuman (Abh. pp 41-42)
- 84 Avr. I 12 also p 100, Pry Acts I, III, IV, Att VI 10, 14, pp 105 106
- 85 cf Uru, St 8 For other references in the paragraph, see Pry, p 9 I 12, Panc, 1 40, Uru, st 8

210 86 Uru st 9 alocf Dt st 8 and Larna p 72 for earlier references 87 harna st 19 also st 13 and Pane II 7 and Pri I 12 for this paragraph

BHASA

58 cf Abh pp 54 56 89 Pr. IV 3 cf Arthas estra 3 00 cf Pane II 24 p 67 Abh pp 35 38

ot cf Panc p 70 II 28 p qt also III 2 3 4 or For detailed references see Bhasa-4 Study pp

414 416 93 Prs Act III pp 39 40 47 51 94 Prat pp 59 66 III 13

95 Prat pp 54 59 06 cf OC \ pp 97 98 also Prat pp 59 62 63 97 of Prat Act III Bharata coming from Lekaya

was not familiar with statue houses erected in Wodhva

of Car p to for earlier references of Dru St 3 Pro P 40 00 D pp 0 12 also Stabna pp 134 136 Cir p 88

100 cf Prs p 28 Au pp 43 44 III 5 6 Cir p 64 101 cf Prat I o

10° cf D: pp 7 26 103 Pr p 30 also Bal p 33 104 of 4tr II 12 For earlier refutences See I'm II 2 Bil II 23 III 9 16h 1 24 Pral V 12 Pri

D 51 105 Prs p 30 also I rat p 50 106 Car pp 26 27 also I rat pp 96-97 99 109

107 Pry pp 43 46

112 Pry. p q, Avt. p 16, also Prat, p 99

115 Svapna V 4 also Azz pp So 81

CR Dec 1924, p 330 Study, pp 23 37

12 Supra, p 73

108 Av. p 15 100 of Page I to 110 Stapna, 1 4, Bal II 14 111 Cru, st 50, 4vr. II 1

113 Abh. VI 2 114 Prat p 58

CHAPTER VI References to important articles and works on the T Bhasa problem published subsequent to 1922 will be found in the select Bibliography at the end 3 Bhasa's Plays A Critical Study, pp 16 18 4 For full and detailed references, see my Bhasa-A 5 of Abhiseka, Lahore Ed records three more stanzas (pp 23 note 4 38 note 4, 75 note 3), Pañcaratra (1917 Ed ) contains stanzas I 13, 14 which were not found in the first (1012) Ed 6 cf O STEIN, IHQ, 14 p 445 7 Page 147 8 IBBRAS 1925, pp 135 137 Ω Manuscript R No 2778 in the Govt Oriental MSS Library, Madras 10 Supra, p 103 II cf Supra, p 12

13 cf Avantisundarikathā, Madras, 1924, p 2

## सुविभक्तमुखायङ्गैर्व्यक्तलक्षणवृत्तिभि । परेतोऽपि स्थितो मासः अस्रौरिव नाटकैः॥

14 cf Barnett, BSOS, 3, pp 519 522, PISHAROTI,
BSOS, 3, pp 116 fi Bhāsa—A Sludy, pp 52 fi

15 Supra, pp 129 135

16 THOMAS, JRAS, 1928, pp 877 ff

### CHAPTER VII

1 cf Bhāsa—A Study p 61

2 BHANDARKAR, Carmichael Lectures, 1918, pp 69 71, SMITH, Early History of India, 4th Ed., pp 381, 51

3 cf Svapna p 14 Car, p 51

4 cf Pry p 3 Avi p 72, Stapna, p 14, Car, pp 51, 74

cf Haraprasad Sastri OC, V, p 97
 cf Pry II 8, Stapna, pp 46 fi and Avi, marriage of Kuntibhoja s daughter with a Sauvira prince

of Kuntibhoja's daughter with a Sauvira prince
7 of Pusaiker, Bhasa and Kautilya's Artha-astra,
Raneasuami Comm Vol pp 87 01

6 Supra, pp 103 104

9 cf Testschrift Kane, pp 339-344

10 Supra, pp 117 129

11 cf also Bhāsa-A Study p 79 and note

12 CHARPINTIER Cambridge History of India, Vol I, p. 165

#### SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY

#### GENERAL.

- BHANDARKAR D R Lectures on the Ancient History of India (Carmichael Lectures 1918) Calcutta 1919 DIKSHIT K N Pre historic Civilisation of the Indus
  - Valley Madras 1030
- KEITH A Berriedale Sanskrit Drama Oxford 1924 History of Sanskrit Literature

Oxford 1928

- KRISHNAMACHARIAR M History of Classical Sanskrit Literature Madras 1937
- MACKAY E Indus Civilisation London 1935
- MAJUMDAR R C Corporate Life in Ancient India 2nd
  - Ed Poona 1922
- MANKAD D R Types of Sanskrit Drama Karachi 1936 MAPSHALL J H Mohenjo Daro and the Indus Civiliza
- tion 3 Vols London 1931 MOOKENII R K Hindu Civilization London 1936
- RAPSON E J Cambridge History of India Vol. I Cam bridge 1022 RHYS DAVIDS T W Buddhist India London 1903
- VENEATESVARA S V Indian Culture through the Ages
- 2 vols London 1928 1932

# Texts and translations 1

I for a complete b bliography of the publications on Bhasa till 1922 see V S SLATHANKAR'S Studies in Bhasa (5) A B blog raphical Note IBBRAS OS 26 pp 230-241 Our 1 st refers to select important articles and text ed tons published sube juent to Dr SUKTHANKAR S paper

Bhāsa His Age and Magadhi JBORS, 1923, pp 49 113

BARNETT, L D

Who is the author of Svapnavasavadatta? JRAS, 1925, p 99 Ābhāsa Bhāsa BSOS, 3 pp 519 22

Belvalkar, S K

The State of Hinduism and Buddhism as revealed in Mrcchakatika JBHU, 1, pp 2x-27

CHARPENTIER, Jail

Authorship and Date of the Mrcchakatika JRAS, 1923, pp 593-607 CLARK. W. E

Vägadhi and Ardhamägadhat JAOS, 44, pp 101-102 DE. S. K.

The Dramas ascribed to Bhasa IHQ, 17, pp 415 429

HARIYAPPA, H L
Pratuñāvaugandharāvana A Critique OIMS, 23.

PP 235 247, 520-533.

JAHAGIRDAR, R V A Note on the Ten Plays of Bhāsa

IA, 1931, pp 41-45

JOHNSTON, E H

Random Notes on the Trivandrum Plays IA, 1933 PP 95 99, III II8

KAVI, M R

Two More Dramas of Bhāsa OC. 3. pp 80 85

Keitii, A Berriedale

Bhāsa BSOS, 3, pp 295-297 MANKAD, D R

Bharatavākya and the Bhagavadajjukam IHQ 7,

PP 187 190

RHASA

DEVADUAR C R Bhasanatakacakram, Poona, 19372. WOOLNER A C and SARUP, L Thirteen Trivandrum Plays 2 Vols London, 1930 31

etc By L SARUP Lahore, 1925

Abhisekanātaka Edited with Introduction and Comm-

entary by V Venkataram SASTRI Lahore, 1930

Dütayakya Edited with commentary by T Ganapati SASTRI 3rd Fd Trivandrum, 1925

Pratimanataka Edited with Introduction, English Translation and Notes By 5 M PARANJAPE Poona, 1927 Syappayasayadatta (Vision of Vāsayadatta) Edited with

Introduction, English Translation, Notes, Appendices, etc By L SARUP, Labore, 1925

BOOKS ON BRESA AIYAR, A S P Bhasa Madras, 1942

DEVADUAR, C R Plays Ascribed to Bhasa Their Authenticity and Merits Poona, 1927

PISHAROTI, K Bhāsa's works A Criticism Trivandrum, 1025 PUSALKER A D Bhasa-A Study Lahore, 1940

SASTRI, Hirananda Bhasa and the Authorship of Thirteen Trivandrum Plays Calcutta 1926

SASTRI, T Ganapati Bhāsa s Works A Critical Study Trivandrum, 1025

ARTICLES ON BHASA

BANERJI SASTRI, A P

<sup>2</sup> Prof C R DEVADHAR has also brought out the following Texts with Notes and English translation. Swappawasavdatta, Pratijnayaugandharayana, Carudatta and Urubhanga

Bhāsa His Age and Magadhi IBORS, 1923, pp 49 113

BARNETT, L D

Who is the author of Svapnavasavadatta? JRAS, 1925, p 99 Äbhāsa Bhasa BSOS, 3, pp 519-22 BELVALKAR, S K

The State of Hinduism and Buddhism as revealed in Mrcchakatika JBHU, 1, pp 21-27

CHARPENTIER, Jarl

Authorship and Date of the Mrcchakatika IRAS, 1923, pp 593-607 CLARK, W. E.

Māgadhī and Ardhamāgadhai JAOS, 44, pp 101-102 DE, S K The Dramas ascribed to Bhasa IHQ, 17, pp 415 429

HARIYAPPA, H L

Pratuñayaugandharayana A Critique QJMS, 23 PP 235 247 . 520-533.

JAHAGIRDAR, R V A Note on the Ten Plays of Bhasa

IA, 1931, pp 41-45 JOHNSTON, E H

Random Notes on the Trivandrum Plays IA, 1933, PP 95 99, 111-118

KAVI, M R

Two More Dramas of Bhasa OC, 3, pp 80 85 KEITH. A Berriedale

Bhāsa BSOS, 3. pp 295 297

MANKAD, D R

Bharatavākya and the Bhagavadajjukam IHQ 7.

PP 187 190 B 15

PARANIAPE S M

Bhasa vs Saktibhadra ABORI, 9, pp 1-9

PISHAROTI K R Tie Bhasa Problem IHO, I, pp 103-III, 330-340

Svapnavāsavadatta and Bhāvaprakāša BSOS, 3, pp 639 642 Kerala-nataka-cakra [BBRAS, 1925.

pp 246 251 - and A K

Bhasa's Works Are they genuine? BSOS, 3, pp 107-117

PUSALKER, A D

Critical Study of the works of Bhasa with special reference to the Sociological conditions of his Age as revealed in those Works JUB 2, pp 174 203 Author ship and Date of the Mrcchakatika JAHRS, II, pp 33-42 Historical Data in Bhasa BV, 1,pp 179 184 The Problem of the Balacarita Festschrift Kane. pp 339 344 Yajñaphalam A Newly Discovered Drama by Bhasa JBBRAS, 1942 pp 23-29

RAIA, C K

Bhasa Another Side ZII, 2, pp 247-264 Some Unexplained Prakrit Passages and their bearing of the Bhasa Problem JOR, 1, pp 217 245

SANKAR, K G

The problem of Bhasa AMV, 2, pp 41 64 SARUP. L

Poems and Plays of Bhasa HR, 1927, pp 116-121 207 212 SASTRI, G. Haribara

The Bhasa Problem

Come Unexplained?

2 pp 210-219 SASTRI H P

Sanskrit Culture in Modern India OC, 5, pp 62 110 Sastri, T Ganapati

The Svapnavasavadatta of Bhasa IRAS, 1924 pp 668 669 The works of Bhasa BSOS, 3 pp 627 637

STEIN, O Contributions to the Bhasa Question IHQ 14, pp

633-650 SURTHANKAR, V S

Studies in Bhasa (6) On the Prakrit of the Dramas, JBBRAS, 1925 pp 103 117 Bhāsa Riddle A Proposed Solution JBBRAS, 1925, pp 126 143 Reports and Summaries Deux nouveaux traites de dramaturgie indienne par Sylvain LEVI JBBRAS, 1925, pp 270 276

THOMAS F W

Bhāsakrta Svapnavāsavadatta JRAS 1925 pp 100 104 The Date of the Svapnavasavadatta JRAS,

1928, pp 877-890 VENKATARAMA SARMA, V

Svapnanataka and Svpnaväsavadatta IHQ, 5 pp 721-728

VENLATESVARA, S V

The Tragic Sense in Sanskrit Poetry PB, 1931, DD 476 480

WINTERNITZ M

Bhasa CR, Dec 24 pp 329 349 Bhasa and the Mahabharata and Krsna Plays of the Trivandrum Series BRRI, 5, pp 1-15 Bhasa What do we really know of him and his works ? Woolner Comm Vol pp 207-308

# INDEX

```
Abhinavagupta 22, 112, 127, 195 ff, 205
Abhiseka 20, 24 f, title 44, plot 44 ff, source 70; 72 f,
          75 f, 79, 83 f, 86 f, 89 f, 95, 107, 112, 116 ff,
         140, 157, 181
Adbhuta 75, 77, 142
ATVAR TOS
ĀLĀSabhāsita 20,143
Alamkāras 84 ff
Alexander the Great 11,104
Amarakośatikāsartasta 196
Amusements 167 f
Anka 18 f, 24
Anthology Verses 106 ff
t8 snämunA
Anustubh 23, 07
Apastamba 204
Abastā, at 20, 116
Aprastutapraśamsā 86, 93
Architecture 185 f
Ardhanārisi ara 79, 85, 189
Aristotle 104
Armoury 174
Army register 183
Arthadyotanskā 15
Arthantaranyasa 84, 86, 88 f
```

Arthasastra 5, 7 ff, 67, 128, 145 f, 172 f, 176 f, 180, 184.

Arts 188 ff Arum 59, 63, 71, 180 Ārvā 07 INDEX.

2261

Āscaryacūdāmanı 17, 117, 130 Āśramas 5, 148 ff Astrology 128, 208.

Astronomy 192

Āryaka 10, 125 f

Aśvaghosa 107, 206 Atišayokti 84, 87 f, 91

Avantı 9 f, 56, 71, 159, descr 160, 181 187, 204

Avimāraka 14, 22, 24 ff, 50, title 51, plot 50 ff, source 70 f. 75, 77, 79 f. 83, 85, 93 95, 97, 109 ff 114 ff, 118, 129, 133, 140, 143, 151, 154, 160, 173, 182, 202

Ayodhyā 39 41 f, 44, 82, 87, 165, 187

Bālacarita 21 f, 24 ff, title 47, plot 47 ff, sources 69 f,

73 ff, 83, 90, 93, 116 118, 133, 140 143 147 163 f, 173, 206

Bana 23, 77, 79 102, 130, 140, 194, 198 ff, 202, 205 Banepji Sastri 117, 193

BARVETT 193

Baudhāyana 204

Beliefs and Superstitions 166 f

Belvalkar 117, 193 Bhagaradajjukiya 117, 130

Bhagavadgitā 191

Bhāgavata Purāna 169 Bhaktı 13 76, 191

Bharata 16, 99 ff, 127 129, 191, 195

Bharatavakya 23, 28, 67, 102 ff, 204, 208 Bhasa,-and Kalidasa 113 ff, authorship 22 f, 28 35

beliefs 13. Bhāsa's India 144 ff, Bhāsa Problem

t93 ff.—three mam schools 193 f, characteristics and defects 141 ff. characterization 77 ff., or itical appreciation 77 ff. date 202 ff., descriptions of nature 79 ff. dialogues 99, disregard of Bharata's rules 20, influence 115 ff., legends 12 f, magic 75 f, plays alamkāras 84 ff., common authorship 19 f, Community of ideas 20 f, critical appreciation 67 ff., sentiments 71 ff., sources 68 ff., structural similarities 19 f, power of narration 83 f, realist 77, 84, stage 101 f., style 95 f

Bhatta Nārāyana 79, 97 Bhavabhūti 79, 97, 115, 122

Bhāva prakāšana 112, 196

Bhayanaka 74 f. 77

Bhojades a 196, 198, 205 Bodhāyana 130

Brähmana (-s) 5 f, 18, 20, 22, 27, 35, f, 144 ff.

Bridegroom, qualities in 152 f

Burnal 3,—See 'disposal of the dead'

Cakyar Influence 129 ff, 199 f

Candragupta Maurya 10, 104, 204, 208

Cărudatta 22, 24 ff, title 64, plot 64 ff, source 71, 72 f, 77, 80, 85, 87, 90, 96, 115 f,—and Mychakatika 117 ff (Nocabulary 121 f, technique 122 f, Prakirt 123, versification 123 f, dramatic incident 124 f, different recessions 127, differences 127 f) —a fragment 118 ff, 140, 143, 146, 136, 160, 172, 187, 266 f

Castes 2, 4 f, 144 ff

```
I/DEX
```

City,—life in epics 6,—Buddhist lit 6,—Arthaśāstra 8, descr of—159 f —at night 160 f, life of a citizen 161

231

```
Common authorship 19 ff, 194
Community of ideas 20 f
Compilations 133 f
Consecration 38, 41, 43
Consultation chamber 176
Conveyances 165 f
Coronation ceremony 178
Court life 173 f
Dakşıņā 29, 74, 142, 145
Dāmaka 14, 117, 130
Dandin 23 117, 199 f, 205
Daridracārudatta 120 f. 127
Darśaka o f. 61, 71, 132, 202
Dasas 2, 172 f
Date of Bhasa 202 ff, internal evidence 202 ff, external
             evidence 205 ff , argumentum exsilentio 207 f
```

Dead, disposal of, 169 f, burial, 7

f . residences 162 f

Cola 134

DE 194

Calurbhān: 117.
Caturdaśi 145
Cāturvanya 147
Cera 132
Characters 122 f, 142
Characterization 77 ff
CHARPE-VIER 193
Cilappadikaram 206

Deposits 168 f Descriptions 21, 79 ff 142 DEVADRAR IIS Dhanurmaha 49, 164, 167 Dharma 90, 92, 175, -Sastra 145, 191, 203, -Sutras 151, 154 Dhvanyāloka 112, 195 Dialogue 23, 99

BHĀS 1

232 Debts 3, 168

Dima 18

Funeral customs 169 f

Dinnaga 205 Discrepancies between Bhasa and Brhatkatha 71. Dramage system 4

Drama, Sanskrit, origin 16 f, types 18 ff, Sanskrit and Greek, 17

Dress in Bhasa 165 -of kings 177 Dutaghatotkaca 23 f, title 32 plot 33 ff, source 68, 73 f, 76, 94

Dūtatākya 23 f, title 31, plot 31 f, 67, source 68, 70, 74 ff, 92, 94, 181, 187

Elephants 3, 6, 9, 102, 165, 182 f -mechanical 56 Endogamy 5 Epilogue 140, see Bharatavākvas

Exogamy 5 Family life 150 ff Fire ordeal 12, 14, 75

Folklore 24, 115, 202 Food, in Indus period 4, in Jatakas 6, in Vedic age 3, ın Bhāsa 164 f

```
Hanûmannātaka 133
Harnamsa 69 f
Harsa 12 f. 79, 84
Hastyadhyaksa 9,-Siksa 182, 191
Hāsya 18, 73, 77, 142
HEGEL 104
Hellenic origin 17,-influence 208
Hermitage 82, 86, 150
Himavat, Himalayas II, 20 42, 53, 103
Horses 3, 9, 102, 165, 183
Hypergamy 5
Idol worship 190
Ihāmrga 18 f. 24
Incarnations 188
Indrayajña 163, 167
Intermarriages 3
JACOBI 193
Jahlana 109
```

Jainism 191, 204 Jayadeva 23, 115, 141, 199 f Jayamangalā 70

Gambling 171
Gaudau aho 198
Gautama 133, 203
Ghatakarpara 13 f,—Kāvya 13 f
Goṣthijanas 157 f
Gotra 5, 13, Kāśyapa—42
Gṛhasthāśrama 149
Guru 145, 148
Hallisaka 49, 164, 167

```
hršáška 16
Kulašekhara 117
Kumärasambhata 113
Kumdamatla 205
Lak=mtdhara 109
Lamps 8
Lanka 45 ff, 83, 143, 158
Lävänaka 10, 59 f.
Levi 104
```

I INDENAU 193

Va 99

Madh; ama 23 f, title 27 f, plot 27 f, source 68, 72, 74 ff, 87, 94, 97, 107

Vagadha 9 f, 59 f, 62, 71, 150, 180, 204 Vagac 7, 75 f,—armour 34 f

Mahabhārata 6 f, 9, 24, 26 f, 34 68 f. 78, 202 f

Maharata 16

Mahārīracarita 116

Mahendravikramas arman 107, 117

Välatımādhava 115 f

Malavikāgnimitra 102, 206

Malaya 53

Māhnī 97

Mantras 75, 146 f, 766, 187 Manubhāsya 133, 203,—smrli 151, 203

Vanuarya 133, 203,—smft 151, 20

Manuscripts 15, 102 f, 107, 118, 135 196

Varriage, forms of 5, 115 f, intercaste 5, in Arthaśästra 8, qualities in a bridegroom 152 f, marriage ceremony 153 f, sapinda exogamy 154 f, child JAYASWAL 193 Joint family system 150 f JOLLY 193 Kalasaka III Kālāstamī 145, 189 Kālīdāsa 13, 79, 84, 97, 100, 107, 113 ff, 130, 141, 177,

BHASA

205 ff Kalyānasaugandhika 117 Kāmadeva festival 167 LANE 118, 103

Karnabhāra 14, 23 f, 34, title 35, plot 35 f, source 68, 73 f. 76, 92, 94, 97

hārtyāyanī 48, 189 f Karuna 73, 76 f. 142

Kathāsarītsāgara 70 f Kausambi o f, 55, 57 f, 63 Kautilya 7, 13, 67, 104, 132, 146 172 ft, 178, 180, 184

Kautukamangala 61, 153 Katindratacanasamuecaya 109 Kavalamkarasütravitts 205 Kavvalınga 93 f

Кетти 106, 103 Kerala 100, 103, 116,-influence 129 ff, 199

Kharapata 128 f. 132, 172 Kings, duties of 8, 93 175 f, 170 f, ideals of 92, -in

Bhāsa and Kāhdāsa 115, palaces of 173 f, Kingship 174 f, advisers 179 f, on battlefield 176 f, dress

and retinue 177, coronation 178

Kiskindhä 44, 143 Koyon 103

234

INDEX

235

Ksatrapa 103 f Kulaśekhara 117 Kumārasambhara 113 Kundamalā 205

Lakemidhara 109 Lampa 8

Kršāšva 16

I anka 45 ff, 83, 143 158 Lāvānaka 10, 59 f

LEVI 194 LINDENAU 193

Mā 99

Madhyama 23 f, title 27 f, plot 27 f, cource 68, 72,74 ff 87, 94, 97, 107

Magadha 9 f, 59 f, 62, 71, 150, 180 204 Magic 7, 75 f,—armour 34 f

Mahābhārata 6 f, 9, 24, 26 f, 34 68 f, 78, 202 f Mahās rata 16

Mahātiracarila 116 Mahendravikramavarman 107, 117

Mālatīmādhava 115 f Malavīkāgnīmitra 102, 206

Valavikägnimilra 102, 20 Valava 53

Malını 97

Vantras 75, 146 f, 766, 187

Manubhāsya 133, 203,—smrts 151, 203

Manuscripts 15 102 f, 107, 118, 135, 196

Marriage, forms of 5 115 f, intercaste 5, in Arthasastra 8,

qualities in a bridegroom 152 f, marriage coremony 153 f, sapinda exogamy 154 f, child

внаѕа marr 155 f. polygamy 156, sambandha marr

marr 155 1, polygamy 150, sambaldula marr 154 f married women 156 f, anuloma marr 152, pratiloma marr 152, political marr 177

Mathurā 47 ff, 83 158 f, descr 159 Matiavilāsa 14, 107 117, 128, 132

Measures 168 Medhātithi 120 132 f. 203

Medicine 192

236

Metrics 21, 96 ff

Military organization, in Vedic age 3, in epies 6, in Arthasāstra 9, in Bhāsa 182 ff

Mrcchakatika 67 67 115 f Car and Mrcch 117 ff , 163,

Ministers 179 f

Monologue 20

Morality, high tone of 169
Morge STIERNE 127

Mortgage 168

Mother goddess 4

171, 206 f Mudrālamkāra 72 140

Mudrāraksasa 102, 116 Mukha 18 199

Music 187 f musical term 128

Nagānanda 102, 116

Nagaraka, life of 161 f , residential quarters 162 Naksatra 128 192

Nanaka 128, 208

Nåndı 67, 102 f, 122, nändyante 102 200 Narrations 83 f, 142

```
INDEX 237
Nåtaka 18 f. 24 .—Laksanaratnakosa 120, 196 f
```

Nature, description of 79 ff., sympathetic 80 f Nälyadarpana 111, 121, 127, 196 Nalyasästra 14, 16 67, 99 ff., 112, 127, 142, 191, 195, 199,

—1eda 16

Nepathyabhūmī 101 Nılakantha 14, 126

Natasūtras 16 Nātika 18 f.

Niskramya pravisya 20, 140, 143

Nyāvašāstra 120, 132, 145

Obscure words 122

Occupations 5, 148
OGDEN 71

Ornaments 165

Painting 187
Palaces, description of 173 f

Palaces, description of 173
Pallava 103, 134

Pañcarātra 19, 21 ff, title 28, plot 29 ff, 67, sources 69, 74, 76, 89, 92 f, 95, 100, 116, 133, 140, 147, 163, 191,—System 189, 191

Pāndya 103, 134

Panini 16, 150, 195

Paranjape 117
Parikara 03

Parikramana 102

Parivrājaka 149 f

Parvatiparinaya 109

Paryāyokta 88, 94 Pātaliputra 10, 203 Philosophy 191 PISCHEL II7

PISHAROTI II8 194

Planetary astrology 128

Plays alamkaras and subha itas 84 ff Bhasa and Kali dasa II3 ft Bhasa's influence II3 ft Cir and Mrech 117 ft characteristics and defects 141 ff characterization 77 ff chronological order 23 compilations 133 f descriptions and natrations 79 ff divisions 26 epic 26 Kerala influence 129 ff magic 75 f Metrics 96 ff nandi and bharata vakya 10° fi Vatyas istra and playhouse 99 ff plots 26 ff Ramayana 25 Romances 25 Senti ments 71 ft social conditions 144 ff sources 68 ff stage 102 f style and dialogues 68 f Tragedy 104

Political marriages 1-7

ff Udayana plays 25

Prabodhacandrodaya 116 Pradvota Mahasena of 56 | 50 | 63 71 126 134 152 154 181 f 187 202

Prahasana 1S

Prakarana 10 24 Prakrit 16 21 73 97 117f 122f 127 129 131 191 200

-forms 123

Prastavana 194 197 Prating argandharayana 19 f 22 24 ff 55 ff title 56

plot 56 ff sources 71 73 ft 77 83 f 86 90 f 93 f 96 116 ff 129 133 140 f 152 173 201 f

205

Raināvalī 12 f. 100, 111, 116

RHASA

Raudra 74, 77, 142 Religion 118 ff Rgveda 2 ff, 7, 9, 16

240

Rgveda 2 ff. 7, 9, 16

Romances 25

Rūpaka 84 ff, 91 Rural and urban life 158

Rural and urban life 158 ff Sacrifices 145 f. 175 f. 100

Saduktskarnāmyla 107 fi

Sägaranandın 196 ff, 205 Sähilvadarbana 72

Saktibhadra 17, 117, 130

Sākuniala 108, 113 f, 143,—vyākhyā 122, 127, 197 f Sale 3

Samavakāra I 8 f, 24

Samāvartana 149 Sambandha marr 129, 132, 154 f.

Sambandha marr 129, 132, 154 f. Samvāda hymns 16

Sanskrit drama, origin 16 f; types 18 ff,—and Gree drama 17

Santa 76 Santi 49, 57

Sāradātanaya 112, 196, 198, 205.

Sarasvatīkanthābharana 121. Sārdūlavikrīdita 97

Sardūlavikrīdīta 97 Sarva R 118, 194

Sarngadharapaddhati 107, 109 ff.

Sarup 107, 193 Sarvānanda 196, 205

Sastri, Haraprasad 193

```
Trumpets 8
Udayana o f, 55 b, 71 f
```

Udayāšva 10 Ugrasena 10 f, 50, 104, 204, 208

Unayini 56 ff, 63, 63, 150 f, descr 160 185, 190, 192 Ullekha 89

Unities 17 Unmādarāsaradaita 117

Upamā 82, 84 86, 88, 90 f

Upan is ina 148

Upanisads 5 Urban and tutal life 158 ff

Urubhanga 23 f, title 36, plot 36 f, source 69, 73 ff, 83. 86, 94, 97, 99 104 ft, 116, 133 142

Usury 3 Utpreksā 50, 82, 84, 88

Ultararāmacarita 116

Vairantya 54 83, 158 f, deser, 159 Vākpatīrāja 198 f

Valkala 70, 85 f, 132, 165

Vamana 127, 205 f

Vänaprastha 149 f Varnāsramadharma 8, 22, 142, 144 ff Vatsala 76, 142

Vatsarājacarita 15, 117, 130 Vätsynyann 70, 162 f. 172, 174

Venisarihāra 105 f, 116

Vibhāvanā 88 Vikramori ašiva 102

Vimaréana 118

Vinaväsa, adalta 15, 11

Śūdraka 15, 20, 115 130, 205, 207 Smeide 170 SULTHANKAR 117 194 197

BHASA

Süktımuktāvali 109 III Sunset 79 f Superstitions 166 f Surästra 204

Sütradhāra 10 103 122 104, 198, 200 Suvarna 128, 141, 165 208

242

Svabhāvokti 82 54, 87 1

SVAMI 118, 104

Svapāka 55 147

Stapnavāsavadatta I, 12 I4 I9, 23 ff, 55, 59 title 59 f

IQ4 ff 200 ff 206 Švāmala 111

Tāpasa 140 f Tābasataisarāja 117

Tapovana 4r Temples 189 f Theft 172

THOMAS 107 TO: Tides 192

Tiruvanchikulam 132 Tithi 128, 192

Trade and Industry 3, 16b Tragedy 10.1 ff Transkrama 14 117, 130

plot 60 ff source 71, 72 f, 77 ff, 82, 84 87 ff grf g4 g6 108 mrff 116 118

133 140 143, 151, 156, 173, 177

IADEZ

243

Udayana 9 f, 55 b, 71 f Udayāśva 10

Trumpets 8

Ugrasena 10 f, 50, 104, 204, 208 Ujjayini 56 ff, 63, 83, 159 f, descr 160 185, 190, 192

Ullekha 89 Unities 17

Unmādas ūsas adalta 117

Upamā 82, 84, 86, 88, 90 f

Upanayana 148
Upanisads 5

Urban and rural life 158 ff

Urubhanga 23 f, title 36, plot 36 f, source 69, 73 ff, 83,

Urubhanga 23 f, title 36, plot 36 f, source 69 86, 94 97, 99 104 ff, 116, 133, 142

:Usury 3 -Utpreksā 80, 82, 84, 88

Utlararamacarsta 116

Vairantya 54, 83, 158 f deser 159

Väkpatirāja 198 f

Valkala 70, 85 f, 132, 165 Vāmana 127, 205 f

Vāmana 127, 205 f Vānaprastha 149 f

Varnāśramadharma 8, 22, 142, 144 fi Vatsala 76, 142

Valsarājacarīla 15, 117, 130 Vātsyāvana 70, 162 f, 172, 174

Venisamhāra 105 f, 116 Vibbāyana 88

l *skramori aši*y a 102 Vimaršana 118

Virācāsacada'ta 15, 117,

BHASA 244 Litedhya II 20 103 Vira 74 76 f 142 Viratanagara 25 31 59 Virodhabhasa 88 ff Visikhadatta 97 Visama 85 q1 Visesokti 58 Visions at death () 44 Lisnudharma 14 f - purant (9 Visvanatha 72 102 1 ithi 18 24 Vyayoga ISf 24 War 183 f

Week ending 23 Werpons 184 Weights 168 WILLER 107 1 14 Widows 157 WINTLENITZ 104

Women 2 position of in cries 5 ff in Bhist 156 ff martens 156 married women 156 f willow 157 to thumber 157 f WOOLNER 104

1 sprephale 14 f 11. 135 ff title 135 plot 135 ff Laparied 14 16

Yak mf 84

similarities 14 of 233

Yamaka 14

1 mun : 47 49 155 LaCistilaki 1 iz 10 1

1 c 1615tr 1 145 101